"There is nothing more powerful than an idea whose time has come." – Victor Hugo

The First Freedom®

P. O. Box 385 Silverhill, Alabama 36576

SURGEON GENERAL'S WARNING: First-time readers of alternate news, especially boob tube babies, may experience severe loss of confidence in the Establishment press and TV.

It's here to stay.
Y'all have a nice day.

Self-government begins at home

Volume 16 Number 11 November 2014 Inviting the Zionist-controlled media cracy to meet a rising free South www.firstfreedom.net $2.00

Perversophobia defined Page 24



Page 6
Tribute to Traficant

Federal Reserve Notes ruled unconstitutional, null and void Page 19

Germany's mistakes in Afghan war
Page 9



Ransdell on Nationalism
Page 10

War is a racket
Page 8

Europe needs Russia
Page 13

What happened to Al Qaeda?
Page 4

Lebanon welcomes Iran's military aid Page 9



Page 13
Boycotting the boycotters

Get the hardest-hitting rebel newspaper of them all by mail anywhere in the C.S.A. or U.S. For 12 issues send $25 to TFF, P. O. Box 385, Silverhill, Alabama 36576.



Page 16
America's enigma Louis Marshall

My Life and Work
Page 15
Henry Ford

The counterfeiters at the Fed
Page 7

Greg Kay on the Scots' vote

Page 22

The Lobby boasts having bagged a freshman

Page 12

Iran helps Gaza rebuild
Page 9

His death reshaped destinies
Page 18

How America brought "Democracy" to Egypt
Page 13

Constitutional money must be backed by silver or gold
Page 19

Huckster's quest for Perfection
Page 12



Page 14
It's legal to

The continuing war against the South

"Treason doth never prosper: what's the reason? For, if it prosper, none dare call it treason."
– Sir John Harrington (1561–1612)

By Dr. Michael Hill
leagueofthesouth.com

A war is still being waged against the South, not by bullets and bayonets but by a campaign of demonization. As a political and social stratagem, demonization is a ploy as old as civilization itself. The objective of the game is to dehumanize an opponent, individual or a group in order to gain public support for diminishing his power and influence in one or more spheres: political, social, economic or cultural.

Modern America over the past two decades abounds with examples of the demonization process, most of them perpetuated by the Left (which includes many on the Republican "Right") against the traditional Right. The Oklahoma City bombing, Black church burnings and the Atlanta Olympics pipe-bombing in the 1990s; the 9-11 "Truther" movement, the Obama birth certificate "Birther" movement and the anti-ObamaCare movement thus far this century have all been used by the government and its lapdog media to portray anyone to the right of the mainstream GOP as dangerous to the public weal. But the boogie-man singled out to receive the lion's share of this liberal/neocon hostility is the traditional Southerner, who is uniformly presented by the media, the academy and popular culture as Beelzebub incarnate. Unfortunately, the demonization of Southerners and their region is not of recent origin.

The origins of conflict

Southerners of both high and low estate contributed mightily to the founding and advancement of the American Republic. Despite that, they have been subjected to a long-running campaign of demonization that has turned them into national whipping boys in this post-modern, post-Christian era. The demonization of the South did not begin, as some may think, with the Civil Rights Movement of the 1950s and 1960s, though it did take on a particularly hostile tone during those decades. Rather, the campaign to portray the South as the sole blot on an otherwise pure and shining "City on a Hill" began in earnest in the 1830s with the rise of the Yankee reformist impulse (*i.e.*, Abolitionist, women's rights, temperance and other like-minded movements) and fears that the so-called "Slave Power" of Southern aristocrats threatened American democracy. The three decades from the publication of William Lloyd Garrison's *Liberator* in 1831 to the outbreak of The War for Southern Independence in 1861 witnessed a virulent crusade to vilify not only the South's culture and institutions but Southerners themselves.

To understand why the Yankee thought it necessary and profitable to demonize the South, we must trace briefly the dichotomy between a rapidly-changing antebellum North and a stable, conservative South. Southern men-of-affairs, especially South Carolina's John C. Calhoun, understood that unchecked consolidation and the campaign against slavery would result in either the destruction of the South or in the dissolution of the Union.

The gathering forces against which the South had to contend were foreboding.

(See "Southern culture," page 3)

HERE'S WHAT WAS IGNORED OR MISREPORTED ELSEWHERE:

Both Bill and Hillary were once anti-Ashkenazis

MONICA JEWINSKY, whose sex role as a White House intern in 1998 brought then-President Bill Clinton to heel for that little rogue State of Israel, recited a much different story on October 20 at Forbes' "Under 30 Summit" in Philadelphia. No, it wasn't a voluntary setup, letting herself be wired so that the Jewish mediacracy could blackmail Slick. "The FBI" made her do it.

ANSCHLUSS. On October 22 Naftali Bennett, leader of the Jewish Home party, warned Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu that his party will destabilize the coalition government if the premier doesn't expedite settlement construction in the West Bank.

OCTOBER was a juicy month: Arms sales soared amid war against ISIS. It was exactly one month since the U. S. started its bombing campaign on the Islamic State in Syria, and quite a profitable operation for the arms industry of course. On the first day, 47 Tomahawk missiles worth over 65 million dollars were dropped. One month on and the jihadists were still in place, but the war had produced new contracts for American weapons makers.

MOSCOW will reduce gas supplies if Kiev starts siphoning deliveries destined for Europe, warned Russia's President Vladimir Putin during a visit to Serbia last month.

FOR FEAR of the Ebola virus, North Korea has announced that it will not accept any foreign tourists, three agencies that take tourists to the isolated State said on October 23. The news came as the country said it was stepping up inspections and quarantine measures at its airport, borders and ports to guard against the spread of the deadly virus, which has killed thousands of people in the latest outbreak.

SKY NEWS reveals that passports belonging to foreign militants serving in the Islamic State (IS, a.k.a. ISIL or ISIS) all display official exit stamps from the Turkish border control.

SOUTH MIAMI passed a resolution last month in favor of splitting the State in half. Vice Mayor Walter Harris felt Tallahassee was not providing South Florida with proper representation. "Tallahassee doesn't really, I'm sorry to say, care too much about South Florida," Harris said during the city's commission meeting. Mayor Philip K. Stoddard agreed. "South Florida would be better off making its own rules," Stoddard told Local 10 News.

HIT and kicked by police after leading them on a high-speed chase, an Alabama man who sued will now receive $1,000 in a settlement with the city of Birmingham, while his attorneys get $459,000, officials said on October 22.

AMONG those going into battle from the Ukrainian side are some 500 trained fighters in a self-declared Azov battalion backed by Jewish energy magnate and Dnipropetrovsk region governor, Igor Kolomoisky, according to an article in Israel's *Ma'ariv* daily.

THE UKRAINIAN Army has fired cluster munitions on several occasions into the heart of Donetsk, weapons banned in much of the world, upon a rebel-held city with a peacetime population of more than one million, according to physical evidence and interviews with witnesses and victims.

ISIL terrorist group leader Ibrahim Samarrai, known by the alias Abu Bakr al-Baghdadi, works for the U. S. Central Intelligence Agency and is supported by western secret services, according to Chechen President Ramzan Kadyrov.

BRAZEN C.I.A. agents impersonated Senate staffers in order to gain access to Senate communications and drafts of the Intelligence Committee's investigation. "If people knew what they actually did, to hack into the Senate computers and search for the torture document, jaws would drop. It's straight out of a movie," said one Senate source familiar with the document.

COLOR of revolution: The "umbrellas" for Hong Kong's are made in Washington, as proven not only by the obscenely-rapid White House open support just hours after it began, but the model is no different from what they used in Ukraine.

"Be assured that if this new provision [the 14th Amendment] be engrafted in the Constitution, it will, in time, change the entire structure and texture of our government, and sweep away all the guarantees of safety devised and provided by our patriotic Sires of the Revolution." – Orville Browning
Sec. of the Interior (1867)

Scalawag of the Month
Larry Martin

Open carry law killed in S. C.

South Carolina is a shall-issue State. Authorities are required by law to issue a carry permit to every applicant at least 21 years old and not barred from possessing a gun under State or federal law.

By Herschel Smith
captainsjournal.com

A while back, open carry was defeated in South Carolina.

A bill allowing South Carolinians to carry guns openly or concealed without first receiving the State's sanction through a permit was killed by Republicans. It failed to get out of the State's Judiciary Committee – despite a large Republican majority – under strong opposition from Republican Senator Larry Martin.

The bill was called Constitutional Carry. Backers had its premise based on the fact that the 2nd Amendment is the only permit needed to carry a weapon.

Understanding the Republican statist position against that bill required following tortured logic. It was best described by Martin himself in response to a constituent who asked him to vote to advance the bill, as reported by BenSwan.com.

www.jewwatch.com

"If the 2nd amendment has been as you interpret it, why hasn't S. C. law reflected that for the last 140 years? I'm sorry but you are describing an "unlimited" right that has never been the case with the 2nd Amendment. My view of the 2nd Amendment has always been the right to own guns and keep them in our homes, business, and property and not to wear a gun whenever to wherever I pleased."

He just thinks that because he is a Communist. Comments at Mike's place seem to indicate that it was the tourism lobby in Charleston that defeated the bill. I make no claims to knowing the real story behind the bill's demise. But one thing is certain – Senator Larry Martin of Pickens is either the ring leader or a stooge and tool. In any case, he is now the target.

Gregory Smith observes "South Carolina has a pro-gun governor and an anti-gun Senate. This defeat is shameful, this is the work of self-hating Southerners, scalawags that would rather kiss the carpetbaggers than pass pro-gun laws … I believe there's gonna be political hell in South Carolina. I want to know who are the 17 bastards that voted against the 2nd Amendment; are any of them Republican? If so then it's time to excommunicate them from public office."

I think the retribution needs to be greater than that. Mr. Martin needs to feel uncomfortable even being out in public. He has now declared himself to be an enemy of the people. And oh, by the way, as to the LEOs who helped to kill the bill, you are also an enemy of the people.

Seriously, now

A man who sees his dog in the back yard shaking and tossing something into the air rushes out to find that it was the neighbors' rabbit, now a very dead Mr. Bun Bun. The corpse is filthy with dog drool and mud, but thankfully no blood, its back no doubt broken at the first shake.

Feeling he can't possibly explain to the neighbors how his dog got into their yard, entered the rabbit cage and killed Mr. Bun Bun while they were away, he bathes Mr. Bun Bun until completely clean and dry, places him back in his cage, re-latches the door and hopes they'll think their rabbit died peacefully.

Days later, the man notices his neighbor mowing the lawn, walks over and asks how things are going.

"Not so well," the neighbor says. "We lost Mr. Bun Bun last week, and are still very upset about it all."

"Oh, I'm sorry to hear that."

"Yes, we found him one day on coming home, probably died in his sleep because he looked so peaceful."

(Whew!) "Well, that doesn't sound too bad."

"No, we were not surprised. I mean, he was very old, really starting to show his age the last few months."

"I guess I'm a bit confused then, as to why your family is still upset."

"Well, we buried the little guy out by the back fence and thought that was it. But – You're not gonna believe this! – some jerk dug him up, cleaned and put his body back into the cage as a mean and nasty practical joke."

The First Freedom

I thoroughly enjoy reading your excellent paper. In the spirit of Christ, White survival, Nationalism and White pride worldwide, keep up the good work!
PETER MALLEN
Kent, England

I recently received my third issue of your publication and like it very much. I wasn't born in the South but sure am glad I'm here now!
KAREN POST
Dickinson, TX

SUBSCRIBE TODAY!

NEW READERS
❒ $5 for 6 issues

RENEWAL
❒ $25 for 1 year
❒ $50 for 2 years
❒ $75 for 3 years
❒ $100 for 4 years

NAME
ADDRESS
CITY STATE ZIP
PHONE EMAIL
CHURCH/SCHOOL/ORGANIZATION

CLIP AND MAIL TO **THE FIRST FREEDOM**
P. O. BOX 385, SILVERHILL, ALABAMA 36576

Challenging a Zionist-controlled mediacracy to tolerate the truth

The First Freedom
Self-government begins at home

Speech and religion were already ours
back when FDR named "four freedoms."
But he would whip hunger, fear and oppression,
abstaining forever from Europe's wars.
When politicians lie, the State bites off
what only *individuals* can chew.
It's time we recovered
those powers not delegated.

Editor: Olaf Childress
Editorial faculty: Nancy Hitt, Christine Miller, Donald Sullivan, Valerie Protopapas and Ingrid Rimland Zündel.
Correspondents: Mark Anderson, Bill Ebb, Lloyd Caperton, Chris DeHuff, Jason Gerhard, Bill Ivy, John Kaminski, John Peeples and Carolyn Yeager.
Telephone: 251-945-5130
Email: editor@firstfreedom.net
Website: www.firstfreedom.net
Correspondence about subscriptions or changes of address should be addressed to The First Freedom, P. O. Box 385, Silverhill, Alabama 36576.

Periodicals postage paid at Foley, Alabama.
POSTMASTER: Send address changes to The First Freedom, P. O. Box 385, Silverhill, Alabama 36576.
SUBSCRIPTIONS: C. S. A. and U. S: For one year (12 issues) send $25 to above address.
Domestic subscriptions: Send $25 check, money order, (Federal Reserve Notes or one silver dollar at own risk) for next 12 monthly issues anywhere in C. S. A. and U. S. by bulk mail or $48 in a first class envelope. Inmates may pay with postage stamps.
Foreign subscriptions: Canada $53, other foreign destinations $77. Payable in U. S. dollars or other instruments readily convertible thereto.
Self-government begins at home. Let patriotism, in obedience to God, emancipate our imprisoned heritage! Join this nonprofit newspaper and help de-program the brainwashed population. Except for copyrighted articles, anyone may reprint or quote **The First Freedom** in whole or part; just give full credit and address.
We may carefully edit submitted manuscripts to fit space and style sheet without changing meaning; prefer email; publish only the writer's name, city and State but need address and phone verification. Signed articles by contributors do not necessarily represent **The First Freedom**'s views. These are expressed in editorials.

What would Jefferson say?

By Clyde Wilson
freemagnolia.org

No wonder Jefferson arouses a negative reaction among today's prevailing intelligentsia. It is impossible to imagine an American society that is more unJeffersonian than the regime we live under today.

Jefferson abhorred entangling alliances and hoped to preserve the great gulf between the New World and the Old. The U. S. today has a military presence in over a hundred places around the globe.

Jefferson envisioned a continent filled with the descendants of Americans, a few Europeans with valuable skills invited in. Today the descendants of the original Americans are an ever diminishing minority in an international unmelting pot.

Jefferson's educational system was designed to nurture natural aristocrats who might be born into the unprivileged ranks of society, so that their talents and virtues would not be lost to the commonwealth. Instead, America early adopted the Massachusetts/Prussian system of public education designed to cultivate mass conformity and obedience.

Jefferson believed in the freedom of the mind to seek the truth and did not fear any genuine truth. We live in a society virtually without any real debate, dominated by Political Correctness.



Your "representatives" – forbidden by the Southern Puberty Lechery Center, a federal Affirmative Action Apparatchik and those new voting machines to display such reminders in a building full of judges, lawyers and politicians – have banished the Ten Commandments from Alabama's Supreme Court now for 134 months.

Jefferson abhorred judicial oligarchy that thwarted the will of the people. Today the Supreme Court, not the people of the States, has sovereign power.

Jefferson feared banks and paper money. Our society is now dominated by banks that are Too Big to Jail. Jefferson hated public debt. It placed a tax burden on the productive in order to profit the privileged unproductive, John Taylor's "paper aristocracy." Not only that, Jefferson's constant theme throughout his life was that "the earth belongs to the living." For the current generation to bind down future generations with debt was deeply immoral and anti-democratic. What would he make of our catastrophic national debt? That much of it is owed to foreign governments he would undoubtedly label as treasonable.

Jefferson was a patriot. He was not an advocate of "one nation indivisible." During and after his time, nationalism was becoming a dominant force in the Western world, including the plural States United. For Jefferson one could be an American patriot without requiring total and unreserved obedience to the central government or believing that the people were spiritually inseparable from that government.

Southern culture is worth saving

(Continued from page 1)

The sweep of "progress" was already gripping the North (especially New England), urging it toward finance and industrial capitalism and the exploitation of "free" labor. William H. Seward warned the South that unless it voluntarily discarded its old ways – particularly an outmoded adherence to States Rights and the "peculiar institution" – it would later yield them amidst a sea of blood. Such threats to the well being of their region caused thoughtful Southern leaders to consider what sort of checks might be imposed against an increasingly hostile North.

Dr. Michael Hill

But progressive Northern leaders were in no mood to be checked by a numerical minority in the slaveholding South. Undermining the Southern way of life would be the first step in the triumph of the Yankee worldview, and to accomplish this the South had to be demonized in the eyes of a majority of Northerners before the radicals could hope for its actual physical destruction.

Yankee revolution

Revolutionary change in the North's economic and political systems had been accompanied by European-style reform movements of every stripe. Indeed, New England and parts of the Midwest now produced a breed of perpetual reformers in whom emotion trumped commonsense and hard experience. Eventually, all the reformist strands were woven together into the rope of Abolitionism, and by the 1830s the anti-slavery movement had become a messianic, apostate religious crusade. Radical abolitionist propaganda found its way not only into literature and public oratory, but into juvenile story books, church hymnals and even almanacs as well.

Boston in the mid-nineteenth century was the center of a Unitarian-Universalist revolt against traditional Christianity in which sinful mankind was transformed into a creature of innate goodness and light. If mankind was inherently good, then all social problems were external ones that could be eradicated by one sort of reform or another. Perhaps even the Southern slave-driver could be redeemed if only he could be made over in the image of the sturdy, democratic New Englander and his cousin in the Midwest who knew the proper interpretation of the Declaration of Independence. To these abstract idealists the South seemed woefully out of step with the idea that "all men are created equal." While New Englanders called down the wrath of God's "terrible swift sword" against the South, western men in Ohio, Indiana, Illinois and Michigan, writes historian A. O. Craven, "had a way of viewing evil as something there ought to be a law against." This combination of sanctimony and the appeal to laws that surely would be enacted by John Randolph of Roanoke's "King Numbers" served to unite the disparate elements of the White South and gird them for the impending conflict.

Bloody solution

The war waged from 1861-1865 was precipitated in no small part by those Abolitionists who had for thirty years fanned their flames of hatred against the South. When the fighting broke out in April 1861, they all rejoiced, some at finally being rid of the South and others at the opportunity of destroying her. One of their own, Julia Ward Howe, while in Washington during the early days of the war, penned the lyrics to what became the Unitarian-Abolitionist anthem – "The Battle Hymn of the Republic." Her words hailed the advent of a holy war against an evil South and equated the crucifixion of Christ with the present crusade against slavery. The South Carolina Presbyterian divine, Rev. James Henley Thornwell, well understood the nature of the "irrepressible conflict" waged against his homeland. He wrote: "The parties in this conflict are not merely Abolitionists and slaveholders, they are Atheists, Socialists, Communists, Red Republicans, Jacobins on the one side and the friends of order and regulated freedom on the other. In one word, the world is the battleground, Christianity and Atheism the combatants, and the progress of humanity the stake."

Four years of Jacobin-inspired warfare devastated the South. In addition to some 450,000 Confederate soldiers killed and wounded, the region's civilian population suffered horrendously, especially during the final campaigns of the conflict. The last months of the Confederacy were filled with arson, robbery, rape and murder, crimes perpetrated more often than not with the approval of Union military officers and civilian officials. Much of the destruction was pure vandalism directed against defenseless women and children and represented a deliberate policy to strike terror in the hearts of the Southern people. What General William T. Sherman called the "holiest fight ever fought on God's earth" made little distinction between Black and White. A reporter for the *New York Herald*, who witnessed the sack of Columbia, South Carolina, in 1865, noted that "Negro women were for the most part victims of the [Union] soldiers' lust. A number of them were woefully mistreated and ravished."

War's aftermath

In the wake of this carnage, Northern business interests began a systematic and wholesale economic plundering of the South that would continue through Reconstruction. Oppressive taxes were levied on cotton, and in just three years (1865-68) over $70 million was expropriated from the Southern economy. As late as 1880 the value of Southern agricultural lands was only two-thirds of what it had been in 1860. Gross farm income did not rise above 1859 levels until the early 1880s, though the South's population rose nearly fifty percent during that period. In the decades following the war, the South became an economic colony at the mercy of Northeastern plutocrats who exacted enormous sums of capital through usurious interest rates, stole lands and resources through tax foreclosures and rigged local elections at the point of a bayonet. Famine and pestilence stalked the land, and it was common to see homeless widows and orphans begging bread from door to door and once-proud veterans reduced to destitution. Indeed, abolitionist Wendell Phillips summed up the situation well when he remarked after the war: "This [the North's victory] is the new dispensation. This is the New Testament. 1860 is the blank leaf between the old and the new. . . . We have conquered not the geographical but the ideal South . . . and we have a right to trample it under the heel of our boots. This is the meaning of the war." So it was.

American Empire

The South's defeat in 1865, as Thornwell predicted, cleared the way for the triumph of a Jacobin/Marxist worldview in a consolidated American Empire. Wasted by war and military occupation and swindled by crooked Carpetbag and Scalawag "entrepreneurs," the Southern people could do nothing to halt the centralizers' juggernaut. One would think the demonizers' work done at this point. But, after a truce of sorts prevailed for several decades, especially during times of war when America needed the services of Southern manhood, the demonization of all things traditionally Southern resumed apace in the 1950s and 1960s during the Civil Rights Movement and the age of leftist revolution. And it continues to this day, the perpetrators showing no signs of letting up.

The prevailing question for traditional Southerners is this: How long will you patiently remain as a second-class citizen in this current political arrangement, hoping it can be reformed? If you do choose to remain on the flimsy hope of reform, you likely will lose everything dear to you, including your children's and grandchildren's future. You must recognize that the war against you continues and will not end until everything you cherish has been destroyed. Such was and is the definition of "war" by the forces of evil in this conflict. And the prevailing evil is the USA.

Dead end

The demonization and destruction of the traditional South has removed a counterweight from the political entity known as The United States of America. Without that counterweight of Southern conservatism and tradition, America descended into the pit of Enlightenment-inspired left liberalism from which it has not, will not, and cannot return.

Here are some concrete examples. Since the South's defeat in 1865, America has: 1) fought a war for empire (the Spanish-American War); 2) helped destroy the old European order (World War One); 3) provided aid to the communists in Spain (the Spanish Civil War – which saw American volunteers in the Abraham Lincoln Brigade fight against Franco); 4) allied itself with the Communist USSR under Stalin (World War Two); 5) provided political and economic support for Black Communist-backed regimes in Rhodesia and South Africa (in the Bush Wars and anti-Apartheid campaign); 6) supported the pro-Muslim forces against Christian Serbia in the 1990s; and 7) currently supports anti-Christian Muslim rebels against the Syrian government, including perhaps ISIS itself. Without a vital and viable old Southern conservatism – that "old time religion" that theologically defined the South – to provide balance, the USA has consistently pushed a leftist/godless ideology worldwide.

Thus, without the South to reel her in, America has become an unholy terror to the whole world. Perhaps a revitalized and independent South will be the necessary antidote to such hubris. And perhaps this is why the powers-that-be in the USA still demonize her.

Meanwhile, what ever happened to Al Qaeda?

By Bernie Suarez
activistpost.com

Watching world events lately one cannot help but to wonder: what ever happened to the war in Afghanistan? Are U. S. soldiers supposedly still exchanging fire with Al Qaeda as (supposed) payback for 9/11? For a couple years I had been offering cash rewards for anyone who can prove the war in Afghanistan to be a real "war" scenario; you know, the one with the video proof of the opposition actually firing back at our positions.

That's right, for years I offered a cash reward for anyone who can prove that there is a real conventional war (like the Vietnam footage) going on in Afghanistan. Challengers needed only to show actual video footage of U. S. tank and artillery positions in place and firing on the enemy (Al Qaeda), then gather some concrete evidence (meaning video footage) of the Al Qaeda military resistance. What military resistance? The one that justifies the U. S. military's long stay in Afghanistan.

To this day I'm not aware of any video evidence showing Al Qaeda's tanks and artillery firing back at U. S. positions (*i.e.*, proof of an actual war). The mainstream media have never produced evidence that would make a reasonable person logically deduce that there is an actual war going on in Afghanistan. Not video of U. S. military blowing up a building or "target," but an actual war with two participants firing on each other.

Fraternity

Even Fox News reported years ago that the U. S. was "helping" grow Opium in Afghanistan; all the while no one has ever produced evidence of any actual war. Incredibly, despite years of evidence proving that there has never been any war (but instead an occupation) in Afghanistan, mainstream-media-watching Americans have blindly believed and accepted that somehow the world's most powerful military (the U. S.) has been in an equally-matched war against the dangerous and powerful Al Qaeda military forces, thus rationalizing and justifying this long "war" and occupation in Afghanistan.

As the ridiculous ISIS script continues to play out in what I consider to be a psychological operation on Americans and the world, and as we now see ISIS tagged as the new military might to compete with the U. S. military, the question has to be asked: Where is Al Qaeda? What is Al Qaeda doing?

We know that ISIS was originally named "Al Qaeda in Iraq"; does that mean that members of Al Qaeda made a deal with the U. S. to fly to Iraq, created an Iraqi movement then switch their names to ISIL or ISIS? If so, how many Al Qaeda members switched groups? Is there any difference at all or just the location? How about the war in Afghanistan – which members of Al Qaeda are still fighting there? Where is Al Qaeda and how come they are no longer in the news?

The origins of ISIS are fully exposed to anyone who is awakened, but what should we say about the official status of Al Qaeda? Or should I say, what would the establishment (and its mainstream media) have us believe about the 2014 current and official status of Al Qaeda? Is there room for logic and reason anymore in this crazy geo-political new world order scheme; or should we all just accept such craziness without asking simple questions? Notice I said simple questions not critical questions, because the questions we are asking aren't trick questions on forensics or science; they are simple straightforward questions. Where is Al Qaeda now? Do they no longer exist? If so, then when did they cease to exist and why is the "war" in Afghanistan still going on?

Opium harvest: Is this Al Qaeda?

As the new ISIS psychological operation on humanity rolls along, we have to stop and ask these simple questions because these are the very challenges that will continue to expose the globalist criminals.

Here are some questions:

1. Why has Al Qaeda never attacked Israel?
2. Why do our politicians' stated goals always seem to fit in nicely with Al Qaeda and ISIS?
3. Can anyone present a shred of evidence proving that Al Qaeda is not ISIS?
4. Hey, if ISIS is acting alone, would it be possible for ISIS to attack Al Qaeda or vice versa?
5. How does Israel avoid having to deal with attacks from both of these groups?

Reason and logic

As long as we feel that there's something worth fighting for, we have an obligation to expose all this political theater, the propaganda and monkey business being imposed on humanity. Sadly, all too many Americans will never attempt to answer even one of these questions, and instead label such ideas as nonsense, conspiracy, even anti-government propaganda. Sadly, too many Americans who are now deeply entrenched in the ISIS psychological operation won't ever get beyond what CNN or Fox News and Sean Hannity tell them. Everything else is simply conspiracy. No thinking, reasoning, no asking simple questions, no logic, no true journalism, no real reporting, nothing.

Digging and poking at the Al Qaeda psyop is one way to expose the ISIS psyop since it came first. Unfortunately, our government has control freaks who believe in imposing mass mind-control operations in order to corral the masses with fear and it is up to us to find creative and interesting ways to expose their lies and deceit. So today I want to know what did Al Qaeda do yesterday, where are they now, and why are they no longer being mentioned as a threat by the Western media *while* the "war" in Afghanistan continues year after year.

By the way, has anyone seen the video evidence of ISIS overcoming the Iraqi army? How about the recent battles claimed by mainstream media: has anyone seen actual evidence of ISIS firing U. S. tanks and artillery weapons? Where are these videos? And, by the way, who trained ISIS to fire our tanks and Howitzers? Remember that having the weapons is not enough; you must learn how to use the weapons as well. U. S. military cannoneers train for almost two months to fire Howitzers. Who trained ISIS how to fire U. S. military weapons?

Alternatively we should be asking: when will Americans as a whole have enough of this nonsense? When will we pull together to turn justice on the globalists and their phony patsy terror groups that change names all the time and always end up with U. S. weapons? When will humanity pull it together and see that, coincidentally, none of these engineered terror groups ever attack Israel, yet they always seem to want to get their hands on U. S. civilians who are not part of their war? There are no accounts of any direct full military battles against U. S. military, and even now that the globalists are scripting this recent military battle against ISIS in Syria (as they did with Al Qaeda and Taliban in Afghanistan) we are being fed stories and reports from mainstream media sources without any real evidence of a war going on.

Our eyes glaze over

Al Qaeda has supposedly challenged the U. S. military to the tune of a 13-year war (and still counting) even as naïve and dumbed-down Americans blindly accept these bizarre statistics while consumed by sports and entertainment. Now ISIS is supposedly withstanding air strikes with ease, yet we are not told how they are doing it. ISIS has the ability to embarrass the U. S. by showing up on the battlefield with sufficient ammunition; all the while, the U. S. runs out of bullets. Imagine that, remember we're talking the worlds greatest military here.

Mass theater

The fact of the matter here is that many of us have personally had enough of this hyped ISIS psychological operation and we are reminded (literally by an Al Qaeda commander here) that Al Qaeda is (was?) no different. The difference is that much more of humanity is awakened to the globalist plans and determined to fight back against these destructive psychological terror scripts imposed on us. Life is too precious to have to deal with "ISIS" or "Al Qaeda" or any Intelligence synthetic terror groups. We can't afford to have a staged elimination of terrorism (Bin Laden death hoax) only to suddenly find a brand new terror organization, and again conveniently funded and armed by the U. S., Israel and its Middle East buddies to wreak havoc on humanity while giving a free pass to its creators.

Keep at it

I say let us roll with the ISIS operation like a good martial artist and use their ISIS psyop against them. Let's all keep pushing to expose this ISIS agenda and hope for something to crack. The globalist agenda *must* crack, and it *will* crack. It is up to each and every one of us to see to it that the plan cracks. One way to help crack this plan is by sharing this message with someone, staying strong, keeping your mind clear, your eyes wide open and continue to view our current-day narratives in the context of history and known facts instead of the context of CIA-controlled mainstream media-guided emotion and propaganda.

Bernie Suarez is an activist, critical thinker, radio host, musician, M.D, Veteran, lover of freedom and the Constitution, and creator of the Truth and Art TV project.

American Free Press
is the weekly newspaper out of Washington, DC, that rose from its ashes when they shut down The Spotlight. Tired of waiting a whole month for TFF to arrive? Subscribe to this also-truthful tabloid, 52 issues crammed into 47 weeks of the year plus six free issues of Whole Body Health for $59.
AFP
**American Free Press, Ste 100
645 Pennsylvania Ave SE
Washington DC 20003**

Will ISIS soon have flying saucers?

By Brandon Turbeville
activistpost.com

So ISIS has developed an air force and NATO wants its "no-fly zone" over Syria?

If Wile E. Coyote were a terrorist, his name would be ISIS. Or at least that is what the mainstream media and Western governments intent on overthrowing the secular government of Bashar al-Assad would have you believe.

According to the mainstream press, in spite of being highly-trained supermen who are ten feet tall and invincible, ISIS continues to make every public relations step it takes in the wrong direction. The recent announcement that ISIS possesses an air force now is a case in point.

On October 17, FOX News told us that "ISIS could be soon taking its terror fight to the sky, after a report that former Iraqi pilots are training Islamic militants to fly captured Syrian warplanes."

According to the death squad friendly propaganda organization known as the Syrian Observatory For Human Rights, a number of former Iraqi air force pilots are now training ISIS fighters to fly three warplanes captured in Syria – MIG 21 and MIG 23 jets – in apparent preparation to launch air attacks in the Middle East.

Observatory director Rami Abdurrahman says the planes are flying at low altitudes, "apparently to avoid being detected."

Order extra copies of
The First Freedom
Help your friends shed the scales from their eyes!
See coupon at bottom of page 24

"The principle for which we contend is bound to reassert itself, though it may be at another time and in another form."
– President Jefferson Davis

Fearing the local deer population might suddenly turn tyrannical, the Founding Fathers hastily drafted the 2nd Amendment.

Whew, that was a close one.

Big bash in Memphis

By Hunter Wallace
occidentaldissent.com

James Edwards hosted The Political Cesspool's conference in Memphis last month for friends, family and supporters in celebrating the 10th anniversary of that phenomenal radio program.

For understandable reasons, this was not open to the public. When the League of the South publicized our demonstrations in Murfreesboro and Shelbyville, a group calling itself the "Tennessee Anti-Racist Network" succeeded twice in getting our hotel reservations cancelled. That same group harassed the Southern National Congress at its annual conference in Fall Creek Falls State Park. They protested and harassed the last two Amren conferences in Montgomery Bell State Park near Dickson, Tennessee. Finally, a EURO conference was cancelled near Memphis in 2008.

Had this conference been publicized on the internet like the recent NPI conference in Hungary, the hotel would have been harassed by that usual coterie of "anti-fa" slacktivists who would have made phone calls, a small handful of whom would have probably showed up to provide us with some entertainment. Rather than invite unnecessary harassment, the decision was made to keep this conference in-house at a nice, upscale private location where we could relax and enjoy a weekend of fellowship and camaraderie without any last minute disruption of anyone's travel plans.

The conference began on Friday evening and culminated on Sunday morning at the Nathan Bedford Forrest memorial in Forrest Park. Because Renee and I were so late leaving St. Louis, we missed the events on Friday, and were too exhausted to do anything on Sunday. On Saturday, there were five speakers who addressed a range of topics which included Nathan Bedford Forrest and the myth of the Fort Pillow Massacre, Christianity, ethnonationalism, and race realism in America, the Jewish Question, the problem of Americanism and practical tips for our people on how to safeguard their social and financial well being (jobs, house, children) while living in the context of an anti-White society. This was followed by a banquet and a live broadcast of the The Political Cesspool in which we sung "Dixie" at the end.

There was a flurry of cancellations in the weeks before the conference, but the ballroom was packed for an event that was invite only. Had more people been privately invited, I have no doubt that many more would've come, but we would have needed a larger venue. A scheduling conflict meant that lots of people who were at the League demonstration in Cartersville, Georgia, couldn't be in Memphis on this weekend and vice versa.

The attendees were predominantly Southerners, but people from New York, California, Canada, Vermont and Illinois were also there. Unsurprisingly, there was no infighting on display anywhere this weekend, as most of us are already known to each other from previous events, and we continue to coalesce around common ground.

The dysfunction that is always on display on the internet is a product of low trust/low social capital within the sphere that we call the movement which itself is a symptom of anonymity and overreliance on the internet. Generally speaking, online relationships are more fragile than real world relationships. The internet is a paradise for trolls, cranks, misfits, and losers who don't last long in real life. It is a great communications and networking tool, but also a place where paranoia and misunderstandings tend to flourish.

View from the center of the ballroom

Though most folks had to get on the road or get to the airport on Sunday morning, a small contingent met for the final event of our weekend at the Nathan Bedford Forrest monument. A local historian provided us with information about General Forrest's life.

Magnificent!

By James Edwards
thepoliticalcesspool.org

I have emerged from what was easily the most memorable weekend of my political career.

After nearly a year of planning, The Political Cesspool's ten year anniversary celebration and family reunion was executed flawlessly! It quite literally could not have been any better.

Fans from all over the country packed a sold-out ballroom in Memphis to help us celebrate a decade of radio excellence. The feelings of family and camaraderie were palpable. I don't think I've ever been in a room where spirits were so high.

It started off on Friday evening, when the ballroom opened and we were treated to a performance from a professional entertainer who tickled the ivory keys of a beautiful grand piano. After an hour of inspirational music our first dinner banquet was served, which was followed by welcoming remarks delivered by yours truly.

On Saturday we were treated to one phenomenal speaker after another, each touching on vitally important issues: Faith, family, the South, the media, contemporary politics, practical applications for daily life and much more. The diverse lineup of presenters guaranteed that there would be something for everyone.

Came Saturday afternoon, we once again were gathered together for a wonderful reception featuring the music of our maestro, who continued to play for the crowd during the breaks of our live remote broadcast that evening! That show was one I'll never forget, especially the end when we engaged in a group singing of Dixie that came straight from the heart. I noticed the glistening eyes of more people than just myself during that emotional moment. I hope those who could not be there with us in person were able to draw inspiration from this very special presentation of TPC.

On Sunday morning those who did not have to catch early flights home traveled from our venue to the Nathan Bedford Forrest memorial where we had the opportunity to salute a true American hero and learn more about a man whose real life rivals the exploits of any mythological god. It was a fitting end to a truly magnificent fellowship.

This is just a tiny review of what was experienced over the course of those three days, though we have asked an attendee to pen an official report. We joined together not just to discuss the things in which we believe, but to celebrate who we are, and that's something that cuts to the very fiber of our beings. As I shared with one gentleman, it is difficult for me to put into words the amount of respect and admiration that I have for our audience. Though I was full of these feelings before we gathered on that Friday, October 17, they seem to have grown exponentially since then.

My biggest supporter, who is 38 weeks pregnant, lets our daughter take a nap on her lap during the dinner banquet. In addition to my wife, there was no shortage of gorgeous young ladies in attendance.



This beautiful flag, which was presented to me by a gentleman from Arkansas, was on full display at Forrest Park.

A tribute to James Anthony Traficant, Jr., 1941-2014

By Lt. Colonel Donald Sullivan (Ret)
aloe910@aol.com

Former Congressman James ("Jim") Anthony Traficant, Jr., died on September 27, 2014, at St. Elisabeth Health Center in Youngstown, Ohio, after suffering an apparent heart attack while moving his vintage 1943 Ford tractor inside a 140-foot pole barn on the family farm. He was 73.

At 7:50 PM on September 23rd, Andrew Thomson of Mercer, Pennsylvania, was looking at farm equipment with Traficant and talking with him as "The Vindicator" drove his tractor into the barn. When Thomson didn't get a response from the Congressman, he checked the barn, saw him under the tractor and called 911. Apparently, the tractor had struck a large steel blade on the ground rolling forward with the unconscious driver on board, which caused it to flip with Congressman Traficant still trapped in the vehicle's seat and the tractor on top of him.

Emergency responders were there in minutes, lifted the tractor off Congressman Traficant and administered CPR to him. They also got him out of the barn because gas was leaking from the tractor. He was initially transported to Salem Regional Hospital, then relocated to St. Elisabeth's where he was pronounced "brain dead." The family decided to disconnect him from life support at 2:00 PM on Friday, September 26th. He survived another 21 hours before sliding away. He had been in a medically induced coma since the accident.

My brush with Jim Traficant

I wrote the following article about Jim Traficant for the May edition of **TFF**, but space limitations prevented its publication. Here it is, slightly modified to reflect Congressman Traficant's untimely death:

On April 4-6, I attended the annual Freedom Rally presented by the Freedom Law School in Orlando, Florida, at the Holiday Inn near Orlando International Airport. There were many outstanding presenters at the seminar, but the most memorable was former Ohio Congressman Jim Traficant (Democrat), "son of a truck driver," local football star from Youngstown, Ohio, who was once elected sheriff of his home county and a man on a mission. He had every one in the room standing and applauding throughout his first speech on Saturday (You can catch the entire speech at http://financearmageddon.blogspot.com/2014/09/james-traficants-last-public-speech.html). At 73 years old, he was a powerhouse.

He described to us how, by amending the IRS Restructuring and Reform Act of 1998 while in Congress, he had succeeded in moving the burden of proof from the taxpayer to the IRS in criminal litigation as part of the taxpayer's declaration of rights, also adding the requirement that the IRS follow due process procedures or face a hearing before a judge if timely requested by the taxpayer. That effort resulted in the "Collection Due Process Hearing," and we have Congressman Traficant to thank for it, among others.

In the year before his due process amendment passed, there were 3.6 million wage garnishments by the IRS, 677,000 property liens and 10,073 seizures of family-owned homes; in the year after the bill 560,000 wage garnishments, 161,000 property liens and only 57 seizures of family-owned homes by the IRS! We can thank him for that as well.

His reward? He was wrongly accused and prosecuted for taking bribes while in Congress. Jim spent seven years in federal prison, and not any low security prison, mind you, but maximum security. He said he was asked every year by the DOJ to "confess to his crime" in exchange for his freedom; and he declined, six times, when serving his full sentence and graduating with honor.

Mahoning Valley's most famous, and infamous, politician of the last half-century was indicted by a federal grand jury on May 4, 2001. The family farm where he was later injured was a key location in his political corruption trial of 2002. Among his convictions was having contractors do work at the farm for political favors, and having congressional staff members work there while on federal time. Jim maintained to me that he had been convicted with absolutely no evidence presented against him. He had beaten his accusers once before in court representing himself, but this second time was overwhelmed. They not only took his Congressional pension but also his wife's. Traficant vehemently maintained his innocence for the rest of his life. I can't help but wonder how many other members of Congress have been found guilty of stuff and never had their pensions snatched away.

He blamed the Democrats (his party) mostly for the fix we're in, saying "they get elected by campaigns which divide the rich and the poor, promise to stop wars and tax the rich; then, once in office, they pass laws which cut deals for the rich and increase funding for their wars." The Fair Justice Act he was trying to pass when he was taken out would have created a new agency whose sole mission would have been to investigate crimes committed by the DOJ and IRS.

In his second presentation on Sunday, he said, "We have the 16th amendment because the Founders didn't want the personal industry of the people to be taxed. It's no longer a problem of taxation, it's a problem of freedom. The Congress had no program for freedom." Jim Traficant had a program for freedom, and he called it "Project Freedom USA" (see details at http://www.projectfreedomusa.org/about-1.html). This grassroots project calls for eliminating the income tax; eliminate the Federal Reserve; repudiate and discharge all U. S. debt to the "banksters" (his word) including the federal reserve, but pay legitimate debt, *i.e.*, bond debt; return to Congress the power to issue debt-free money backed by our gold, silver, national assets and the full faith and confidence of our powerful American economy; eliminate the Departments of Energy, Education, Health and Welfare, sending responsibility for those programs back to the States where it belongs; end withholding taxes on wages and salaries; end all taxes on savings; eliminate corporate, capital gains and inheritance taxes; eliminate tax on social security payments; eliminate all record keeping by citizens previously needed to satisfy their perceived income tax liability; and put an end to the Medicare tax.

Although he would like to repeal the 16th and 17th amendments and restrict the 14th to those truly "under the jurisdiction of the United States," he does not include these goals in his Project Freedom because he does not trust a constitutional convention.

Recognizing that the expenses of government must come from somewhere, Project Freedom USA would add a 15% flat tax on all new goods and services at final retail sale along with a 35% reduction in government spending, to start. (I would personally also support enforcement of the Internal Revenue Code *only* against those to whom it applies: Americans receiving income overseas or from U. S. possessions, foreign corporations and non-resident aliens, legal or otherwise.) Any surplus revenues would be refunded to each household annually. Traficant suspected the trade deficit, currently around three quarters of a trillion dollars a year, would be reversed very quickly. Everyone paying the tax, our underground economy would disappear.

The mechanism for collecting the tax is already in place. It would piggy back on the State excise tax in States having such a tax. In the four States which have no excise tax, a new federal tax mechanism would have to be added. The entire burden of income tax reporting and collection would be gone. The industry created to support the income tax would be gone. With the Federal Reserve gone, there would be no "fiat" money. Americans would at last be freer than they have been in over a hundred years.



While I personally do not support any legislation which would give the Congress a new power to tax, Project Freedom USA would work if it specifically took out enforcement of the income tax as we now know it and directed it at only those with the liability as stated in the IRC: Americans receiving income overseas or from U. S. possessions, foreign corporations and non-resident aliens, legal or otherwise.

Congressman Traficant quoted an IRS commissioner as having stated under oath: "Without the element of fear, we would not be able to collect our taxes." Which brought Traficant to observe, "We need to eliminate this fear of government. If we move Congress to implement Project Freedom, it would save America. With entrepreneurs taking risks, you will see jobs created the old way. The Freedom Bill will protect social security and Medicare with sufficient money coming in constitutionally to pay for them. Any item sold as used will not be taxed. Garage sales, lawn sales – *no tax*. Only new goods and services will be taxed. The trade deficit will kill us before the budget deficit does, if the economy doesn't kill us first. If any of these happens, our economy will implode within a year…

"I introduced a bill to put 10,000 federal soldiers on the border to stop illegal immigration. Instead, we got DHS and open borders… Medicare, Social Security and the debt are not included in budget audits because they are at the whim and control of Congress and can be eliminated at any time."

As Traficant said, Project Freedom USA is a grassroots movement. It is not a sprint but a marathon. It starts with local meetings in every State, intended to generate support for the program while it builds momentum as the word gets out. Each State is to have a coordinator who will attend national update meetings and spread the information. It was to be a mostly internet project, of course, although Congressman Traficant had aimed to visit as many local meetings as possible to explain the plan. He was at the time developing a video presentation to help promote Project Freedom.

It is hoped that a mainstream presidential candidate will pick up on this idea for his campaign, if Project Freedom USA can get legs in time for 2016. There is also support for an as yet unnamed freedom-minded individual to run as a third party candidate using the Project Freedom platform. Those at this initial conference tried to insist that Congressman Traficant run as his or her vice-president, but no commitments were made.

When Congressman Traficant announced Freedom Project USA as established, he also informed us that Freedom Law School had agreed to support his nationwide tour promoting the project, whose progress was to be reported weekly in the *American Free Press* newspaper, cosponsor of the movement.

Congressman Traficant was convinced, as am I, that America is running out of time. Project Freedom USA looks like the quickest way to throw the bums out and get the American economy back on the freedom road. At the very least, it provides the mechanism to undo the damage which has been done over the past 150 years. Jim asked me to direct the project for North Carolina; but, due to my overwhelming challenges with the legal system and the IRS, I told him I could not accept because I would not do the position justice, and recommended another for the job.

Jim closed his presentation with this unrelated comment: "Snowden should be brought back in a chariot as a hero in his own parade." I agree completely, only Jim Traficant says it louder than I can.

Smart men listened when Jim Traficant spoke, for he had been there and done that.

Some say Jim Traficant was murdered to abort his efforts to save the republic, that he was framed and convicted without evidence against him by those who were sworn to "protect and serve" him as an American, one more disgraceful example of the criminals in government. His death also trumpets to his colleagues the message of "Be careful of what you say and do around here, lest the same happen to you."

In an interview done six months ago just after launching Project Freedom USA, Traficant repeats a warning from a friend: "They've already destroyed you. They've taken away your name. Be careful you don't get in too much of the public eye again because they'll kill you." Check http://www.zengardner.com/triubute-late-great-james-traficant/ and see a list of others silenced under questionable circumstances for protesting the questionable practices of our current federal government online at http://www.nachumlist.com/deadpool.htm

In any event, U. S. Congressman Jim Traficant will be greatly missed and fondly remembered, both as a friend and as one of the greatest American patriots of our generation. May he rest in peace.

Item B022

Did Six Million Really Die?

By Richard Harwood

The most controversial and "expensive" little English language publication printed in modern times; millions of words have condemned and praised this booklet. More millions of dollars have been spent on both sides in litigation as the result of the two major Zündel Holocaust Trials. Anybody who wants to study the fundamental arguments of Revisionism should start here!



Large-format, 30 page saddle-stitched booklet
$12.00

Postage and handling – U. S. Customers only:

You are on the honors system; please be generous.

Foreign Countries: Please add $3 to get air mail delivery.

Send to: Ingrid Rimland Zündel, Ed.D.
3152 Parkway, 13-109
Pigeon Forge, TN 37863

Become a distributor
Order extra copies of
The First Freedom
Help your friends shed the scales from their eyes!
See coupon on page 24
Let's roll!

Top cleric: Saudi regime source of all Mideast problems

presstv.ir

A senior Iranian cleric has dismissed as "false" recent allegations by the Saudi foreign minister that Iran meddles in the internal affairs of regional countries, saying the Persian Gulf monarchy is the source of all problems as it sponsors terrorists in the region.

Addressing worshippers at the weekly Friday Prayers in the Iranian capital, Tehran, Ayatollah Ahmad Khatami expressed surprise over the allegation by Saud al-Faisal, saying the Saudi regime is attempting to shift the blame for fueling tension in the Middle East to Iran.

Saudi-Israeli axis

He went on to ask, "Doesn't everybody know that your petrodollars created the ISIL? To whom is it unknown that every act of terror in the Islamic world is carried out with your petrodollars?"

Addressing the Saudi officials, the cleric added, "If you want to know the truth, it is that you are not part of the problem but the whole problem [in the Middle East]."

"Of course, we totally understand why you are upset," Khatami said, noting that Saudi Arabia has spent billions of dollars to topple the Syrian government and to achieve its objectives in Iraq, but all its plots have failed.

Speaking in a joint press conference with his German counterpart, Frank-Walter Steinmeier, in the Saudi city of Jeddah on October 13, Faisal had claimed Iran was "part of the problem, not the solution" in the Middle East, alleging that Tehran "cannot play any role in the region" if its policies remain unchanged.

ISIL Takfiri terrorists

The Saudi foreign minister also accused Iran of having "occupying forces" in Syria despite the fact that Iran has firmly dismissed reports about its military involvement in Syria and Iraq, stressing that Tehran's support for the two Arab nations is in the form of humanitarian aid and military consultation.

The allegations against Iran come as the Iraqi and Syrian authorities repeatedly have criticized Riyadh and its Persian Gulf Arab allies for fomenting terrorism in their countries.

Bankrollers

Saudi Arabia and other Persian Gulf kingdoms are widely known as being the major sponsors of Takfiri terrorist groups, including ISIL and al-Nusra Front, which are operating in Iraq and Syria.

U. S. issues undisguised threats against Hungary

hungarianambiance.com

After Washington had imposed travel restrictions on several Hungarian citizens accusing them of corruption, the Hungarian ministry of foreign affairs summoned U. S. Embassy secretary André Goodfriend on October 16 to explain, since it might be due to the fact that Hungary's Tax Department is investigating several U. S. companies and institutions in Hungary, reports *Napi Gazdaság*. By threatening Hungarian officials with punitive measures, it may be that the American side wants to influence the outcome of those investigations.

Hungarians suspect that the punitive measures are part of a covert operation to undermine the Hungarian government's reputation in domestic and international relations and eventually replace it with an atlanticist puppet regime. These hostile acts by the Americans can only increase anti-American sentiments in Hungarian society.

One of the signs of this American effort was seen in Hungarian Foreign Minister Péter Szijjártó's visit to the U, S., where he was to be received only by a lower-ranking ministry official – Victoria Nuland – in Washington rather than the U. S. Secretary of State.

A U. S. Embassy official claimed the United States from time to time imposes these sorts of punitive measures against its allies, but, asked to give a specific example when was this punitive measure used the last time and name the country that was targeted by it, he couldn't give any.

Foreign Minister Péter Szijjártó called on the American government to provide specific information about the corruption case. Otherwise, these allegations raise a suspicion that the U. S. wants to interfere in Hungary's internal affairs.

The foreign minister said the United States Embassy in Budapest so far hasn't disclosed the names of those Hungarian citizens banned from entering the United States, nor has it disclosed any evidence or credible information regarding the alleged corruption case.

The Parliament's National Security Committee has held a special meeting in this case to inform faction leaders.

Foreign Ministry Press Officer Judit Fülöp announced that Peter Szijjártó has informed the opposition on government efforts to make the United States Chargé d'Affaires in Budapest release credible information about the alleged corruption case and publish the names of Hungarian citizens affected by the travel restrictions.

Péter Szijjártó noted that the Hungarian Government fully agrees with the opposition in this case and demands full disclosure of all relevant information concerning the corruption allegations.

Zionism is Communism

By Senator Jack Tenney, once head of California Un-American Activities Committee. Studies Zionism and finds that it is another form of Communism.

82 pages, $8 PPD

Truth at Last Books

P. O. Box 1211, Marietta, GA 30061

Ebola and the wicked Western conscience

English translation exclusively for **TFF**
by Richard Noegel, rxn30904@gmail.com

By Charles Demassieux

www.ripostelaïque.com

Never at a loss for euphemisms, the French media these days are covering the "psychosis" that has gripped the United States over the spread of the Ebola virus following the death of a man on American soil which was linked to the virus.

Obviously, I am not going to contest the fact of the frequent and excessive alarmism of the Americans, because they are fed a steady diet of alarmism by their television sets, but what is all the talk about?

What we are talking about is a virus for which, at present, we know of no certain treatment that is capable of protecting us definitively; about a deadly virus that can be transmitted with disconcerting ease. But what is hidden behind these journalists' condescending detachment with regard to the Americans' fears?

Our [French] television networks gave us the beginnings of an answer to that question when they brought us the "poignant" testimony of the mother of a family that springs from our "diversity," and who lives in Boulogne-Billancourt, and one of whose children recently made a trip to West Africa (Guinea), a region that has been ravaged by the virus, as everybody already knew.

What did this new "drama of intolerance" reveal? It revealed that some French families had chosen to take their children out of the school attended by the immigrant child, as a safety measure.

After her misfortune was put on display for the whole of the French people, the immigrant mother chose to file a complaint. But on what grounds? The fear of French parents, whether irrational or not, is this now a crime? In other words, and to pursue the reasoning to its logical conclusion, are ordinary Frenchmen now to face [legal] condemnation just for saying that they are not going to do their Christmas shopping in large department stores for fear of an Islamist attack, which has been promised by certain jihadists – attacks, according to them, using the virus, and other calamities of the same sort?

The fear is unavoidable; it is a present reality: Some thousands of individuals have already made round-trips between West Africa and Europe, Air France having, for the present, maintained their connection with Conakry (Guinea), even as the epidemic is gaining ground. The incubation period of the virus "varies from two to 21 days, more often from four to nine days" (source: Wikipedia). How, under these conditions, can we be certain of the efficacy of the health measures belatedly put in place here in France, and thus avoid the "psychosis"?

Some cagey analysts are even putting forward the idea that the spread of the epidemic in Africa can be blamed solely on Westerners, whom journalists have shown once again are…racists! Read this quote from the LCR website [Translator's note: LCR is the Ligue Communiste Révolutionnnaire, the Communist Revolutionary League):

"If we consider the way in which the international community has reacted to the current Ebola epidemic, one can't help but think that racism had a big part in it. The foreigners [meaning "Whites" – translator] affected by the illness have not been treated in the same way as those in the original countries hit by the epidemic. The latter have been kept in under-equipped installations and have not been evacuated to specialized units in Europe and North America. As for the recently developed experimental therapies, they are not available except in small quantities, which poses the problem of justice in their disposition, if they really work" (source of quote: www.lcr-lagauche.org/ebola).

Incidentally, readers [of ripostelaïque] will appreciate the LCR's logo, which shows a veiled woman seated on a throne, right next to Karl Marx, the symbol, as everybody knows, of liberty and social justice!

Which will it be?

"Philosophy teaches us to doubt that which seems obvious to us. Propaganda, on the other hand, teaches us to accept as obvious that which it would be reasonable to doubt." (Aldous Huxley)

Nobody stops this private printing press

presstv.ir

Over 100 officials at the Washington headquarters of the U. S. central bank – the Federal Reserve – get an average of a quarter of a million dollars per year, which is more than what Fed Chair Janet Yellen receives.

Yellen earns $201,700 a year, but her 113 staffers make $246,506 annually, excluding bonuses and other benefits, the Reuters news agency reported on October 17.

Reuters obtained these details under a Freedom of Information Act application for data on all members of the Fed's board whose salaries are over $130,810, which is the top of the administration's pay scale in most areas.

Supporters of higher salaries at the U. S. central bank say that the Fed needs top talent, and claim that its expenses are not paid by American taxpayers. Others, however, do not agree with these claims.

At least 113 staffers at the U. S. Federal Reserve get more than Fed Chair Janet Yellen.

"It certainly bolsters the case for more oversight," said Maggie Seidel, a spokeswoman for New Jersey Republican Scott Garrett, a co-sponsor of a bill in the U. S. House of Representatives that would require the central bank to publish that information.

This is while, according to the U. S. Census Bureau, half of Americans are now considered poor and the country's income inequality is the worst in the developed world.

According to a Gallup survey, twenty percent of Americans said in May that unemployment or jobs were the most important problems they faced.

The Fed

Smedley Butler and the racket that is war

By Sheldon Richman
fff.org

From 1898 to 1931, Smedley Darlington Butler was a member of the U. S. Marine Corps. By the time he retired he had achieved what was then the corps's highest rank, major general, and by the time he died in 1940, at 58, he had more decorations, including two medals of honor, than any other Marine. During his years in the corps he was sent to the Philippines (at the time of the uprising against the American occupation), China, France (during World War I), Mexico, Central America and Haiti.



In light of this record Butler presumably shocked a good many people when in 1935 – as a second world war was looming – he wrote in the magazine *Common Sense:*

"I spent 33 years and four months in active military service and during that period I spent most of my time as a high class muscle man for Big Business, for Wall Street and the bankers. In short, I was a racketeer, a gangster for capitalism [corporatism]. I helped make Mexico and especially Tampico safe for American oil interests in 1914. I helped make Haiti and Cuba a decent place for the National City Bank boys to collect revenues in. I helped in the raping of half a dozen Central American republics for the benefit of Wall Street. I helped purify Nicaragua for the International Banking House of Brown Brothers in 1902-1912. I brought light to the Dominican Republic for the American sugar interests in 1916. I helped make Honduras right for the American fruit companies in 1903. In China in 1927 I helped see to it that Standard Oil went on its way unmolested. Looking back on it, I might have given Al Capone a few hints. The best he could do was to operate his racket in three districts. I operated on three continents."

Confessions of a hit man

That same year he published a short book with the now-famous title *War Is a Racket*, for which he is best known today. Butler opened the book with these words:

"War is a racket. It always has been.

"It is possibly the oldest, easily the most profitable, surely the most vicious. It is the only one international in scope. It is the only one in which the profits are reckoned in dollars and the losses in lives."

He followed this by noting: "For a great many years, as a soldier, I had a suspicion that war was a racket; not until I retired to civil life did I fully realize it. Now that I see the international war clouds gathering, as they are today, I must face it and speak out."

Butler went on to describe who bears the costs of war – the men who die or return home with wrecked lives, and the taxpayers – and who profits – the companies that sell goods and services to the military. (The term military-industrial complex would not gain prominence until 1961, when Dwight Eisenhower used it in his presidential farewell address. See Nick Turse's book *The Complex: How the Military Invades Our Everyday Lives*.)

Writing in the mid-1930s, Butler foresaw a U. S. war with Japan to protect trade with China and investments in the Philippines, and declared that it would make no sense to the average American:

"We would be all stirred up to hate Japan and go to war – a war that might well cost us tens of billions of dollars, hundreds of thousands of lives of Americans, and many more hundreds of thousands of physically maimed and mentally unbalanced men.

"Of course, for this loss, there would be a compensating profit – fortunes would be made. Millions and billions of dollars would be piled up. By a few. Munitions makers. Bankers. Ship builders. Manufacturers. Meat packers. Speculators. They would fare well.…

"But what does it profit the men who are killed? What does it profit their mothers and sisters, their wives and their sweethearts? What does it profit their children?

"What does it profit anyone except the very few to whom war means huge profits?"

Empire racket

Noting that "until 1898 [and the Spanish-American War] we didn't own a bit of territory outside the mainland of North America," he observed that after becoming an expansionist world power, the U. S. government's debt swelled 25 times and "we forgot George Washington's warning about 'entangling alliances.' We went to war. We acquired outside territory…

"It would have been far cheaper (not to say safer) for the average American who pays the bills to stay out of foreign entanglements. For a very few this racket, like bootlegging and other underworld rackets, brings fancy profits, but the cost of operations is always transferred to the people – who do not profit."

Butler detailed the huge profits of companies that sold goods to the government during past wars and interventions and the banks that made money handling the government's bonds.

"The normal profits of a business concern in the United States are six, eight, ten, and sometimes twelve percent. But war-time profits – ah! that is another matter – twenty, sixty, one hundred, three hundred, and even eighteen hundred per cent – the sky is the limit. All that traffic will bear. Uncle Sam has the money. Let's get it.

"Of course, it isn't put that crudely in war time. It is dressed into speeches about patriotism, love of country, and 'we must all put our shoulders to the wheel,' but the profits jump and leap and skyrocket – and are safely pocketed."

And who provides these returns? "We all pay them – in taxation… But the soldier pays the biggest part of the bill."

His description of conditions at veterans' hospitals reminded me of what we're hearing today about the dilapidated veterans' health care system. Butler expressed his outrage at how members of the armed forces are essentially tricked into going to war – at a pitiful wage.

Smedley Butler

"Beautiful ideals were painted for our boys who were sent out to die. This was the "war to end all wars." This was the "war to make the world safe for democracy." No one mentioned to them, as they marched away, that their going and their dying would mean huge war profits. No one told these American soldiers that they might be shot down by bullets made by their own brothers here. No one told them that the ships on which they were going to cross might be torpedoed by submarines built with United States patents. They were just told it was to be a 'glorious adventure.'

"Thus, having stuffed patriotism down their throats, it was decided to make them help pay for the war, too. So, we gave them the large salary of $30 a month."

Butler proposed ways to make war less likely. Unlike others, he had little faith in disarmament conferences and the like. Rather, he suggested three measures: (1) take the profit out of war by conscripting "capital and industry and labor" at $30 a month before soldiers are conscripted; (2) submit the question of entry into a proposed war to a vote only of "those who would be called upon to do the fighting and dying"; (3) "make certain that our military forces are truly forces for defense only."

Eternal armchair warriors

It's unlikely that these measures would ever be adopted by Congress or signed by a president, and of course conscription is morally objectionable, even if the idea of drafting war profiteers has a certain appeal. But Butler's heart was in the right place. He was aware that his program would not succeed: "I am not a fool as to believe that war is a thing of the past."

Yet in 1936 he formalized his opposition to war in his proposed constitutional "Amendment for Peace." It contained three provisions:

- The removal of the members of the land armed forces from within the continental limits of the United States and the Panama Canal Zone for any cause whatsoever is prohibited.
- The vessels of the United States Navy, or of the other branches of the armed service, are hereby prohibited from steaming, for any reason whatsoever except on an errand of mercy, more than five hundred miles from our coast.
- Aircraft of the Army, Navy and Marine Corps is hereby prohibited from flying, for any reason whatsoever, more than seven hundred and fifty miles beyond the coast of the United States.

He elaborated on the amendment and philosophy of defense in an article in *Woman's Home Companion*, September 1936.

It's a cliché of course to say, "The more things change, the more they stay the same," but on reading Butler today, who can resist thinking it? As we watch Barack Obama unilaterally and illegally reinsert the U. S. military into the Iraqi disaster it helped cause and sink deeper into the violence in Syria, we might all join in the declaration with which Butler closes his book:

To hell with war!

Postscript: In 1934 Butler publicly claimed he had been approached by a group of businessmen about leading half a million war veterans in a coup against President Franklin D. Roosevelt with the aim of establishing a fascist dictatorship. This is known as the "Business Plot." A special committee set up by the U. S. House of Representatives, which heard testimony from Butler and others, reportedly issued a document containing some confirmation. The alleged plot is the subject of at least one book, *The Plot to Seize the White House*, and many articles.

Sheldon Richman is vice president of The Future of Freedom Foundation and editor of FFF's monthly journal, *Future of Freedom*. For 15 years he was editor of *The Freeman*, published by the Foundation for Economic Education in Irvington, New York. He is the author of FFF's award-winning book Se*parating School & State: How to Liberate America's Families; Your Money or Your Life: Why We Must Abolish the Income Tax;* and *Tethered Citizens: Time to Repeal the Welfare State*. Calling for the abolition, not the reform, of public schooling. *Separating School & State* has become a landmark book in both libertarian and educational circles. In his column in the *Financial Times*, Michael Prowse wrote: "I recommend a subversive tract, *Separating School & State*, by Sheldon Richman of the Cato Institute, a Washington think tank… I also think that Mr. Richman is right to fear that State education undermines personal responsibility..." Sheldon's articles on economic policy, education, civil liberties, American history, foreign policy and the Middle East have appeared in the *Washington Post*, *Wall Street Journal*, *American Scholar*, *Chicago Tribune*, *USA Today*, *Washington Times*, *The American Conservative*, *Insight*, *Cato Policy Report*, *Journal of Economic Development*, *The Freeman*, *The World & I*, *Reason*, Washington *Report on Middle East Affairs*, *Middle East Policy*, *Liberty* magazine and other publications. He is a contributor to the *The Concise Encyclopedia of Economics*. A former newspaper reporter and senior editor at the Cato Institute and the Institute for Humane Studies, Sheldon is a graduate of Temple University in Philadelphia.

Voice of sanity falls on deaf ears

Putin tells Obama that sowing discord between nuclear powers can undermine strategic security.

By Paul Craig Roberts
paulcraigroberts.org

In an October 15 interview with *Politika*, a Serbian newspaper, Russia's president, Vladimir Putin, said that it is futile and dangerous for the U. S. and its European puppets to blackmail Russia and that the Exceptional Nation and its vassals should consider the risks that are inherent in aggressive disputes between countries heavily armed with nuclear weapons. Putin noted that Obama took a hostile attitude toward Russia in his U. N. speech to the General Assembly on September 24 when Obama declared Russia to be one of the three threats to the world along with the Islamic State and Ebola. President Putin said that unilateral and punitive actions taken against Russia can provoke a crisis, and if Washington's purpose is to "isolate our country, it is an absurd and illusory goal."

Here are some of President Putin's direct quotes:

"How can we talk about de-escalation in Ukraine while decisions on new sanctions are introduced almost simultaneously with the agreements on the peace process?"

"Together with sanctions against entire sectors of our economy, this approach can be called nothing but hostile."

"We hope that our partners will realize the futility of attempts to blackmail Russia and remember what consequences discord between major nuclear powers could bring for strategic stability."

If we don't all die from nuclear blasts, radiation, and nuclear winter, it will be because of the humanity and common sense – both of which are missing in Washington – of the President of Russia.

Paranoid ZOG

Look around you. The economies and stock markets of Western civilization are in retreat. Stupid and incompetent public authorities have brought Ebola to America. And what is Washington doing? The energies of the Exceptional Government are focused on combating the Islamic State, a creature created by Washington itself, and on demonizing Russia.

Has any country, anywhere on the fact of the earth, at any period of history been so totally misruled as the United States?

Americans need to understand that their government is not merely incompetent and immoral, but that it is evil. Washington hides behind moral language but is itself devoid of moral conscience. There is no evil of which Washington is incapable. Those who support Washington support evil.

Wanted
Thinkers
Survivors

The First Freedom is spreading "hate!" whine those who misapprehend us survivors. It's really out of pity that we try so hard to de-program the brainwashed. Order a box of 100 extra copies for $35 or $40 on page 24 and help distribute the truth.

One State can lead the charge

By Dave Benner
tenthamendmentcenter.com

At times, the federal government seems overwhelming. Frankly, it often appears as an unstoppable juggernaut without any obstacle in its path. Undoubtedly, the scale of power assumed by the federal government has been immense. It has morphed from the stated purpose of a "more perfect union" to an unconstrained Nationalist State.

Despite this perception, Judge Andrew Napolitano recently suggested that if a single State acted to obstruct federal mandates, it would make new federal gun laws "nearly impossible to enforce" within that State.

Napolitano's words are not based on theory, they have been definitively proven.

Judge Andrew Napolitano

One State

Washington recently stood up to the federal government's anti-marijuana mandates in a direct way. After gathering the requisite amount of signatures in 2011, Washington passed Initiative 502 after a successful referendum on the November 2012 general ballot. This initiative is credited with spurring an 81% electoral turnout in Washington, the highest in the union. While the State retained regulatory power over marijuana usage, it turned its back on and intentionally disregarded federal restrictions toward the substance.

After observing Washington gather enough signatures for its referendum, Colorado followed suit in opposing federal drug prohibitions. Once started as a proposal in January 2012, the State passed a constitutional amendment to legalize marijuana usage after its own referendum on November 6, 2012. As a consequence, another State stood firmly against federal policy and produced an intentionally conflicting license to possess and use a substance banned by the federal government.

Nullification does it

The actions of Washington and Colorado seem to have forced the hand of the union's capitol. At this point, the White House has conceded the use of its power to prosecute marijuana usage in these States. This development can only be attributed to the amount of resources the government would have to devote to this enforcement strategy, and the cooperation needed from State officials to pursue and secure convictions.

This phenomenon of successful resistance is not restricted to substance control.

Growing resistance

In 2007, immediate controversy arose over the federal government's desire to issue identification card standards for the States. In doing so, the federal government was interested in making identification data uniform, requiring the States to adopt the same process to obtain an identification card, and linking State information databases.

The REAL ID Act, as it wanted to be called, imposed a National standard by forcing the States to adopt uniform standards for their identification cards – or did it?

Maine responded by passing a resolution in 2007 that refused any type of REAL ID adoption in the State. Surely, Maine's legislature was warned by opponents who dreaded the thought of opposing the federal juggernaut. Leading the charge, Maine showed that one State can stand up against the iron will of the federal State.

When Maine stood up, Utah took notice. Utah passed a similar bill a month later. The Utah law noted that REAL ID is "in opposition to the Jeffersonian principles of individual liberty, free markets and limited government." Since that time, 22 States passed similar bills and resolutions, creating additional opposition toward the National standard. Similar resolutions are pending in over a dozen additional States.

Case closed

As a result, the REAL ID Act has been effectively neutered in much of the country. The federal government has not elected to devote its resources to enforce the mandate, sue the participating States or send in the tanks as a result.

From these two examples, we can observe that a large part of the federal government's contemporary supremacy is reliant on the cooperation it receives from the States. Absent this cooperation, the federal government is not as fierce and imposing as it may seem.

Political philosopher and economist Hans-Hermann Hoppe realized this, noting:

"Without local enforcement, by compliant local authorities, the will of the central government is not much more than hot air."

Willing tolerance

Surely, our contemporary perception toward the federal government is at least partially because of the "built in" State cooperation that contributes to its power apparatus.

In The Federalist #46, Madison suggested shattering this type of cooperation through State barricades, which could be utilized to block unconstitutional and unpopular federal law. He wrote that "refusal to cooperate with officers of the union" would be a viable strategy, and said multiple States taking this approach would create "obstructions which the federal government would hardly be willing to encounter."

Madison did not suggest waiting for the federal courts to weigh in on controversial policy, either as a final hope or last resort.

Self-preservation

Recent history suggests that when States invoke Madison's advice and refuse to cooperate, the ambitions of the federal government can be rendered impotent and ineffectual. Federal authority is not infallible or impervious.

The next time the federal government seems too powerful and imposing, or naysayers doubt the anti-commandeering doctrine or nullification strategy, consider the alternative. In these recent instances, States have proven the federal government simply cannot enforce all it wants to in the face of blatant opposition. The States that stood up first on these matters began the charge toward liberty.

Iran helps Gaza rebuild and defend itself

Shalah stressed that "the Palestinian people have gained their will and resistance from Allah's will and Israel no longer has any weight before our people and the free peoples of the world."

middleeastmonitor.com

"The reconstruction of Gaza and the development of its defense capabilities in the face of the Zionist entity's crimes are on Iran's agenda," Ali Shamkhani, secretary of the Supreme Council of the Iranian National Security, has promised.

During a meeting with Secretary-General of the Islamic Jihad Movement of Palestine Ramadan Abdullah Mohammad Shalah in Tehran, Shamkhani said: "The brilliant victory achieved by the resistance during the 51-day war was achieved due to their adherence to Palestinian principles and the sacrifices they made in the face of the Zionist's ambitions."

He stressed that "Tehran will continue to support the Palestinian resistance and the Palestinian people and send humanitarian aid to the people of the Gaza Strip."

The two sides discussed the region's developments and the Islamic State (ISIS). Shamkhani said: "The aim behind creating ideological groups is to distract people, economies and defense capacities of Islamic countries from the Palestinian cause and create divisions between Muslims over providing security in the occupied Palestinian territories."

Saeed Jalili, member of the Expediency Council, which also met Shalah, said: "Gaza has become a model for the victory of the resistance and the defeat of America and Zionism."

The Secretary-General of Islamic Jihad described the Iranian Islamic revolution as "the biggest political earthquake in the 20th Century."

He thanked Tehran for "supporting the Palestinian resistance," adding that "the Palestinian resistance has gained experience in the non-compliance of the Zionist entity with the ceasefire agreements," stressing the resistance's readiness "to face any Israeli aggression".

Shalah stressed that "the Palestinian people have gained their will and resistance from Allah's will and Israel no longer has any weight before our people and the free peoples of the world."

Lebanon welcomes Iran's military aid: Larijani

presstv.ir

Iran's Majlis Speaker Ali Larijani says his Lebanese counterpart, Nabih Berri, supports the Islamic Republic's provision of military aid for the Lebanese army.

Speaking to reporters in Geneva on October 13, Larijani added that order and security in the region will increase with the strengthening of the defensive power of the Lebanese army.

Iran's Majlis Speaker Ali Larijani

"The Islamic Republic of Iran aims to support the security of the region and Lebanon and has no other intention in this regard and such issues will naturally be settled between the two governments," he said.

Assisting

On September 30, the Secretary of Iran's Supreme National Security Council (SNSC) Ali Shamkhani said in Beirut that Tehran would provide military equipment to the Lebanese army for the fight against terror.

Lebanon has been rocked by the spillover of the conflict in Syria, where the Takfiri ISIL terrorists are operating.

Syria has been gripped by deadly violence since 2011 with ISIL Takfiri terrorists currently controlling parts of it, mostly in the east and north.

Larijani, who was in Switzerland at the head of a parliamentary delegation to partake in the 131st Assembly of the Inter-Parliamentary Union (IPU), further pointed to his separate meetings with his Syrian, Lebanese, Serbian and Bangladeshi counterparts and said, "Many countries, particularly European States, are concerned about the violent and savage terrorist movements in the region."

He noted that many countries support Iran's role in the fight against terrorists and are keen to strengthen ties with Tehran.

Iran has been providing support for the Iraqi and Syrian governments, including humanitarian aid and consultation, in their campaign against the Takfiri militants and was the first country to provide military assistance to Iraqi Kurdish forces fighting ISIL.

Germany's major mistakes in Afghan war

presstv.ir

On October 12, the German foreign minister admitted that Berlin had made major mistakes in its military engagement in the war-torn country, noting, "There is a lot to indicate that our biggest mistake was to create expectations that were too high."

He added that Berlin had made efforts "at high speed" to guide Afghanistan "into a future according to our own vision."

"But we also need humility. We must accept sometimes how little can be changed from the outside," the German foreign minister said. He also warned against a hasty withdrawal from Afghanistan "like the Americans did in Vietnam in 1975," noting that Kabul is still fighting a thriving drug trade and corruption.

Foreign Minister Frank Walter Steinmeier

This comes as the newly-elected administration of Afghan President Ashraf Ghani signed an agreement that will allow soldiers from Germany, Italy and other NATO member States to join the 9,800 remaining U. S. soldiers. A total of 12,500 soldiers are expected to stay in Afghanistan.

The United States and its allies attacked Afghanistan in 2001 as part of Washington's so-called war on terror. The offensive removed the Taliban from power, but insecurity remains in the country, despite the presence of tens of thousands of foreign troops.



Cicero interviews White Nationalist Robert Ransdell

Marcus Cicero
dailystormer.com

The following transcript relates the content of an enlightening phone interview I held with White Nationalist Kentucky Senate candidate Robert Ransdell. As many already know, Ransdell has been viciously attacked by the Jew and other assorted critics for his outspoken patriotic stance against these subversive parasites and his campaign slogan, "With Jews, we lose."

During the dialogue, reconstructed as accurately as possible from copious and detailed notes, we cover a variety of topics including the origin of Mr. Ransdell's beliefs, historical figures that have inspired him, his policies for dealing with serious issues plaguing the West such as Jewish and non-White problems, and the future of our Movement: what it needs to rise from the ashes of a dying society.

Marcus: How did you come into your political and social worldview? Who or what inspired you, and was there any early family history of racialist ideas?

Ransdell: To start with, my family was never overtly racialist in the way we understand it. The only comment I remember hearing along those lines as a child was my mother telling me to "never bring home a Black girl."

As for my politics, I had been interested in the subject from a very early age, and realized the foolish hypocrisy of the average "Conservative" by my teenage years.

The real start of my final awakening followed a chance viewing of the History Channel documentary "Nazi America: A Secret History," which exposed me to George Lincoln Rockwell and Dr. William Pierce for the first time. I discovered Pierce's *The Turner Diaries*, and graduated into many of his recorded audio programs explaining the logic of White Nationalism.

Marcus: How did you learn to understand the West's Jewish Problem? Was it an instantaneous revelation, or a slow evolution of thought?

Ransdell: Again, the realization came quite early for me when compared to many people. I was exposed to the standard American educational system, where one is told that the Jews are an ever-oppressed group that has never committed a wrong throughout history. I saw that wherever the Jew entered, the resident population eventually either raged against, expelled, or violently crushed him, and wondered why this was the case.

By studying the philosophies of Rockwell, Pierce, and, of course, Adolf Hitler, as well as the upheavals of European societies, I began to awaken to the idea that the Jew is the eternal bringer of destruction and filth, and has wrought chaos in every country that has taken him in.

Marcus: For our readers, would you describe yourself as a White Nationalist, National Socialist or some combination of both? Why?

Ransdell: As an individual man, I would consider myself a National Socialist along the lines of George Lincoln Rockwell. However, that does not mean I cannot reach common cause with, and cooperate with, pro-White organizations and groups that do not follow NS ideology.

Marcus: What do you mean when you say this? Do you believe that some type of "coalition" agreement is necessary in America, and in other parts of the West?

Ransdell: Yes. We as a People face a slow extinction if we do not get our act together, and to alienate other White racialists because of minor political differences is tantamount to suicide. There will be a time to bring others into the National Socialist fold, but for the time being, we must learn to work together.

If a White individual understands the concept of race, acknowledges racial differences, sees the absolute need to establish "pure" White nations, and realizes the nature of the Jew as a parasitic and corruptive influence, then he or she is fit to be a part of our Movement. However, these issues are non-negotiable, and cannot be compromised for any reason.

The man the Jewish press has demonized so greatly is in reality an extremely intelligent but humble individual who is doing all he can to save our race from extinction. A great example for us all.

Marcus: You have mentioned that George Lincoln Rockwell and Dr. William Pierce have been a great influence in your life. Could you explain a bit about each of them for those, especially our younger readers, who may not be well-informed on these men?

How can you not admire Commander Rockwell? This is a man who was one of the first to bring National Socialism out of the ashes.

Ransdell: George Lincoln Rockwell was one of the first Americans in modern times to take the fight directly to the Jews. Like myself, he started out as a "Conservative," but quickly realized they have little chance of succeeding by fighting with one arm tied behind their backs. His strategy, often insulted today, was intended to break through the Jewish media barrier, and was originally designed for shock value. Like Adolf Hitler, Rockwell believed in the attack, and believed that average White Americans could be inspired by his heroic example. It was Dr. Pierce who said that, "If America had 1,000 men like Rockwell, we would be living in a healthy and clean country today."

With Dr. Pierce, you had a man of extreme intelligence who was able to get his message across in a simple, easy to understand way that could reach average Americans who knew nothing but Jewish propaganda all their lives. His style was different from Rockwell, but it was said that he truly hated our enemies. There was a reason the Jews at the SPLC (Southern Poverty Law Center) labeled him, "The most dangerous man in America."

Marcus: What made you decide on a Senate campaign, and how do you intend to reach out to the average White American voter?

Ransdell: The run for the Senate allows our program to reach a wider audience than ever before, using mainstream outlets like radio shows. It lets those who are disgusted with the system see that we are a real alternative, and are not just an anonymous internet phenomenon. By having the Jews lash out at us even harder than before, as is happening with my scheduled radio programs, they risk screwing up massively, and expose themselves as the hateful scum that they are.

Also, I have to ask the question of what else are we White Nationalists doing? I for one am not going to be scared into silence.

Marcus: If the day comes in which you are in a place of serious authority and power, what would you do to handle America's Negro situation? Does it involve deportation programs, an autonomous homeland for Blacks, or a type of caste system?

Ransdell: To start with, we have to understand the fact that the interests of Whites has to come before anything else, and this cannot be compromised. At this stage in time (2014), and in the immediate future, we still have the means to deport the savages back to their African lands of origin. No amount of American territory needs to be conceded as of now, although we have to, and this is very important, remain flexible in our methods. If we don't reach power before say, 2044, then by that time we may have to consider other plans to acquire racial separation.

A genius in every sense of the word. Dr. William Pierce turned the National Alliance into the world's largest pro-White organization for many years.

Marcus: What about the Mestizo groups, along with the Puerto Ricans and Dominicans who are overrunning parts of the country?

Ransdell: We have to realize that the only reason these people are migrating into America is because there is the opportunity for unlimited handouts, with no real White opposition to worry about. The Jews, since the mid-1960s, have hijacked the immigration/deportation process, and have complicated things to the point where solutions seems a lot harder to implement.

If only we had the guts to stand up and act, we could be rid of the problem quite quickly, and could easily stop the flood by treating border jumpers like the invaders they are. After a few military shootings, even the simple, stupid Mexicans will realize trying to immigrate is useless.

Marcus: How about the millions of Muslims currently residing within the United States?

Ransdell: We seem to have a policy that consists of loving the Muslims over here, and hating them over there (Middle East). How can people not realize the stupidity of bombing half the Middle East in support of the Jews of Israel, while at the same time inviting millions of these people into our country? Do people not see that this blind support of the Jews and Israel fuels much of the hatred the average Arab has for the West?

Although the plight of many of these groups, especially Palestinians, is sad to hear about, the fact remains that these Muslims have no place in White countries. Along with a complete break with Israel, all of these foreigners need to be deported back to where they came from, along with any Whites who have decided to race mix with them, or have converted to Islam.

Marcus: One of the main questions readers probably want answered is how would you deal with America's Jewish Problem if in power? Treason trials perhaps? Would you handle the higher-ranking Jews differently than the common garden variety parasite, or not?

Ransdell: There can be absolutely no compromise with the Jew. They have done so much damage to our country, and others, that we cannot risk another infiltration in the future. We must not waste time with these parasites, and cannot treat them as merely traitors, as that implies that they are, or were, American in some sort of way.

We need to provide a viable alternative to win the average White man and woman to our side. Then we can discuss neutralizing the Eternal Jew.

The Jews in our country would have to choose what will happen to them, and fast. If not, then we would decide for them, and I don't care what happens to them either way, no matter how harsh. And we have to remember that there are no good Jews, or are at least incredibly, incredibly rare.

Marcus: In your opinion, what constitutes a biological Jew? Do you follow the standards set forth by the Third Reich's Nuremberg Laws, or do you differ in your definition?

Ransdell: I would say that if someone is at least one-eighth Jew, then he is one of them, maybe even one-sixteenth depending on other circumstances. Anything less than that, and we can evaluate them on some kind of individual basis. The main thing is that they go, and quickly.

Marcus: Do you believe that a future White America would need a strong, authoritarian type of government, or can we make do with a more loose style of Federalism, along the lines of the early

United States?

Ransdell: I believe that a loose government is possible for Whites, although there would have to be a period of transition while we fix the many problems we currently have. Only the non-White nations teeming with hordes of savages need a permanent authoritarian system in place to keep them in check.

When in power, we will have to act directly and forcefully to break the grip multiculturalism has placed on so many Whites.

Marcus: What would have to be done to crush the degeneracy and filth so prevalent among Whites? Can it be done without drastic measures?

Ransdell: Once in power, we have to establish a list of specific standards, made by good and honorable White men who would not be afraid to enforce them. The new generations especially are filled with complete degenerates who no longer have any concept of right and wrong, and have to be taught to act with dignity and restraint, by force if necessary.

Many will reform themselves during this time, but some, even of pure racial stock, will be unable to get their act together, and will eventually be dealt with. We cannot allow the spirit of the Jew to continue in a White country, and the sexual filth would have to be crushed to bring back some kind of innocence to society, especially for young children.

Marcus: So, no more gay pride parades and homosexual flaunting in public?

Ransdell: Absolutely not. That would be the first thing to be done away with.

Marcus: Do you believe that America needs a political party along the lines of the NSDAP or Golden Dawn, or can less organized, "lone-wolf" tactics work?

Ransdell: We have to start building a leadership cadre for a future organization, consisting of the cream of the crop of our members. The leaders especially need to be entirely incorruptible, and have to be totally dedicated to the Cause they support.

Above all, our organization will have to be flexible to combat possible system attacks upon it. If one group is crushed or fragmented, another must spring up in its place, until we finally achieve the goals we have set up.

Marcus: What would you say to those who think that any organized effort is doomed to failure, and that the Jews will squash any opposition to their agenda?

Ransdell: Our people need to stop fearing the Jew. If someone asks why we need to organize, I ask them, why not? If White Nationalists can't show the common man and woman that we are a viable alternative that actually has a chance at fixing society, then why do we even talk about these things?

Marcus: Do you think that infiltrating the current system is a viable idea? Why or why not?

Ransdell: I think that when people attempt to go down that route, they eventually become lovers of the material wealth and prosperity that comes along with collaborators of the Jew. Instead of sending out individuals, three-quarters of whom will probably falter, we should listen to what Dr. Pierce suggested, and reach out to those mainstream folks who have become disgusted with the current situation in our countries.

Marcus: How long do you think White America, and the West in general, has left? What is our logical window of opportunity, in your opinion?

Ransdell: Like I have said before, we have to remain flexible in the details of what we wish to accomplish. Someone in the National Alliance once said that when someone gives a specific timeline of what we have left, they are in reality referring to the amount of time they are willing to put into the fight. Instead of looking at years or time, we should look to changing goals and aspirations. The playing field in 40 years will look a lot more different than it does today, and we should never contemplate surrender.

The White Race has overcome monumental odds many times before, sometimes by blind luck, and we can do so again. Above all, we need to recognize that the race overrides any single nation or geographic location. Just because one falls, doesn't mean all is lost.

Marcus: Are there any inspirational words you would like to conclude this interview with? Any closing statements?

Ransdell: We have a golden opportunity to take advantage of the chaos currently all over the news. With the ISIS problem, Obama's nonsense, and the Blacks and Jews bringing Ebola to America, there is plenty of material to use as an outreach platform. When people start dropping dead in the streets while bleeding from their eyes, the average White man will no longer think about being labeled a "racist" or an "anti-Semite."

The Jew and the Black have to be dehumanized in the eyes of our people, as when this kind of talk becomes mainstream, our chances of success rise as well.

A few words from Robert Mathews' (founder of The Order) speech "A Call To Arms" will do to end the interview. "Mr. Smith, to his credit, took a long good look at his problem and how he arrived at so sorry a state. Now take heart, kinsmen, for what did Mr. Smith say when he came to the root cause of his problem? Mr. Smith said, 'JEW! JEW! JEW!' Not only did Mr. Smith say 'JEW!' he yelled 'JEW!' His neighbors started yelling 'JEW!'"

At least this man, and others before him, tried. Many have given their lives fighting for our people, and should be remembered as heroes and martyrs.

The First Freedom
isn't for everybody. But you know someone who needs it.

Robert Ransdell visits City Hall

By Nancy Hitt
hunleyhitt@gmail.com

Don't expect to receive the key to the city of Louisville, Kentucky, from Democratic Mayor Greg Fischer if you are a pro-White Kentucky candidate for the U. S. Senate. On the contrary, you'll be treated with contempt. The write-in candidate had filed an application for a meeting with Mayor Fischer to discuss a 2013 Black upon White assault and robbery that has failed to go to trial. Our Louisville Mayor was fully aware of the date for the proposed meeting.

When Robert Ransdell entered the Jefferson County Court House on Monday, October 13, 2014, in expecting speak with the Mayor, his receptionist held up a paper which contained photographs of Robert. She told Robert that he was not allowed to enter this public building paid for with the earnings of taxpayers. Yes, they were well prepared for his planned visit.

Robert "With Jews We Lose" Ransdell visited Louisville on Monday, October 13 and Tuesday, October 14, 2014. Next on the agenda was a visit to the prosecutor's office to find out why the victim, "Danny" Rountree, did not have his day in court. Requiring hospitalization, he had suffered a violent assault by four Blacks during a robbery in a park near where he lives. One of them had even bragged about his involvement in that racial attack on social media.

The female prosecutor did not come out from behind the locked glass doors inside of the old converted jail house. Rather than her, two detectives emerged and took us into the inner sanctum. One of those paid-by-taxpayers detectives grew combative when Robert suggested that the apparent lack of action might be due to its being a Black-on-White crime. Robert was then verbally insulted, berated and yelled at. So much for Southern hospitality in River City.

Their day *outside* court

Following those two experiences, Robert and Danny protested with signs in front of the Court House about the inaction in this case. Security watched from the steps as a Black male bemoaned the death of heroic Trayvon Martin while our White victim had only been beaten. I am sure security would have looked the other way if we had been knocked down by this brute.

Robert discovered that the Louisville Sandiford Airport has a free speech zone. At the last minute, he received a permit allowing him to protest in *that* specified free speech area on both Monday and Tuesday. During Monday's airport protest, Robert was verbally abused with typical Jewish vigor by a supporter of candidate for Judge Sandy Berman. I was unaware of such areas having been set aside at various U. S. airports. Activists should keep this in mind as a platform for future protests. *Why should a permit be required* to protest anywhere in public?

This proves that one man alone with a spine of steel can shake up a city with his courage. Robert is an unflinching standard-bearer for our race. His example is a lesson for us. *So take a stand, even if you do not expect to receive the red carpet treatment!*

Black-on-White crime victim Joseph "Danny" Rountree with Mr. Ransdell

Robert Ransdell in front of the Court House at Louisville after Mayor Greg Fischer refused to see him, confirming this U. S. Senate candidate's "With Jews we lose."

Mexico's continuing drug war

whatreallyhappened.com

Protests turned violent in Guerrero on October 22 as demonstrators looted shops and smashed windows, leaving devastation and blood stains. An ambulance and several shops were damaged. Local police made several arrests.

The scenes follow teachers setting the town hall of Iguala ablaze in anger. The violence is ostensibly a response to the continued case of the 43 missing students, who had gone missing over three weeks earlier.

The students of Ayotzinapa Teacher Training College in Guerrero disappeared on September 26 in Iguala, after a confrontation with local police. The original confrontation left six people dead and 25 injured. They have been missing ever since.

Arrest warrants have been issued for the local mayor and his wife in that southwestern State of Guerrero where the students disappeared.

How the Israel Lobby set Beto O'Rourke right

The above headline and boasting which follows appear here exactly as gushed by* The Forward *in its issue of October 03, 2014.

What Happens When Freshman Lawmaker Misses the Memo

By Nathan Guttman
Forward.com

It took only one wrong vote to teach a freshman Democrat from Texas how sensitive, and even wrathful, the Jewish community can be when it comes to Israel.

But the real story of what happened to Rep. Beto O'Rourke did not stop with the angry reaction he got when he cast one of only eight votes in Congress against special funding for Israel's Iron Dome rocket defense system during the recent Gaza war.

What is notable is how quickly the carrot followed the stick.

It was almost a textbook case of how the establishment pro-Israel lobby works its magic – and a story not yet completed in early September, when *The New Yorker* magazine took note of what had happened to O'Rourke.

In an in-depth report on the work of the American Israel Public Affairs Committee, the large Washington-based lobby, during the Gaza war, *New Yorker* writer Connie Bruck recounted the blasts that rained down on the El Paso congressman following his vote.

The reactions, as Bruck reported, included a mass email blast labeling O'Rourke as "an anti-Israel congressman" and denouncing his vote as "shameful." Critical local press coverage included a public comment by one of his own Jewish donors to the *El Paso Times* that in voting as he did, O'Rourke "chooses to side with the rocket launchers and terror tunnel builders" of Hamas.

But since then, behind the scenes, what has followed is a long process of mutual outreach and hours of hashing out differences, until the final act, which is now in the works: an El Al flight to Tel Aviv on the pro-Israel lobby's dime.

"He's a good guy, but he didn't know how the Jewish community would react," said Daniel Cheifec, executive director of the Jewish Federation of El Paso. "Now he knows that this community is not going to be very happy if he screws up again."

O'Rourke, in fact, had no prior record of criticizing or voting against Israel. He did not even oppose more funding for the Iron Dome system. He only opposed rushing through the large appropriation with no debate as members of Congress were hurrying home for the summer recess when a more considered vote to boost the program was coming in October.

Israel, which receives more than $3.6 billion per year in various forms of aid from Washington, is already the single largest recipient of American largesse. But the August 1 House vote appropriating $225 million to Israel above and beyond its usual aid was meant to allow the Jewish State to restock on Iron Dome interceptors that had proved effective in countering Hamas rocket attacks into the country.

Congressional leaders squeezed the vote into the legislative schedule just as members were packing up to leave for their summer recess. The overwhelming support of 395 representatives with only eight voting against was not unusual for a pro-Israel piece of legislation, especially one that deals with military assistance at a time of war.

"I really don't understand how he makes his decision," Rabbi Stephen Leon of Congregation B'Nai Zion, a local synagogue, told the *El Paso Times* even before *The New Yorker* piece picked up on the pushback. "It's a great, great disappointment to the Jewish community here. We had meetings with him prior, to talk to him about the importance of Israel, and the way he voted makes very little sense."

EDITOR'S NOTE

"Congressional leaders squeezed the vote into the legislative schedule just as members were packing up to leave for their summer recess." Isn't that remarkably similar to how the Federal Reserve act was made "law" back at Christmas 1912, before these parasites became so chutzpish as to slap *all* of us, not just Rep. O'Rourke?

Blueprint for success: Turning federal acts into hot air

"Without local enforcement, by compliant local authorities, the will of the central government is not much more than hot air."
– Hans-Hermann Hoppe

By Michael Boldin
tenthamendmentcenter.com

In what should be seen as a blueprint for effective resistance to federal power, the Nashville Police refused to help the Secret Service after a "frantic call for backup" to enter and search a resident's home without a search warrant.

According to News Channel 5:

"A Secret Service agent made a frantic call for backup to Nashville police after he and another agent went to the home of a Nashville man, investigating threatening comments on Facebook about the President. The man who posted them had refused to let the agents into his house.

"'He shoved the door in our face and went around the corner. Looks like, we're not sure if he… possibly he had a gun in his hands,' the agent told a 911 operator."

Kept his castle

"The resident refused to come outside and shouted back, 'Show me your warrant,'" said Nashville Police Chief Steve Anderson.

While much of the media attention focused on the fact that the SS agents asked a police sergeant to "wave a piece of paper" in an apparent effort to dupe the resident into thinking that they indeed had a warrant when they did not, most coverage missed what might be even more important.

Refusal to participate with federal acts on a local level is legal, constitutional – and effective.

This is exactly what James Madison, the "Father of the Constitution" recommended as our way to stop federal overreach – whether federal acts are unconstitutional or merely unpopular.

In Federalist #46, Madison advised a "refusal to cooperate with officers of the Union" as a way to stop such federal acts. He also said that should this and three other steps be taken in multiple States simultaneously it would "present obstructions which the federal government would hardly be willing to encounter."

They need help

In other words, those federal acts would be "nearly impossible to enforce," as Judge Andrew Napolitano put it earlier this year.

While the federal politicians and bureaucrats would like you to believe that the federal government is all-powerful and will do what it wants, when it wants, this couldn't be further from the truth.

The Secret Service wasn't able to carry out its warrantless raid without help from local police. In fact, they were so desperate for help, they were "frantic."

A vast majority of raids carried out by the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (ATF) only occur with significant assistance from State or local resources. The Drug Enforcement Agency (DEA) barely has resources to shut down just a fraction of marijuana businesses in just one city out of the 20+ States nullifying the unconstitutional federal prohibition on that plant.

Hot air

When the NSA builds a new facility to house all your private communications, it relies on things like water and electricity provided by State or local agencies, or it won't have the resources to stay operative.

Non-participation

The Affordable Care Act is already being crippled by States that have refused to implement parts of the federal program, and further State resistance is likely to bring the entire Act down.

Voluntary participation

The National Park Service can't shut down a park without help from States, the FBI's facial recognition program won't go anywhere without images supplied by State Departments of Motor Vehicles, and most unconstitutional foreign policy relies heavily on State national guard troops carrying much of the load.

During the partial federal "shutdown" of 2013, the National Association of Governors admitted, "States are partners with the federal government implementing most federal programs."

Partnerships rarely work when only half the partners are involved.

"I do not ask that you place hands upon the tyrant to topple him over, but simply that you support him no longer" Thus spake Étienne de La Boétie.

Like the proverbial house of cards, the federal government cannot continue on its path without help. It needs help from State governments, from local governments – and from you.

Every State, every locality and every individual should "refuse to cooperate with officers of the Union" in every possible situation, as James Madison advised.

Deflating the bluff

With time, dedication and persistence, people can turn federal gun control, NSA surveillance, hemp farming bans, national health care and so much more into what they should be:

Hot air.

How to tell when the huckster is lying

By Christine Miller
millercbm@gmail.com

The quest for perfection never ends well. "You shall be like God, perfect," the snake promised Adam and Eve, and thus we lost paradise. Communism promises a perfect world, but only after all of it has been converted. "From each according to his ability, to each his needs" was a slogan practiced by the early Christians.

But, despite the fact that early Christian Communism was voluntary, there were already problems in "paradise."

Perfectionism

That brings me to Prohibition. A group of American women in the grip of moral fervor, energy and conviction attempted to design their perfect man – one who could not be drunk, and was necessarily ever of sober rectitude.

The breweries had been founded by German immigrants. They put up a good fight against Prohibition and, due to the revenues the States collected from them, enjoyed tremendous clout. But in 1920 the newly instituted income tax undermined their influence. One aspect of the success those perfectionists had in pushing their 18th Amendment through is seldom if ever mentioned, namely the hate hysteria at that time against everything German including "German" breweries.

What were the results of Prohibition? A whole branch of the economy based on a few innocent beers after work, on weekends or in the *Turner* and *Sänger Vereins* of the Germans was eliminated. Not only did the employees of those beer companies lose their jobs, so did distributers, caretakers of the horses, hoof smiths, the pubs, the barrel makers, etc.

Unintended consequences

That stone thrown into the water didn't just destroy German breweries but had a wide ripple effect hurting the legal economy which was replaced by an illegal one.

Before Prohibition, Americans were not a Nation of drunkards, but, with the lure of the forbidden, began drinking illegally in countless speakeasies. And who supplied that illegal booze? Newly-created crime syndicates, something the United States had never before seen. The Bronfman family made its fortune distilling alcohol legally in Canada, then shipping it illegally into the United States.

These crime syndicates, more solidly founded than any trust of Vanderbildt or Morgan, thrived on terror and bribes. Al Capone could at any time murder anybody and nothing would come of it. Morgan could not.

The judiciary was either terrorized or bribed into silence. The same held true for the police force. Illegality was accepted by the public. Countless numbers died in the gang wars and from adulterated booze. Another aspect made its appearance in the American character – hypocrisy.

An American socialite, working to have the 18th Amendment repealed, complained bitterly that the staunchest supporters of Prohibition expected her to serve them a drink when they were guests in her house. In 1933 Roosevelt finally laid the 18th Amendment *ad acta*, which he celebrated with a drink.

When deceptions multiply

If you imagine this was the end of those crime syndicates, you think wrong. The gardener who can't make any more money selling roses simply switches to carnations. The crime syndicates shifted from dealing illegal liquor to selling illegal drugs. Those gangs are still with us, and have become a permanent fixture not only of American society but hold the whole world in their grip. I have this gut feeling that there was a link between all the anti-German hysteria that got the United States into WWI and the victory of those "drys" over the "wets."

"Original sin" starts us upon a long trail of consequences.

Distributors: Please place your orders for this issue early. Let's saturate the neighborhoods and public events in your town where those people who can handle the truth gather.

Egypt court gives life terms to 25 Morsi supporters

presstv.ir

A court in Egypt has sentenced 25 supporters of ousted president, Mohamed Morsi, to life in prison over alleged involvement in violence following a coup that removed the country's leader from power.

Judicial tyranny

A judicial source said on October 16 that a court in the southern province of Assiut handed down life sentences to twenty-five defendants, while three received three-year terms, and two others were jailed for two years.

A life sentence in the North African country amounts to 25 years in jail.

The court found the defendants guilty of setting police stations and government buildings on fire in the town of al-Ghanaim on August 14, 2013, shortly after police harshly dispersed thousands of Morsi supporters at two squares in the capital, Cairo, killing hundreds of them. Another 141 defendants, including an official from the Muslim Brotherhood, were acquitted, the source added.

Egyptian defendants stand behind bars during a trial session in Alexandria, Egypt, 29 March 2014.

Egyptian President Mohamed Morsi (R) meets on September 18, 2012, Iranian Foreign Minister Ali Akbar Salehi at the presidential palace in Cairo.

The development comes as authorities in Egypt have launched a brutal crackdown on supporters of Morsi since his ouster in a military coup led by Abdel Fattah el-Sisi, the current president and former head of the armed forces, in July 2013.

On October 11, a Cairo court sentenced Brotherhood leaders Mohamed al-Beltagy and Safwat Hegazy as well as a journalist and another defendant to 15 years in prison.

The court also sentenced four other defendants, including Osama Yassin, the former minister of youth under Morsi, to three years in jail.

Sisi is accused of leading the suppression of Brotherhood supporters, as hundreds of them have been killed in clashes with Egyptian security forces over the past year.

Human rights groups say the military crackdown on the supporters of Morsi has left over 1,400 people dead and 22,000 arrested, while some 200 people have been sentenced to death in mass trials.

EDITOR'S NOTE

Remember: "Democracy" was the aim behind those "color revolutions" sponsored by Rothschild, Soros & Co.

But what if the people don't vote as instructed? Well, in that case, their *elected* President of Egypt has got to go. Hail the once and reinstated coup!

Yours for a donation of your choice to help us with our outreach.

Soaring Eagles Studios and Gallery
3152 Parkway, 13-109
Pigeon Forge, TN 37863

Boycotting the Zionist boycotters

Defying the dollar, Russia and China have agreed on a currency swap worth over $20 billion.

rt.com

China finance the Russian economy? The central banks of China and Russia have signed a 3-year ruble-yuan currency swap deal of up to $25 billion, in order to boost trade using national currencies and lessening dependence on the dollar and euro.

On October 13, China's Central Bank announced the 150 billion yuan (815 billion ruble) currency swap between the Russian ruble and Chinese yuan. In terms of the Chinese currency, that is $24.5 billion, in Russian rubles $20.1 billion.

"We must expand the practice of using national currencies in trade. Currently they only account for seven percent of turnover," Prime Minister Dmitry Medvedev said at the 18th annual Russian-Chinese Commission, also attended by Chinese Premier Li Keqiang.

The deal is valid for three years, and can be extended if both Russia and China agree. The draft currency swap was settled in August, but details on the size of the deal were sketchy.

Using more local currencies will speed up trade between the two countries who are aiming to reach $100 billion by 2015. Trade between Russia and China is already nearly $90 billion and is scheduled to hit $200 billion in the next six years.

Solidarity

Cooperation between Russian and Chinese banks is also on the rise, and China's Import Export Bank, which is 100% State owned, has pledged to help Russian banks now cut off from Western capital markets, due to the latest round of sanctions.

The Export-Import Bank (Exim) has agreed to establish a credit line equivalent to $2 billion for Russian State Bank VTB, and has also signed agreements with VEB (Vnesheconombank) and the Russian Agricultural Bank.

The credit lines can be used to finance imports from China, from agriculture to high tech equipment.

Medvedev and Li signed over 40 other agreements at the meeting, including outlining plans to add another pipeline from Russia to China. Li was in Moscow for a three-day visit.

Order extra copies of
The First Freedom
Help your friends shed the scales from their eyes!
See coupon on page 24

"COUNTERING OFFICIAL Zionist historiography, Sand questions whether the Jewish People ever existed as a national group with a common origin in the Land of Israel/Palestine. He concludes that the Jews should be seen as a religious community comprising a mishmash of individuals and groups that had converted to the ancient monotheistic religion but do not have any historical right to establish an independent Jewish State in the Holy Land. In short, the Jewish People, according to Sand, are not really a "people" in the sense of having a common ethnic origin and national heritage. They certainly do not have a political claim over the territory that today constitutes Israel and the occupied Palestinian territories, including Jerusalem." – Leon T. Hadar

Get this book anywhere in C. S. A. or U. S. for $18.79 PPD or FREE with a two-year NEW $50 subscription. Send check or money order to:

The First Freedom
P. O. Box 385
Silverhill, Alabama 36576



Europe needs partnership with Russia

hungarianambiance.com

Europe needs a strategic partnership with Russia to recover at least part what has been lost since the beginning of the 2008 economic crisis, stated Hungarian Foreign Minister Péter Szijjártó before the Hospodárské Noviny Slovak economic news portal.

This head of Hungarian diplomacy pointed out that the Hungarian economy loses 300 thousand euros per day due to the sanctions against Russia, while there are no signs that the anti-Russian measures helped resolve the Ukrainian crises. "But exactly the opposite has been happening; hostilities have flared up and we are further away from solving the crisis than ever. Europe is suffering as its economies suffer (due to sanctions) and you can see that the policy of sanctions, in a long term, work against European interests.

"Europe has lost it competitive edge since the 2008 economic crisis; strategic partnership with Russia is the only way to recover at least a part of what has been lost," said Szijjártó. He noted the possible beneficial effects of cooperating with Russia, a country that is extremely rich in natural resources. Combining this with European high technology could produce a win-win situation for all participating countries. Events in Ukraine and the sanctions combined work against the interests of all European countries, said the foreign minister.

Limited options

Regarding energy security, Szijjártó stressed that Hungary is committed to the construction of the South Stream gas pipeline even if this is not a definitive answer to solve the long term energy security of the country. The real solution would have been the Nabucco gas pipeline, but since the European Union scrapped the project no other option was left but the South Stream gas pipeline.

Stating the South's case for a much-delayed hearing

Again our Confederate States of America ask the world for belated recognition of a sovereign republic suffering United States occupation since 1865, to censure that illegal invasion and declare secession as lawful.

Part 5

By John Peeples
firstfreedom.net

Lincoln told Orville Browning, an old political ally from Illinois, that "The plan succeeded. They attacked Sumter; it fell, and thus did more service than it otherwise could."

Abraham Lincoln could not possibly have identified his true motives any more clearly than that.

Myopic news then as now

The Yankee newspapers had a field day with the Fort Sumter bombardment: Innocent U. S. soldiers attacked without cause by the dastardly "rebels" (and many so-called historians today still take that spin). Now Lincoln had his excuse to invade and conquer the C.S.A. He ignored Congress, also the U. S. Constitution's prohibition against exactly such treason as defined in Article III, Section 3, Clause 1: "Treason against the United States, shall consist only in levying War against them" (States); notice the plural *them*, that is, the State or States.

John Brown, the rabid abolitionist and political extremist, murdered innocent farm families in Kansas. Brown led thirteen White men and five Blacks to capture the Harpers Ferry, Virginia, armory to acquire more weapons for his future army of runaway slaves. The local militia responded, a fight ensued and men died on both sides. Colonel Robert E. Lee was sent from Washington, DC, on the 17th of October 1859 with 100 U. S. Marines to end the siege. Brown refused to surrender. Lee's men broke down the arsenal doors, entered into close combat and, when the smoke had cleared, Brown had lost ten men. John Brown survived and was tried *for treason*, found guilty and hanged on 2 December 1859.

In retrospect

Brown's raid is instructive toward the clarification of two contentious points that usually surround the War of 1861.

1.) The U. S. Constitution, at Article III, Section 3, Clause 1, *defines treason* (see above). Federal troops from Washington, DC, under the command of Colonel Robert E. Lee, had put an end to that insurrection in Virginia. Treason is a crime against *a Nation*. John Brown was found guilty of treason against the "Commonwealth of Virginia," *and not the United States*. Thus did the federal government under U. S. President James Buchanan admit Virginia was a sovereign nation, and in accordance with Article I, Section 8, Clause 15, the federal government had suppressed *a true insurrection*.

2.) Certain uninformed persons contend that Robert E. Lee committed treason when resigning from the U. S. Army on 20 April 1861 to eventually lead an army defending his home State of Virginia. The federal government had admitted that John Brown committed treason against the Commonwealth of Virginia by raising arms against that State two years earlier, from which it can only be concluded that if Colonel Lee had remained in the federal army and were raising arms against the Commonwealth of Virginia, he too would have been guilty of treason against his Nation. Lee was forced to abandon his home, which, confiscated (stolen) by the U. S. government's Union army, was made into Arlington National Cemetery. Robert E. Lee sacrificed *all;* the charges against him will not stand!

As the Southern States began seceding on 20 December 1860 (South Carolina), they reclaimed their sovereign rights even


C. S. President Jefferson Davis

before entering into the Confederacy on 8 February 1861.

My State of Florida on 10 January 1861 became the third Southern State to secede from the Union. I have a copy of Florida's *original* Constitution. The Preamble reads: "…admission into the Union, as *one* of the United States of America with the principles of the Federal Constitution*… do mutually agree, each with the other, to form ourselves into a *Free and Independent State*, by the name of Florida."

My State of Florida Constitution's Article One says "That all political power is inherent in the people, and all free governments are founded on their authority and established for their benefit; and therefore, they have at all times, an inalienable and indefeasible right to alter or abolish their form of government as they may deem expedient."

Inherent: Established as an essential part of something: uninfringeable, unquestionable and unchallengeable.

Inalienable and indefeasible right: Inseparable, indissoluble; incapable of being surrendered or transferred (rights); not capable of being annulled or voided.

Expedient: Adapted for achieving a particular end, marked by concern with what is advantageous; especially governed by self-interest.

Thus the people *who are* the State of Florida reclaimed their sovereign rights even before they joined the Confederacy on 8 February 1861.

Arrival of tyranny

The above definitions behind legitimate constitutions notwithstanding, the Federal occupation inaugurated for us a "new" State government at bayonet point.

The Second Reconstruction Act of 23 March 1867 had the U. S. Army oversee the registration of eligible voters in each Southern State for the election of approved delegates who would rewrite for each of our States a "new" State constitution. That accomplished, the martial law occupation would end. It didn't.

Besides my copy of Florida's *original* Constitution I have also their replacement thereof dated 25 February 1868. This *new* version leaves out "*Free and Independent State*," changing Florida's Article One to "…but the paramount allegiance of every citizen is due to the Federal Government, and no power exists with the people of this State to dissolve its connection therewith.

As noted previously, after the first seven States had seceded and coalesced into the Confederate States of America, U. S. Senator James Doolittle, an anti-secessionist, on 2 March 1861 proposed a Constitutional amendment which read: "No State or any part thereof, heretofore to be admitted into the Union, shall have power to withdraw from the jurisdiction of the United States."

Change

This attempted amendment by Senator Doolittle of Wisconsin to prohibit *future* States from having that right – to secede – shows the anti-secessionists allowing that it was legal for the *then-existing States* to secede. But it soon became unnecessary to "amend" anything, for, with that Northern victory came the "power to enforce" every unconstitutional mandate by military rule at the point of the Federal bayonet.

> "…but the paramount allegiance of every citizen is due to the Federal Government…"

Thus the U. S. Government was itching to charge C. S. President Jefferson Davis with treason.

On 10 May 1865, the military occupiers from the United States of America captured the President of the Confederate States of America, Jefferson Davis. The authorities in Washington, DC, at the very outset of their war, had promised that they would "hang Jefferson Davis from a sour apple tree."

And now, after four long and bloody years of war, they could deliver Jefferson Davis his dues under "American justice."

Within a matter of weeks in captivity, the deposed Confederate States President found himself in chains at Fortress Monroe, Virginia, a political prisoner of the U. S. charged with treason. From the time of his imprisonment, President Davis demanded *a trial on any charge* against him, especially treason. But, in spite of former boasting, the U. S. authorities carefully side-stepped giving President Davis "his day in court."

The no-show trial

According to R. G. Horton's 1868 *A Youth's History of the Great Civil War*, the Confederate States President's legal team demanded a speedy trial; but the Federal Government postponed his day in court for three years.

When the lower court finally called that case to trial, Supreme Court Chief Justice Salmon Chase (Lincoln's former Secretary of the Treasury) interceded with an order of certiorari changing its venue from the lower court in Richmond to the Supreme Court's docket. On 13 May 1867 President Davis walked free on bond, his case still on the docket but remaining tactfully never to be heard.

Why did the United States Government not "bring to justice" this man it branded a 19th Century Benedict Arnold? Why was Confederate States President Jefferson Davis arrested, imprisoned at Fortress Monroe, Virginia, chained and shackled, indicted for treason, yet never given that Constitutional precept freely granted to the most vulgar of common criminals, the right of a speedy and fair trial? Did those U. S. authorities *fear the the outcome* of such a verdict?

In preparing its later abandoned charges against President Jefferson Davis, the U. S. Government's War Department presented its "evidence" to the eminent New England jurist Francis Lieber, LLD, who, after he had reviewed the case, declared: "Davis will not be found guilty (of treason)." Thus the realization dawned on those authorities that giving the Confederate President a fair trial might produce a not guilty verdict and thereby undo in a courtroom what perfidy the United States had so cruelly wrought by four years of aggressive warfare.

Concise statement of fact

"If you bring these leaders to trial, it will condemn the North, for by the Constitution secession is not a rebellion. His [Jefferson Davis's] capture was a mistake. His trial will be a greater one. We cannot convict him of treason." – Salmon P. Chase, Chief Justice of the U. S. Supreme Court, 1867

The U. S. Supreme Court's realization that it could not convict Jefferson Davis of treason renders Lincoln's War unjustifiable and unconstitutional!

Mr. Lieber had based his findings on *A View of the Constitution of the United States of America*, written in 1825 by Judge William Rawle of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

Since the defeat of the Confederacy, many Northerners have referred to Judge Rawle's book as a radical and seditious view of the Constitution. Yet, if Rawle's book was laced with radical and seditious (secessionist) views, they were overlooked completely by a review of his book that came out in 1826. A year after Mr. Rawle's book had appeared, an eminently respected Boston journal, *The North American Review*, gave it a full and complete analysis (over 1,900 words), disclosing that Mr. Rawle's book was "a safe and intelligent guide" for understanding the Constitution. In light of the later charge of treason leveled against


Robert E. Lee

Jefferson Davis, the question begging to be asked here is, "Why did this eminent New England journal not recognize treason in Mr. Rawle's book?" Certainly this was one of the questions that Jefferson Davis hoped to bring before an American court when he was tried for treason. Equally as certain, this is why many Northerners *did not* wish for Confederate States President Jefferson Davis to have his day in court.

Common acknowledgment

Not only was Judge Rawle's book warmly reviewed when it first appeared in print, but also 28 years later it was still being recommended. On Civil Liberty and Self Government, Francis Lieber, LLD, recommended Judge Rawle's book, *A View of the Constitution of the United States of America*, among others to his students and former students as a guide to Constitutional issues. Mr. Lieber's recommendation was made in 1853, only seven years before the secession of South Carolina from the Union on 20 December 1860.

Unfortunately for America, Lincoln did not read and comprehend this "safe and intelligent guide" of the Constitution. But surely the great attorney for the railroad (before he became President) had heard of *The North American Review* and renowned scholar Francis Lieber? One might think he had discussed the secession issue with outgoing President Buchanan and sought legal advice as well from the U. S. Attorney General.

Strange man

Earlier, on the floor of Congress in 1847, U. S. Congressman Lincoln from Illinois had made this statement:

> "Any people, anywhere… have the right to rise up and shake off the existing government, and form a new one that suits them better."

If "Honest" Abe were truthful and meant what he then said, how is it that, fourteen years later as President, he denied that the Southern States had that right to "shake off the existing government"? Could it be that Lincoln had spoken truly his belief – that *he* could *personally* shake off the Nation established by our Founding Fathers? If so, Lincoln was already committed in 1847 to destroy the U. S. Constitution's federation of States. Indeed, after assuming the office of President, he stated that the "Old Union" could not be saved and that a new Union must be established in its place.

So it must be allowed that the greatest usurpation of Constitutional law began at the White House on 4 March 1861 when, on his first day in office, Lincoln claimed in his Inaugural Address that "No State, on its own mere action, can get out of the Union."

*In The Federalist Paper #39, Paragraph 7, second sentence, James Madison declares: *Federal regards the Union as a Confederacy of Sovereign States*.

We see both a giant and the vultures who pick his bones

The Jews, still trying to "get" Henry Ford, show themselves ever more contemptible to those people he has awakened to the problem.

By Lt. Colonel Donald Sullivan (Ret)
aloe910@aol.com

Henry Ford has been my hero since as long as I can remember. It probably started in 1967 when I got my first Mustang, a white GTA-390 fastback with all the bells and whistles. It cost $3,200.00 out the door, and since then I've been a "Ford man." Through the years I read everything I could get my hands on about Henry Ford and his motor company and have most of the books written by him, or for him, and many about him in my library. But, for many years I wouldn't read his book or collection of articles entitled *The International Jew*, considering that to be his "dark side." It wasn't until I was 50, had been "gored by the bull" and became enlightened, that I did go there. It was worth the wait.

As **TFF** readers know, this newspaper features excerpts from *The International Jew* and other articles by Mr. Ford (*They Never Called Him "Henry"*) in each issue and has done so for many years. The words and evidence presented from Mr. Ford's personal experiences and awareness of the times contained in those writings are a source of extreme wisdom from a man who knew exactly what he was writing about.

His counter propaganda

Mr. Ford did many great things in his outstanding career, not the least of which was his personal attempt in 1916 to prevent America's entry into the First World War. That experience may have been the catalyst which piqued his interest in the Jews in the first place, his not being unfamiliar with their drawing us kicking and screaming into that needless war which he recognized as none of our business.

Mr. Ford's writings on the Jews were not well received by the elite "members of the tribes," who did everything possible to put Ford Motor Company out of business. Ultimately, because he was trying to have a successful launch of the new Model A in the mid-twenties, a letter of apology was issued in his name to self-avowed leaders of the Jewish community in New York, and a brand new Ford Model A went with the deal. Even so, the Jews never forgave Mr. Ford, and no self-respecting Jew until recently would own a Ford product. Jews continued to disparage the achievements of Mr. Ford whenever possible, such as the response of the Jewish owners of Sears-Roebuck to a request to include Henry and Clara's first home in their campaign to paint a "Great American Home Like Yours": "Sears is not interested in including the Ford home in its campaign. Henry Ford was an enemy of labor." Nothing could be further from the truth, though Mr. Ford was an avowed enemy of "organized" labor; but the truth never stopped a good Jew from smearing his perceived enemies.

That may all change with Mark Fields as the new president and chief executive of Ford Motor Company since July 1 of this year. He is the first "openly" Jewish president of one of the "Detroit Three" automakers, notes his Wikipedia bio. His family name, until a generation ago when his Jewish grandparents immigrated from Russia and settled in New Jersey, was Finkelman. Ironically, Henry Ford's article in the September issue of **TFF** was all about this Jewish propensity for changing surnames to protect identities and better hide their Jewishness from Gentile hosts. It seems to have worked for Mr. "Fields."

www.jewwatch.com

I learned of Mark Fields' meteoric rise at Ford just last month from the quarterly "@ Ford" magazine. As soon as I saw his picture with the caption touting his rise to the helm of Ford, I became suspicious. He was too young to have risen naturally to that job. A quick search at Wikipedia confirmed my suspicions. "Fields" joined Ford in 1989, having worked six years at IBM prior, and by 1997 was in charge of Argentina operations. Shortly thereafter he took over as president of Ford-Mazda in 1998 at the age of 37. Four years later, he's the head of Ford's "Premier Group" which includes Lincoln, Land Rover, Aston-Martin, Volvo and Jaguar badges. In 2005 he headed Ford of the Americas division, followed closely in 2006 by being named business unit chief of the Americas group.

Final takeover

He became Chief Operating Officer in 2012 followed by his appointment in April of 2014 to CEO. Then, on July 1, 2014, he was selected President and CEO of the company by Bill Ford, Jr., great grandson of Henry Ford and current Chairman of the company. We will have to see whether or not he becomes the first Jewish Chairman of the Board of Ford Motor Company and seals the deal.

The Jewish community is very pleased with his appointment. Quoting the *Detroit Jewish News* from an article by Bill Carroll in November 2012:

"A Jew running Ford, the company founded by Henry Ford, one of the most notorious anti-Semites in American history? Veteran observers of the automotive scene thought it could never happen. But it's a new era at Ford, an era that actually started when the elder Ford's grandson, Henry Ford II, took over the company in the 1940s and launched his version of affirmative action. Ford II, a friend of philanthropist Max Fisher and other Jewish leaders and frequent contributor to the old United Jewish Appeal, hired Jewish, African American and other minority employees, and installed minority dealers and suppliers. What's even more important, many of the minority employees rose to higher ranks within Ford than did those at GM and Chrysler."

The Crescent City Jewish News of New Orleans, writing of Fields' rapid rise to the top in April 2014, is even more specific on the "anti-Semitism" of Mr. Ford:

"Founded in 1903 by Henry Ford, the Ford Motor Company has never had a Jewish CEO before. Ford was a pronounced anti-Semite whose dealings with the Nazis during World War II are well known."

Retrogression

There's more than a little gloating evident here. In addition to being credited with "affirmative action" at Ford (The original Henry Ford was hiring minorities and foreigners long before in great numbers, although not in management. He hosted a big event every July 4th to celebrate the new citizenship of those foreign employees who swore their allegiance to the United States. He even incorporated a town just to house the Negro employees – "Inkster, Michigan".), Henry Ford's grandson, the "Deuce," is responsible for the company going public in 1956, a slap in the face of his grandfather who went to great lengths in 1919 to take the company private after a wrenching court battle with the Dodge brothers over how profits should be spent. The Dodge boys wanted the profits to be distributed as dividends to stockholders, and Mr. Ford wanted to re-invest them in the company. He lost the court battle, but spent the next few years buying up all the outstanding stock and privatizing his company to keep that problem from ever happening again.

Now that I'm aware of the appointment of Mark Finkelman to head the Ford Motor Company, I know not what course others may take, but as for me and my house, we've bought our last Ford product.

Henry Ford, who took great pains to insure his legacy from encroachment by the "international bankers," must be rolling over in his grave, his spirit looking down on his family with disgust.

"The only statement I care to make about the Protocols is that they fit in with what is going on... [I am] only trying to awake the Gentile world to an understanding of what is going on. The Jew is a mere huckster, a trader who doesn't want to produce, but to make something out of what someone else produces." – Henry Ford, when asked why he published *The International Jew*

The explanation is the cure

My Life and Work

By Henry Ford
gutenberg.org

The work which we describe as "Studies in the Jewish Question," and which is variously described by antagonists as "the Jewish campaign," "the attack on the Jews," "the anti-Semitic pogrom" and so forth, needs no explanation to those who have followed it. Its motives and purposes must be judged by the work itself. It is offered as a contribution to a question which deeply affects the country, a question which is racial at its source, and which concerns influences and ideals rather than persons. Our statements must be judged by candid readers who are intelligent enough to lay our words alongside life as they are able to observe it. If our word and their observation agree, the case is made. It is perfectly silly to begin to damn us before it has been shown that our statements are baseless or reckless. The first item to be considered is the truth of what we have set forth. And that is precisely the item which our critics choose to evade.

Readers of our articles will see at once that we are not actuated by any kind of prejudice, except it may be a prejudice in favor of the principles which have made our civilization. There had been observed in this country certain streams of influence which were causing a marked deterioration in our literature, amusements and social conduct; business was departing from its old-time substantial soundness; a general letting down of standards was felt everywhere. It was not the robust coarseness of the White man, the rude indelicacy, say, of Shakespeare's characters, but a nasty Orientalism which has insidiously affected every channel of expression – and to such an extent that it was time to challenge it.

That these influences are all traceable to one racial source is a fact to be reckoned with, not by us only, but by the intelligent people of the race in question.

It is entirely creditable to them that steps have been taken by them to remove their protection from the more flagrant violators of American hospitality, but there is still room to discard outworn ideas of racial superiority maintained by economic or intellectually subversive warfare upon Christian society.

Our work does not pretend to say the last word on the Jew in America. It says only the word which describes his obvious present impress on the country. When that impress is changed, the report of it can be changed. For the present, then, the question is wholly in the Jews' hands. If they are as wise as they claim to be, they will labor to make Jews American, instead of laboring to make America Jewish. The genius of the United States of America is Christian in the broadest sense, and its destiny is to remain Christian. This carries no sectarian meaning with it, but relates to a basic principle which differs from other principles in that it provides for liberty with morality, and pledges society to a code of relations based on fundamental Christian conceptions of human rights and duties.

As for prejudice or hatred against persons, that is neither American nor Christian. Our opposition is only to ideas, false ideas, which are sapping the moral stamina of the people. These ideas proceed from easily identified sources, they are promulgated by easily discoverable methods; and they are controlled by mere exposure. We have simply used the method of exposure. When people learn to identify the source and nature of the influence swirling around them, it is sufficient. Let the American people once understand that it is not natural degeneracy, but calculated subversion that afflicts us, and they are safe. The explanation is the cure.

This work was taken up without personal motives. When it reached a stage where we believed the American people could grasp the key, we let it rest for the time. Our enemies say that we began it for revenge and that we laid it down in fear. Time will show that our critics are merely dealing in evasion because they dare not tackle the main question. Time will also show that we are better friends to the Jews' best interests than are those who praise them to their faces and criticize them behind their backs.

Order extra copies of
The First Freedom
Help your friends shed the scales from their eyes!
See coupon on page 24
Let's roll!

America's Jewish enigma – Louis Marshall

What this inventor/writer warned our forebears about is the greatest problem we have today.

By Henry Ford
The Dearborn Independent
26 November 1921

Something of an enigma is Louis Marshall, whose name heads the list of organized Jewry in America, and who is known as the arch-protester against most things non-Jewish. He is head of nearly every Jewish movement that amounts to anything, and he is chief opponent of practically every non-Jewish movement that promises to amount to something. Yet he is known mostly as a name – and not a very Jewish name at that.

Singular exception

It would be interesting to know how the name of "Marshall" found its way to this Jewish gentleman. It is not a common name, even among Jews who change their names. Louis Marshall is the only "Marshall" listed in the Jewish Encyclopedia, and the only Jewish "Marshall" in the index of the publications of the American Jewish Historical Society. In the list of the annual contributors to the American Jewish Committee are to be found such names as Marshutz, Mayer, Massal, Maremort, Mannheimer, Marx, Morse, Mackler, Marcus, Morris, Moskowitz, Marks, Margolis, Mareck – but only one "Marshall," and that is Louis. Of any other prominent Jew it may be asked, "Which Straus?" "Which Untermeyer?" "Which Kahn?" "Which Schiff?" – but never, "Which Marshall?" for there is only one.

This in itself would indicate that Marshall is not a Jewish name. It is an American, or an Anglo-Saxon name transplanted into a Jewish family. But how and why are questions to which the public as yet have no answer.

Louis Marshall is head of the American Jewish Committee, and the American Jewish Committee is head of all official Jewish activity in the United States.

As head of the committee, he is also head of the executive committee of the New York Kehillah, an organization which is the active front of organized Jewry in New York, and the center of Jewish propaganda for the United States. The nominal head of the Kehillah is Rabbi Judah L. Magnes, a brother-in-law of Louis Marshall. Not only are the American Jewish Committee and the Kehillah linked officially (see chapter 33, Volume II, reprint of this series), but they are linked domestically as well.

Targeting Palestine

Louis Marshall was president of all the Jewish Committees of the world at the Versailles Peace Conference, and it is charged now, as it has been charged before, that the Jewish Program is the only program that went through the Versailles conference as it was drawn, and the so-called League of Nations is busily carrying out its terms today. A determined effort is being made by Jews to have the Washington Conference take up the same matter. Colonel House was Louis Marshall's chief aid at Paris in forcing the Jewish Program on an unwilling world.

Louis Marshall has appeared in all the great Jewish cases. The impeachment of Governor Sulzer was a piece of Jewish revenge, but Louis Marshall was Sulzer's attorney. Sulzer was removed from the office of governor. The case of Leo Frank, a Jew, charged with the peculiarly vicious murder of a Georgia factory girl, was defended by Mr. Marshall. It was one of those cases where the whole world is whipped into excitement because a Jew is in trouble. It is almost an indication of the racial character of a culprit these days to note how much money is spent for him and how much fuss

Leo Frank, age 29, was convicted and sentenced to death for the brutal rape-murder of 13-year-old Mary Phagan in 1913. Frank came from a wealthy Brooklyn family. He was hired by the New York millionaire Jew, Adolph Montag, to run the National Pencil Factory in Atlanta. Frank was the president of the Atlanta chapter of B'nai B'rith, the secret Jewish businessmen's fraternity. After the surprising commutation of his death sentence by Governor John Slaton, a group of leading citizens of Marietta, Georgia, took matters into their hands and lynched Frank. Below, his victim.

is raised concerning him. It seems to be a part of Jewish loyalty to prevent if possible the Gentile law being enforced against Jews. The Dreyfus case and the Frank case are examples of the endless publicity the Jews secure in behalf of their own people. Frank was reprieved from the death sentence, and sent to prison, after which he was killed. That horrible act can be traced directly to the state of public opinion which was caused by raucous Jewish publicity which stopped at nothing to attain its ends. To this day the State of Georgia is, in the average mind, part of an association of ideas directly traceable to this Jewish propaganda. Jewish publicity did to Georgia what it did to Russia – grossly misrepresented it, and so ceaselessly as to create a false impression generally. It is not without reason that the Ku Klux Klan was revived in Georgia and that Jews were excluded from membership.

French artillery officer Alfred Dreyfus, court-martialed in 1894 for selling secrets to the German military attaché in Paris, was deported to Devil's Island off the coast of French Guiana.

Conspiracy, not theory

Louis Marshall is chairman of the board and of the executive committee of the Jewish Theological Seminary of America, whose principal theologian, Mordecai M. Kaplan, is the leading exponent of an educational plan by which Judaism can be made to supercede Christianity in the United States. Under cover of synagogal activities, which he knows that the well known tolerance of the American people will never suspect, Rabbi Kaplan has thought out and systemized and launched a program to that end, certainly not without the approval of Mr. Marshall.

Louis Marshall is not the world leader of Jewry, but he is well advanced in Jewry's world counsel, as is seen by the fact that international Jewry reports to him, and also by the fact that he headed the Jews at the "kosher conference" – as the Versailles assemblage was known among those on the inside. Strange things happened in Paris. Mr. Marshall and "Colonel" House had affairs very well in hand between them. President Wilson sent a delegation to Syria to find out just what the contention of the Syrians was against the Jews, but that report has never seen the light of day. But it was the easiest thing imaginable to keep the President informed as to what the Jews of New York thought (that is, the few who had not taken up their residence in Paris). For example, this prominent dispatch in the *New York Times* of May 27, 1919:

"Wilson gets Full Report of Jewish Protest Here.

"Copyright, 1919, by the New York Times Co.

"By Wireless to *The New York Times*.

"Paris, May 26. – Louis Marshall, who has succeeded Judge Mack as head of the Jewish Committee in Paris, was received by President Wilson this afternoon, and gave him a long cabled account of the Jewish mass meeting recently held in Madison Square Garden, including the full text of the resolutions adopted at the meeting . . . . and editorial comment in *The Times* and other papers . . . ."

Revolution made in New York

When Russia fell, Louis Marshall hailed it with delight. The *New York Times* begins its story on March 19, 1917:

"Hailing the Russian upheaval as the greatest world event since the French Revolution, Louis Marshall in an interview for the *New York Times* last night said" – a number of things, among which was the statement that the events in Russia were no surprise. Of course they were not, the events being of Jewish origin, and Mr. Marshall being the recipient of the most intimate international news.

Even the new Russian revolutionary government made reports to Louis Marshall, as is shown by the dispatch printed in the *New York Times* of April 3, 1917, in which Baron Gunzburg reports what had been done to assure to the Jews the full advantage of the Russian upheaval.

This glorification of the Jewish overthrow of Russia, it must be remembered, occurred before the world knew what Bolshevism was, and before it realized that the revolution meant the withdrawal of the whole eastern front from the war. Russia was simply taken out of the war and the Central Powers left free to devote their whole attention to the western front. One of the resulting necessities was the immediate entrance of America into the conflict, and the prolongation of the hostilities for nearly two more years.

As the truth became known, Louis Marshall first defended, then explained, then denied – his latest position being that the Jews are against Bolshevism. He was brought to this position by the necessity of meeting the testimony of eye-witnesses as given to congressional investigation committees. This testimony came from responsible men whom even Mr. Marshall could not dispose of with a wave of his hand, and as time has gone on the testimony has increased to mountainous proportions that *Bolshevism is Jewish in its origin, its method, its personnel and its purpose*. Herman Bernstein, a member of Mr. Marshall's American Jewish Committee, has lately been preparing American public opinion for a great anti-Semitic movement in Russia. Certainly, it will be an anti-Semitic movement, because it will be anti-Bolshevist, and the Russian people, having lived with the hybrid for five years, are not mistaken as to its identity.

Pathological complainer

During the war, Mr. Marshall was the arch-protestor. While Mr. Baruch was running the war from the business end ("I probably had more power than perhaps any other man did in the war; doubtless that is true"), Mr. Marshall was running another side. We find him protesting because an army officer gave him instructions as to his duties as a registration official. It was Mr. Marshall who complained to the Secretary of War that a certain camp contractor, after trying out carpenters, had advertised for Christian carpenters only. It was to the discrimination in print that Mr. Marshall chiefly objected, it may be surmised, since it is the policy of his committee to make it impossible, or at least unhealthy, to use print to call attention to the Jew.

It was Mr. Marshall who compelled a change in the instructions sent out by the Provost Marshal General of the United States Army to the effect that "the foreign-born, especially Jews, are more apt to malinger than the native-born." It is said that a Jewish medical officer afterward confirmed this part of the instruction, saying that experience proved it. Nevertheless, President Wilson ordered that the paragraph be cut out.

It was Mr. Marshall who compelled the revision of the Plattsburg Officers' Training Manual. That valuable book rightly said that "the ideal officer is a Christian gentleman." Mr. Marshall wrote, wired, demanded, and the edition was changed. It now reads that "the ideal officer is a courteous gentleman," a big drop in idealism.

There was nothing too unimportant to draw forth Mr. Marshall's protest. To take care of protests alone, he must have a large organization.

And yet with all this high-tension pro-Jewish activity, Mr. Marshall is not a self-advertising man, as is his law partner, Samuel Untermyer, who has been referred to as the arch-inquisitor against the Gentiles. Marshall is a name, a power, not so much a public figure.

Strictly "religious"

As an informed Jew said about the two men:

"No, Marshall doesn't advertise himself like Sam, and he has never tried to feature himself in the newspapers for personal reasons. Outside his professional life he devotes himself exclusively to religious affairs." That is the way the American Jew likes to describe the activities referred to above – "religious affairs." We shall soon see that they are political affairs.

Mr. Marshall is short, stocky, and aggressive. Like his brother-in-law, Rabbi Magnes, he works on the principle that "the Jew can do no wrong." For many years Mr. Marshall has lived in a four-story brownstone house, of the old-fashioned

Order extra copies of
The First Freedom
Help your friends shed the scales from their eyes!
See coupon on page 24

type, with a grilled door, in East Seventy-second street. This is an old-time "swell" neighborhood, once almost wholly occupied by wealthy Jews. It was as close as they could crowd to the choice Fifth Avenue corners, which had been pre-empted by the Vanderbilts, the Astors and other rich families.

Doublespeak

That Mr. Marshall regards the whole Jewish program in which he is engaged, not in its religious aspect alone, but in its world-wide political aspect, may be judged from his attitude on Zionism. Mr. Marshall wrote in 1918 as follows:

"I have never been identified and am not now in any way connected with the Zionist organization. I have never favored the creation of a sovereign Jewish State."

BUT –

Mr. Marshall says, "Let the Zionists go on. Don't interfere with them." Why? He writes:

"*Zionism is but an incident of a far-reaching plan. It is merely a convenient peg on which to hang a powerful weapon. All the protests that non-Zionists may make would be futile to affect that policy.*"

He says that opposition to Zionism at that time would be dangerous. "I could give concrete examples of a most impressive nature in support of what I have said. I am not an alarmist, and even my enemies will give me credit for not being a coward, but my love for our people is such that even if I were disposed to combat Zionism, I would shrink from the responsibilities that might be entailed were I to do so."

And, in concluding this strange pronouncement, he says:

"*Give me the credit of believing that I am speaking advisedly.*"

Of course, there is more to Zionism than appears on the surface, but this is as close as anyone can come to finding a Jewish admission on the subject.

Busybody

If in this country there is apprehension over the Jewish Problem, the activities of Louis Marshall have been the most powerful agents to evoke it. His propagandas have occasioned great resentment in many sections of the United States. His opposition to salutary immigration laws, his dictation to book and periodical publishers, as in the recent case of G. P. Putnam's Sons, who modified their publishing program on his order; his campaign against the use of "Christological expressions" by Federal, State and municipal officers; all have resulted in alarming the native population and harming the very cause he so indiscreetly advocates.

That this defender of "Jewish rights," and restless advocate of the Jewish religious propaganda, should make himself the leader in attacking the religion of the dominant race in this country, in ridiculing Sunday laws and heading an anti-Christianity campaign, seems, to say the least, inconsistent.

Mr. Marshall, who is regarded by the Jews as their greatest "constitutional" lawyer, since the decline of Edward Lauterbach (and that is a tale!) originated, in a series of legal arguments, the contention that "this is not a Christian country nor a Christian government." This argument he has expounded in many writings. He has built up a large host of followers among contentious Jews, who have elaborated on this theme in a variety of ways. It is one of the main arguments of those who are endeavoring to build up a "United Israel" in the United States.

Mr. Marshall maintains that the opening of deliberative assemblies and conventions with prayer is a "hollow mockery"; he ridicules "the absurd phrase 'In the name of God, Amen,'" as used in the beginning of wills. He opposes Sunday observance legislation as being "the cloak of hypocrisy." He advocates "crushing out every agitation which tends to introduce into the body politic the virus of religious controversy."

But Mr. Marshall himself has spent the last twenty years of his life in the "virus of religious controversy." A few of his more impertinent interferences have been noted above. These are, in the Jewish phrase, "religious activities" with a decidedly political tinge.

The following extracts are quoted from the contentions of Mr. Marshall, published in the *Menorah Journal*, the official organ of the Jewish Chautauqua, that the United States is not a Christian country:

"Is Ours a Christian Government?
"By Louis Marshall

"When, in 1892, Mr. Justice Brewer, in rendering the decision of the Supreme Court of the United States in the case of the Church of the Holy Trinity against the United States (144 U.S. 457), which involved an interpretation of the Alien Labor Law, indulged in the *obiter* remark that 'this is a Christian nation,' a subject was presented for the consideration of thoughtful minds which is of no ordinary importance.

"The dictum of Mr. Justice Story in Vidal against Girard's Executors (2 How. U.S., 198), to the effect that Christianity was a part of the common law of Pennsylvania, is also relied upon, but is not an authoritative judicial determination of that proposition. The remark was not necessary to the decision.

"The remarks of Mr. Justice Brewer, to which reference has already been made, were also unnecessary to the decision rendered by the court.

"The fact that oaths are administered to witnesses, that the hollow mockery is pursued of opening deliberative assemblies and conventions with prayer, that wills begin with the absurd phrase 'In the name of God, Amen,' that gigantic missionary associations are in operation to establish Christian missions in every quarter of the globe, were all instanced. But none of these illustrations affords any valid proof in support of the assertion that 'this is a Christian nation.'

"Our legislation relative to the observance of Sunday is such a mass of absurdities and inconsistencies that almost anything can be predicated thereon except the idea that our legislators are impressed with the notion that there is anything sacred in the day. According to the views of any section of the Christian church, the acts which I have enumerated as permitted would be regarded as sinful. Their legality in the eye of the law is a demonstration that the prohibitory enactments relating to Sunday are simply police regulations, and it should be the effort of every good American citizen to liberalize our Sunday legislation still more, so that it shall cease to be the cloak of hypocrisy.

"As a final resort, we are told by our opponents that this is a Christian government because the majority of our citizens are adherents of the Christian faith; that this is a government of majorities, because government means force and majorities represent the preponderance of strength. This is a most dangerous doctrine . . . ."

Outsiders by choice

If the Christianity of the United States is to be questioned, the last person to initiate the inquiry should be a member of that race which had no hand in creating the Constitution or in the upbuilding of the country. If Christian prayers in public are a hollow mockery, and Sunday laws unreasonable, the last person in the world to oppose them should be a Jew.

Mr. Marshall has the advantage of being an American by birth. He was born in Syracuse, New York, in 1856, the son of Jacob and Zilli Marshall. After practicing law in Syracuse, he established himself in New York, became a Wall Street corporation lawyer, and his native country has afforded him generous means to win a large fortune.

Invasion of misfits

The question arises whether it is patriotic for Mr. Marshall to implant into the minds of his foreign-born co-religionists the idea that this is not a Christian country, that Sunday laws should be opposed, and that the manners and customs of the native-born should be scorned and ridiculed. The effect has been that thousands of immigrant Jews from Eastern Europe are persistently violating Sunday laws in the large industrial

Louis Marshall

centers of the country, that they are haled to court, lectured by judges, and fined. American Jews who are carrying into practice the teachings of Mr. Marshall and his followers are reaping the whirlwind of a natural resentment.

Forever stirring up trouble

Mr. Marshall was the leader of the movement which led to the abrogation of the treaty between the United States and Russia. Whenever government boards or committees are appointed to investigate the actions, conduct or conditions of foreign-born Jews, great influences are immediately exerted to have Mr. Marshall made a member of such bodies to "protect" the Jewish interests.

As head of millions of organized Jews in the United States, Mr. Marshall has invariably wielded this influence by means of a campaign of "protests," to silence criticisms of Jewish wrongdoing. He thus protested when testimony was made before the Senate Sub-Committee in Washington, in 1919, that the Jewish East Side of New York was the hotbed of Bolshevism. Again he protested to Norman Hapgood against the editorial in *Harper's Weekly*, criticizing the activities of Jewish lobbyists in Washington.

Mr. Marshall describes himself in "Who's Who" as a leader in the fight for the abrogation of the treaty with Russia. That was a distinct interference in America's political affairs and was not a "religious activity" connected with the preservation of "Jewish rights" in the United States. The limiting expression "in the United States" is, of course, our own assumption. It is doubtful if Mr. Marshall limits anything to the United States. He is a Jew and therefore an internationalist. He is ambassador of the "international nation of Jewry" to the Gentile world.

The pro-Jewish fights in which Mr. Marshall has been engaged in this country make a considerable list:

He fought the proposal of the Census Bureau to enumerate Jews as a race. As a result, there are no official figures, except those prepared by the American Jewish Committee, as to the Jewish population of the United States. The Census has them listed under a score of different nationalities, which is not only a non-descriptive method, but a deceptive one as well. At a pinch the Jewish authorities will admit of 3,500,000 Jews in the United States. The increase in the amount of Passover Bread required would indicate that there are 6,000,000 in the United States now! But the Government of the United States is entirely at sea, officially, as to the Jewish population of this country, except as the Jewish government in this country, as an act of courtesy, passes over certain figures to the government. The Jews have a "foreign office" through which they deal with the Government of the United States.

Mr. Marshall also fought the proposed naturalization laws that would deprive "Asiatics" of the privilege of becoming naturalized citizens. This was something of a confession!

Wherever there were extradition cases to be fought, preventing Jewish offenders from being extradited, Mr. Marshall was frequently one who assisted. This also was part of his "religious activities," perhaps.

Advocated open borders

He fought the right of the United States Government to restrict immigration. He has appeared oftener in Washington than any other Jew on this question.

In connection with this, it may be suggested to Mr. Marshall that if he is really interested in upholding the law of the land and restraining his own people from lawless acts, he could busy himself with profitable results if he would look into the smuggling of Jews across the Mexican and Canadian borders. And when that service is finished, he might look into the national Jewish system of bootlegging which, as a Jew of "religious activities," he should be concerned to break up.

Louis Marshall is leader of that movement which will force the Jew by law into places where he is not wanted. The law, compelling hotel keepers to permit Jews to make their hotels a place of resort if they want to, has been steadily pushed. Such a law is practically a Bolshevik order to destroy property, for it is commonly known what Jewish patronage does for public places. Where a few respectable Jews are permitted, others flock. And when one day they discover that the place they "patronize" is becoming known as "a Jew hotel" or a "Jew club," then all the Jews abandon it – but they cannot take the stigma with them. The place is known as "a Jew place," but lacks both Jew and Gentile patronage as a result.

Jews overrule Congress

When Louis Marshall succeeded in compelling by Jewish pressure and Jewish threats the Congress of the United States to break the treaty with Russia, he was laying a train of causes which resulted in a prolongation of the war and the utter subjugation of Russia. Russia serves the world today as a living illustration of the ruthlessness, the stupidity and the reality of Jewish power – endless power, fanatically mobilized for a vengeful end, but most stupidly administered. Does Mr. Marshall ever reflect on the grotesque stupidity of Jewish leadership?

It is regretted that space does not permit the publication here of the correspondence between Mr. Marshall and Major G. H. Putnam, the publisher, as set forth in the annual report of the American Jewish Committee. It illustrates quite vividly the methods by which Mr. Marshall secures the suppression of books and other publications which he does not like. Mr. Marshall, assisted by factors which are not mentioned in his letter, procured the suppression of the Protocols, after the house of Putnam had them ready to publish, and procured later the withdrawal of a book on the Jewish Question which had attracted wide attention both here and in England.

Mr. Marshall apparently has no confidence in "absurdities" appearing absurd to the reader, nor of "lies" appearing false; but he would constitute himself a censor and a guide of public reading, as well as of international legislation. If one might hazard a guess – Mr. Marshall's kind of leadership is on the wane.

How Muammar Gaddafi's death reshaped destinies

By Phillip Butler
rt.com

It is three years since Libyan dictator Muammar Gaddafi was shot by National Transitional Council (NTC) forces. That fateful day when NATO intelligence and military assistance spelled the end of a dictator, the future of our world was also reshaped forever.

Beyond Benghazi

Most Americans have forgotten all about Gaddafi these days. As for Libya, Hillary Clinton's possible malfeasance over the Benghazi incident is all one hears now. The killing of the U. S. ambassador is now a political football for opponents of the Obama White House only. Whatever the former Secretary's role in the Libyan Civil War may be, America's role in the "so-called" Arab Spring is monumental by comparison.

Of the flamboyant, even notorious, Colonel Gaddafi, much was written on the anniversary of his demise. Underneath the drama of his longstanding impact on Libya, and his antagonism of the Western allies, his "removal" needs intense scrutiny for the future impacts the event will have. Gaddafi, a symbol of extremism and some say evil on the one hand, was a stabilizing factor across North Africa. America's, and the United Nations' intervention in 2011, as we see now, extended far past that desert nation's borders. Beyond the humanitarian disaster in Libya, past the corruption, violence, and even the Western banks' interference there, the world community needs to look at how NATO's meddling has and will affect us all.

Muammar Gaddafi (AFP Photo / Jose Luis Roca)

An Arab fireball

Regime change across the Middle East was supposed to be a positive outcome, from the Western perspective of the Arab Spring. With the situation in Syria and Iraq today though, we have a shining example of how "intended" results can end as failed foreign policy strategies. Unless the West had intended to set the world on fire on purpose, our role in these upheavals just seems insane.

ISIL, as the American administration likes to refer to it, is a manifestation of the sectarian civil war in Syria, not to mention shadowy CIA operations worldwide. For all intents and purposes, the unrest the U. S. and NATO fostered across North Africa has now manifested into a proxy war between the Shia of Iran and the Sunni in Saudi Arabia, not to mention more complex and hazardous conflicts. Make no mistake, this friction between the two branches of Islam is key to the causes of ISIL, and to America's "new war" in Iraq as well.

Such was the damage inside the burnt U. S. consulate building in Benghazi on September 13, 2012, following an attack on the building late on September 11 in which the U. S. ambassador to Libya and three other U. S. nationals were killed. (AFP Photo / Gianluigi Guercia)

For those who remember when Russian President Vladimir Putin's mediation in late 2013 cut short the Obama administration's Syria intervention, Arab Spring has now expanded to include Europe. In fact, it can be said that the Arab Spring upheaval has begun a long-term social transformation marked by not only political turbulence but vast economic problems and deadly conflicts across the world. The positive change these uprisings were "supposed to" foster have so far not ended well for citizens. The political and economic gains that people in these countries foresaw when Gaddafi and others were usurped are farther off than ever now. But it's worse. What America and other nations at that time instigated is appearing more like an Arab fireball setting aflame everything in its path than any "beacon of freedom." America is at war still, and on the threshold of world war some insist.

Bold U. S. policy spike

When United Nations Security Council Resolution 1970 sanctioned Libya and Gaddafi, a precedent was set which further emboldened Washington to escalate, to intervene. Interestingly, in the original resolution Russia insisted on the move not being used as a pretext for intervention. Also, the "no-fly zone" instituted later, which crippled Gaddafi's forces, was initially rejected by the council. After the "no-fly" restriction was enforced, the civil war in Libya took on a complexion that mimics what we've seen in Ukraine. Gaddafi never recovered; the die was cast. A ceasefire continued back and forth, then shelling and civilian deaths ensued and a general degrading of the situation in favor of what the United States and Western alliance policy realized. However, we see now the costs of one Gaddafi gone may equal tens of trillions in monetary damage, and untold human suffering.

Today Syria, Libya, Iraq and Yemen are staggered by continual civil war. In Egypt one authoritarian rule has been replaced by another. In fact, of all the nations stricken by upheaval across the region, only Tunisia seems to have survived, however tenuously. As calm as Tunis seems by comparison, just last month in Algeria police made an unprecedented attempt to barge into the country's ailing president's offices. President Abdelaziz Bouteflika is a key ally in U. S. efforts to battle terrorism in Africa's largest country. Countries to the south bordering the North Africa nations have been negatively affected as well. The Central African Republic (CAR), Sudan and South Sudan appear ready to explode. According to global risk analytics firm Maplecroft, all-out war may be on the horizon now in several sub-Saharan nations.

So, if United States foreign policy under Bush and Obama has focused on worldwide upheaval, then the world we live in today is a symbol of superior leadership thinking and intellect. Otherwise, suggestions we're led by madmen seem more feasible. As a consequence of U. S. interference in the world, hundreds of millions are now impacted negatively, Afghanistan to Tehran and Timbuktu.

This anniversary of Muammar Gaddafi's execution begs the question; "Was Libya and the world better off with him?" As crazy as that sounds, what about American taxpayers funding genocide in Gaza at the hands of the Israelis, or promoting the shelling of civilians from Damascus to Donetsk? It is utter madness. Whether the Obama administration is arrogant and emboldened, or simply mediocre and incompetent, the effect on the world is the same. Not many are freer than before Gaddafi died; that's for certain.

Arab Spring turns to Winter

Please don't think for a moment the Arab Spring was simply about some far off Arabs in unnamed deserts. An *Independent* article in February of this year compares Syria and Ukraine as parallel geo-political conflicts. By now, reasoning individuals understand U. S. policy is nothing if not focused on adversarial relationships. Just why the United States today treats most nations as either outright enemies, or at best rivals, is anyone's guess. Where trust in the U. S. is concerned though, even the E. U. now suffers from doubt. Sanctions mimicking those imposed on Gaddafi, enacted against Russia over speculation alone, have cost Europeans dearly. Add to this the lost opportunities had the U. S. and E. U. followed through on promises to North African nations economically, and you've a chaotic recipe for worldwide economic disaster. The E. U. lost a huge opportunity not investing in Arab Spring affected countries. Decades of instability will likely follow. At behest of the Obama administration, NATO and the U. N. blew it.

The Jewnighted States

Finally, if the Benghazi Select Committee investigating Hillary Clinton is for real, then Washington politicians had better broaden their detective work to discover how this administration has affected our world posture overall. Has the will of the people been served? Was our involvement in Arab Spring in the best interests of the people of the United States? When one of the world's most controversial and highly divisive leaders represents more stability than American democracy does, it's high time people of the world examine our definition of democratic reform. Instigating wars, funding future terrorists, endlessly attempting police actions around the world, this in no way resembles free republics of any sort. Defending freedom is one thing, assassinating stability in favor of chaos and impoverishment is quite another.

I was taken last week by an interview given to CNBC by Russian Prime Minister Dmitry Medvedev. In the interview he was characteristically straightforward in saying; "a reset of relations with the United States is impossible." The Prime Minister also made a statement about U. S. President Obama's speech before the U. N. General Assembly in which he labeled Russia a key threat, second only to the deadly Ebola virus and ahead of the terrorist threat posed by Islamic State. Medvedev called the speech commentary "sad; it's like some kind of mental aberration."

Let me end by saying Americans once thought Muammar Gaddafi unstable or insane for his peculiar brand of authoritarian rule. Now my country makes enemies faster than friends, in a world dominated by news of death, disease and chaos. When Gaddafi was alive back in 2010, the Obama White House sought a relations "reset" with Russia which was highly publicized. It appears to me NATO expansionism has signaled a betrayal of the treaties signed there. So who can blame Medvedev for wondering? Even insanity has to be considered when the world goes insane.

Phil Butler is a prolific technology, travel industry and news journalist and editor. He's also a partner at one of Europe's leading PR and digital marketing firms, Pamil Visions PR. Phil contributes to the *Huffington Post*, *The Epoch Times* in print and online, and is a regular public relations analyst for Russia Today as well as other international media.

A rebel fighter keeps watch over the Karm al-Jabal neighborhood of Syria's northern city of Aleppo. (AFP Photo / AMC / Zein Al-Rifai)

Syria wants free trade zone

rt.com

Syria has applied to be part of a free trade zone with Russia. The proposal will be discussed at a Eurasian Economic Commission's (EEC) session scheduled for December 23.

Russian Deputy Economic Development Minister Aleksey Likhachyov announced on October 24 that Syrian authorities had submitted an application earlier. He said talks on forming a free trade zone had been held before the war erupted in Syria.

"We have reached agreements in some sectors that are of particular importance for our country. And there are more hidden opportunities that will let us develop further cooperation," said Syrian Finance Minister Ismail Ismail on the same day during a session of the Russian-Syrian Intergovernmental Commission in Sochi.

The parties discussed bilateral projects in the areas of trade, agriculture and energy, TASS reported. Russian Deputy Prime Minister Dmitry Rogozin stressed Russia is willing to assist Syria. "Our government has to provide personal support to all the most important projects that exist in our relationship," he said, noting that this is the case when economic cooperation is vital in the struggle of the Syrian people.

His stance on Russia puts Obama's sanity in doubt

rt.com

The Russian Prime Minister has suggested that Obama's charges against Russia were caused by a "brain aberration" and added that such rhetoric saddened him.

"I am very upset by the fact that President Obama, while speaking from the United Nations' podium and listing the threats and challenges humanity is currently facing, put Ebola in first place, the Russian Federation second and the Islamic State organization was only in the third place. I don't even want to comment on this; this is some sort of aberration in the brain," Dmitry Medvedev said in an interview with CNBC television.

Prime Minister Dmitry Medvedev, left, gives interview to CNBS in Moscow. Right: journalist Geoff Cutmore.
(RIA Novosti / Ekaterina Shtukina)

Demands fair play

The top Russian official stressed that his country was not isolating itself from the rest of the world, but sought mutually beneficial cooperation with foreign nations. "We want to communicate with all civilized peoples on friendly grounds. Of course, this includes our partners from the United States of America, but for this the situation must be leveled," Medvedev said.

However, the Russian Prime Mimister also noted that the Western sanctions have inflicted considerable damage to Russia's cooperation with the U. S., and without cancellation of this policy there can be no return to partnership.

Cooler heads will prevail

"Let us be frank; it was not us who invented these sanctions. They were invented by our partners in the international community. As our saying goes, let God be their judge. Without any doubt we can survive these sanctions, I am sure that sometime later the sanctions will evaporate, simply cease to exist. But there is no doubt that they have dealt some damage to our relations."

Medvedev ruled out the possibility of an immediate reset in relations between Russia and the West, adding that he expected the process to be composed of at least two stages.

"What sort of reset can there be under such conditions? We must first distance from all this and return to a normal position, at least to the starting position, and only then can we start elaborating on the development of relations in the future," he said.

If America must reject trade

The Russian Prime Minister also stressed that Russia can and will find new partners for routine trade and normal investment projects.

Strengthening neighborly trade ties

presstv.ir

A 5,000-ton Russian cargo ship has docked at the northern Iranian port of Astara in the Caspian Sea, in what has been the first such event in this Iranian port's history.

Rasoul Bahrami, the manager of Astara Port, said the ship, *Pyotr Strelkov*, carrying 1,175 packs of raw medium-density fiber-board (MDF) entered the port in Gilan Province on October 16.

He noted that the cargo vessel had left the Russian port of Astrakhan three weeks earlier.

Bahrami added that 40 cargo vessels have loaded and unloaded more than 100,000 tons of goods at the port since March 2014.

Russian ship *Pyotr Strelkov*

Unilateral sanctions imposed on Iran's banking sector by the United States and the European Union over Tehran's nuclear energy program as well as recent Western bans against Russia over the crisis in Ukraine have prompted Tehran and Moscow to boost economic cooperation.

Not exactly helpless

Iran and Russia also plan to establish a joint bank as an effort to boost bilateral trade and bypass sanctions on the Islamic Republic's banking sector.

Iran's President Hassan Rouhani and Russian President Vladimir Putin have held four meetings on different occasions after the Iranian president took office in 2013.

In September, Iran and Russia agreed to use national currencies in bilateral trade.

Constitutional money must be backed by silver or gold

No State shall… make any Thing but gold and silver Coin a Tender in Payment of Debts…"
– Article I, Section 10

MEMORANDUM

The issues in this case were simple. There was no material dispute of the facts for the Jury to resolve.

Plaintiff admitted that it, in combination with the federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis, which are for all practical purposes, because of their interlocking activity and practices, and both being Banking Institutions Incorporated under the Laws of the United States, are in the Law to be treated as one and the same Bank, did create the entire $14,000.00 in money or credit upon its own books by bookkeeping entry. That this was the Consideration used to support the Note dated May 8, 1964 and the Mortgage of the same date. The money and credit first came into existence when they created it. Mr. Morgan admitted that no United States Law Statute existed which gave him the right to do this. A lawful consideration must exist and be tendered to support the Note. See *Anheuser-Busch Brewing Company v. Emma Mason*, 44 Minn. 318, 46 N.W. 558. The Jury found that there was no consideration and I agree. Only God can create something of value out of nothing.

Even if Defendant could be charged with waiver or estoppel as a matter of Law this is no defense to the Plaintiff. The Law leaves wrongdoers where it finds them. See sections 50, 51 and 52 of Am Jur 2nd "Actions" on page 584 – "no action will lie to recover on a claim based upon, or in any manner depending upon, a fraudulent, illegal or immoral transaction or contract to which Plaintiff was a party."

Plaintiff's act of creating credit is not authorized by the Constitution and Laws of the United States, is unconstitutional and void, and is not a lawful consideration in the eyes of the Law to support any thing or upon which any lawful right can be built.

Nothing in the Constitution of the United States limits the jurisdiction of this Court, which is one of original Jurisdiction with right of trial by Jury guaranteed. This is a Common Law action. Minnesota cannot limit or impair the power of this Court to render Complete Justice between the parties. Any provisions in the Constitution and laws of Minnesota which attempt to do so is repugnant to the Constitution of the United States and void. No question as to the Jurisdiction of this Court was raised by either party at the trial. Both parties were given complete liberty to submit any and all facts to the Jury, at least in so far as they saw fit.

No complaint was made by Plaintiff that Plaintiff did not receive a fair trial. From the admissions made by Mr. Morgan the path of duty was direct and clear for the Jury. Their Verdict could not reasonably have been otherwise. Justice was rendered completely and without denial, promptly and without delay, freely and without purchase, conformable to the laws in this Court of December 7, 1968.

December 9, 1968
MARTIN V. MAHONEY
Justice of the Peace
Credit River Township
Scott County, Minnesota

No more debt "currency" out of thin air

The following commentary is by Defendant Jerome Daly approving Justice Mahoney's memorandum.

The above Judgment was entered by the Court on December 9, 1968. The issue there was simple. Nothing in the law gave the Banks the right to create money on their books. The Bank filed a Notice of Appeal within 10 days. The Appeals statutes must be strictly followed, otherwise the District Court does not acquire Jurisdiction upon Appeal. To effect the Appeal the Bank had to deposit $2.00 with the Clerk within 10 days for payment to the Justice when he made his return to the District Court. The Bank deposited two $1.00 Federal Reserve Notes. The Justice refused the Notes and refused to allow the Appeal upon the grounds that the Notes were unlawful and void for any purpose. The Decision is addressed to the legality of these Notes and the Federal Reserve System.

The Cases of *Edwards v. Kearnzey* and *Craig v. Missouri* set out in the decision should be studied very carefully as they bear on the inviolability of Contracts. This is the crux of the whole issue.

Zimbabwean "money"

Special notation

Justice Mahoney denied the use of Federal Reserve Notes, since they represent debt instruments, not true money, from being used to pay for the appeal process itself. In order to get this overturned, since the bank's appeal without the payment being recognized was out of time, it would have required that the Bank of Montgomery, Minnesota, bring a Title 42, Section 1983, action against the judicial act of Justice Mahoney for a violation of the Constitution of the United States under color of law or authority, and if successful, have the case remanded back to him to either retry the case or allow the appeal to go through. But the corrupt individuals behind the bank(s) were unable to ever elicit such a decision from any federal court due to the fact that because of their vile hatred for him and what he had done to them and their little Queen's Scheme, had him murdered (same as them murdering him) just about six months later. And so, the case stands, just as it was. Amazingly, if they hadn't been so arrogant about the value of their federal reserve notes and paid the Justice just two measly silver dollars, or else four measly half dollars, or else eight measly quarters, or else twenty measly dimes, or else forty measly nickels, or else two hundred measly pennies, they could have had their appeal and would not have had to get blood on their hands.

As it is, they are now known for their bloody ways, and the day will come when the American people reap vengeance upon them for such a heinous and villainous act.

Amen.

Is a one dollar "note" backed by a Silver Dollar?

Wanted
Thinkers
Survivors

The First Freedom is spreading "hate!" whine those who misapprehend us survivors. It's really out of pity that we try so hard to de-program the brainwashed. Order a box of 100 extra copies for $35 or $40 on page 24 and help distribute the truth.

Ebola hits the Big Apple?

whatreallyhappened.com

Dr. Craig Spencer, having returned to New York City from Africa 10 days earlier, was rushed in an ambulance with a police escort from his Harlem home to Bellevue Hospital on October 23, sources said. He was suffering from Ebola-like symptoms – a 103-degree fever and nausea. While he was in Africa, the doctor had been treating Ebola patients in Guinea. He's undergoing testing at Bellevue to see if he has the deadly virus, sources said.

I just did a google search for this story. It is being carried in small media outlets *Business Insider Australia* and WPIX-TV, and even in the *Daily Mail* in the UK, but not a word in any major American media outlets!

Whites stay armed; it's unsafe at State capitol

Race War! Four Black males open fire on two White State Reps in Pennsylvania!

topconservativenews.com

Two White male Pennsylvania State Reps, both Democrats, were leaving the State capitol when four Blacks males opened fire on them. It was a completely unprovoked attack right down the street from the State capitol. The four Black males saw two White guys in suits and decided that it would be fun to try to murder them.

The thugs walked up to them, and one



pointed a gun at the head of Rep. Ryan Bizzarro. That is when Rep. Marty Flynn saved both of their lives by pulling out his own concealed handgun. Flynn exchanged fire with the thug. Then Flynn chased the gunman and "sacked him."

Flynn said he could tell the assailants were up to no good as they approached him. (The Left calls this "racial profiling.")

From PennLive.com…

"Four teenagers have been charged with the attempted homicide and robbery of two State representatives late Tuesday night, October 14, in Harrisburg, according to police.

State Rep. Flynn saved both of their lives by returning fire with a concealed handgun.

"Jamani Ellison, 17; Jyair Leonard, 15; Derek Anderson, 17 and Zha-quan McGhee, 15, have been charged with attempted homicide, conspiracy, robbery, aggravated assault, recklessly endangering and carrying a firearm without a license, according to a news release issued by police in Harrisburg.

"House Democratic Caucus spokesman Bill Patton said State Rep. Marty Flynn, D-Scranton, and State Rep. Ryan Bizzarro, D-Erie, were walking from the Capitol to the house they stay in when they were approached by their assailants.

"The incident happened between North Second and Third streets, around 11 PM near the 200 block of Herr Street, police said.

Good gun control

"Police said one of the teens pointed a gun at the head of Bizzarro, moments before the suspects and Flynn exchanged gunfire.

"'Shots were exchanged between the suspects and the victim and the robbery suspects then fled the area. The suspects were located a few blocks away and were positively identified by the victims. A third suspect, who was in their company, was charged as an accomplice in the robbery. A fourth, Zha-Quan McGhee was arrested at his home, after a follow up investigation,' according to the news release."

More happenings on MLK Boulevard than space for here

Black is suspected of abducting, raping and – in separate crimes – killing one of two White women.

WTKR
dailystormer.com

As investigators are now determining whether a skull and bones discovered behind an abandoned Central Virginia home on October 18 are those of Hannah Graham, a lone suspect in her disappearance has been indicted in an assault from almost a decade ago – a case that police say forensically links him to another female college student who vanished from the same area as Graham.

Preliminary charges

Jesse Matthew was indicted by a grand jury in Fairfax, Virginia on October 20 for the 2005 sexual assault on charges that also included attempted murder and abduction.

According to the indictment, Matthew, 32, "did feloniously, willfully, deliberately, intentionally and with premeditation attempt to kill (the victim) in the commission of or subsequent to an abduction with the intent to defile."

Jess Matthew is prime suspect for the abduction of Hannah Graham, whose possible body has recently been found.

Morgan Harrington, another victim of the Black rapist, was found dead in January 2009.

First clues

The then-26-year-old victim in that attack was able to provide enough of a detailed description to yield a police sketch, one that years later would link Matthew to the case of Morgan Harrington, the Virginia Tech student who vanished in Charlottesville in October 2009.

Harrington was found dead the following January. Police at the time said "forensic evidence" linked the two cases.

Fairfax County prosecutor Ray Morrogh said on October 20 that he could not comment on how this investigation and indictment relates to the Harrington or Graham cases, but said "the facts and details will be revealed in the judicial process."

Jim Camblos, Matthew's Charlottesville attorney in the Graham case, declined to comment when reached by CNN.



The people of Italy have had enough of this filthy simian enrichment. The racialist right is gaining support at an exponential rate, as Europeans awaken from their slumber. – dailystormer.com

Her visit to the zoo

Surveillance camera captures vicious hate crime mob attack against a White female. If the races had been reversed, this would have been a national news story!

topconservativenews.com

Crown Heights has been one of the epicenters of racially motivated Black-on-White mob attacks for a while now. On October 2, a White female was walking by herself when three Black males attack and brutally pummel her.

The sickening racially motivated hate crime left the victim hospitalized with a broken nose and lacerations.

It appears that the media completely censored this hate crime mob attack. It wasn't until police released the video on October 20th, and someone posted it online, that this story saw the light of day. Even then, the major media outlets censored the race of the victim and called it "a robbery."

Only the Gothamist.com went out and interviewed the victim. The woman is 21-year-old Gwendolyn Reyes who is trying to make it as a model in NYC.

And the media drumbeat goes on

All-out race War! Black men and woman attack White people as they leave the Rams game.

topconservativenews.com

Many leaders of the Ferguson protest crowd have been calling for racial violence against White people. A panel on MSNBC urged Ferguson protesters to go to White "safe havens" and make White people feel "uncomfortable."

As White people left following the Rams/Seahawks game, Black men and women screamed profanities and racial slurs. They spit on White people and physically attacked multiple victims. At least two of whom were injured.

Police moved in to contain the violence. Two perps, a mother and daughter, were arrested for spitting on and punching a White female.

This White female was attacked by a Black mother/daughter team. The perps were arrested.

MSNBC urged Blacks to go to White "safe havens" and make White people feel "uncomfortable." Others in Ferguson have simply called for violent attacks on White people.

Wanted
Thinkers
Survivors

The First Freedom is spreading "hate!" whine those who misapprehend us survivors. It's really out of pity that we try so hard to de-program the brainwashed. Order a box of 100 extra copies for $35 or $40 on page 24 and help distribute the truth.

Will you SHARE
The First Freedom
with someone,
or just keep all this to yourself ?

League's Cartersville and Calhoun immigration protests

By Michael Cushman
southernnationalist.com

On Saturday, October 18, the League of the South held demonstrations near Rome, Georgia, in the towns of Cartersville and Calhoun. This area in particular, as well as north Georgia in general, has been flooded with Third World immigrants, primarily Mexicans and other Hispanics, resulting in profound social, cultural and demographic change, formerly Southern areas being repopulated by immigrants.

Change

One result of this influx of Third World immigrants is the dramatic rise in poverty here. Another has been the tide of Hispanic street gangs and violent crime. Given the advent of these social problems brought on by open-borders Third World immigration, it was unsurprising that the several dozen demonstrators were warmly received by the vast majority of local Southerners, many of whom signaled their support for the League's message of "Immigration hurts Southern workers."Very few locals voiced any disagreement with the message. On the other hand, many of the Hispanic immigrants passing by the demonstrations reacted in anger. Some used obscene gestures while others shouted angrily out of their car windows at the Southern Nationalists. One immigrant, identifying himself as a gang member (MS-13 is now a problem in the area), stopped and insulted the demonstrators. Near the end of these protests a Mexican woman stopped and tried to argue with protesters.

Then a couple of cars full of young Hispanic males pulled in behind her and began insulting the local Southern people of the area, calling them "lazy," among other things. The Mexican woman insisted it was "racist" to defend the interests of Southerners and oppose their demographic replacement. She and her fellow immigrants shouted in anger and for a short time refused to move their vehicles, blocking in the demonstrators who were attempting to leave.

While the League members refused to argue with those Mexicans, at one point the woman asked, "What do you want?"

She heard it from a League organizer: "We want you to leave – preferably our country."

Whereupon the Mexican woman became extremely irate, insulted the Southern Nationalists and insisted, "We are not going to leave."

She and the cars full of Hispanic males did leave though, and the event ended without further conflict. Several local Southerners stopped to inquire about the League and voiced their approval for the demonstrations. The picture of a polarized community emerged: local Southerners who oppose the Mexican influx versus Hispanic immigrants who oppose any display of Southern solidarity.

Italy: "Stop the invasion! Stop *Mare Nostrum!*"

English translation exclusive for **TFF**
By Richard Noegel
rxn30904@gmail.com
Compiled from reports by
Danielle Borer & Bernard Migy
Ripostelaïque.com

On Saturday afternoon, October 18, 2014, a crowd of approximately 100,000 persons gathered in the Piazza del Duomo (Cathedral Plaza) in Milan, Italy, to "Stop the invasion!" The demonstration, organized by the League of the North (Lega Nord),

illustrated the anger of the Italian people over the invasion of their country by illegal immigrants from Africa. Between 800 and 1,000 illegals per day arrive in Italy on impromptu flotillas on the Mediterranean Sea, the ancient Roman name for which was *Mare Nostrum*, or "our sea."

Mare Nostrum, now the name of an Italian government agency for the "administration" of illegal immigrants from Africa, was also a focus of the demonstrators' anger. The atmosphere in the piazza was congenial. There was no violence, no disturbances.

Doing something about it

The League of the North, guided by charismatic new leader Matteo Salvini, staged a hugely successful demonstration showing that the Italian people are fed up with this. The situation in Italy has become insupportable, with nine million Italians living below the poverty level; with a youth unemployment rate of 40%; and with Italian retirees living beneath bridges or in their cars, while illegal African and Arab immigrants are housed and fed at public expense and given 40 Euros (about U. S. $50) per day "pocket money." And this is while unemployed ethnic Italians receive only 240 Euros per month.

As space for housing them decreases, the "refugees" are more and more often being housed at public expense in three-star hotels. Nevertheless, they are still not content. They frequently take to the streets of Italian cities to complain about the quality of the food they are given and to demand "African food," among other things.

The demonstrators in Milan had some demands of their own. They demanded the abolition of this government's *Mare Nostrum* agency. Currently, it is the policy of the Italian government to send the Italian Navy into the Mediterranean in search of the flotillas of illegals, even beyond Italian territorial waters. The League of the North points out that the invasion affects not Italy alone, but also her neighbors, mainly France, Switzerland and Germany.

It is hoped that this strong mobilization in Milan will have some influence on such bewildering policies by the Italian government, but, if not, the League's leader, Matteo Salvini, has promised to go further.

Go, Dutch !

By Paul Fromm
paul@paulfromm.com

Go, Dutch! . . . But why wait until 2015?

The Netherlands, where six per cent of the population is now Muslim, is finally about to scrap multiculturalism.

The Dutch government says it will abandon the long-standing model of multiculturalism that has encouraged so many Muslim immigrants to create a parallel society within the Netherlands.

A new integration bill, which Dutch Interior Minister Piet Hein Donner presented to parliament on June 16, reads:

"The government shares the social dissatisfaction over the multicultural society model and plans to shift priority to the values of the Dutch people."

In the new integration system, the values of Dutch society play a central role.

With this change, the government steps away from the model of a multicultural society.

The letter continues: "A more obligatory integration is justified because the government also demands that from its own citizens."

It is necessary because otherwise the society gradually grows apart and eventually no one feels at home anymore in the Netherlands.

The new integration policy will place more demands on immigrants.

For example, immigrants will be required to learn the Dutch language, and the government will take a tougher approach to immigrants who ignore Dutch values or disobey Dutch law.

A return to what works

The government will also stop offering special subsidies for Muslim immigrants because, according to Donner;

"It is not the government's job to integrate immigrants." (How bloody true).

The government will introduce new legislation that outlaws forced marriages and will also impose tougher measures against Muslim immigrants who lower their chances of employment by the way they dress.

More specifically, the government imposed a ban on face-covering, Islamic burqas as of January 1, 2014.

Holland has done that whole liberal thing, and realized – maybe too late – that creating a Nation of tribes will kill the Nation itself.

The future of Australia, the U. K., U. S., Canada and New Zealand may well be read here.

Muslim immigrants leave their countries of birth because of civil and political unrest *…created by the very nature of that culture*. Countries like Holland, Canada, the U. S., U. K., Australia and New Zealand have an established way of life that actually works, so why embrace the unworkable? If Muslims do not wish to accept another culture, the answer is simple; "*Stay where you are!*"

This gives a whole new meaning to the term, "Dutch Courage" ... Unfortunately Australian, U. K., U. S., Canadian, and New Zealand politicians don't have the guts to do the same. There's a whole lot of truth here!

Elections are *coming!*

A Republic if you can keep it

A Nation of sheep breeds a government of wolves!

Let's Take a Stand!

Borders: Closed!

Language: English!

Culture: The Constitution and our Bill of Rights!

No freebies to non-citizens! We the people are coming!

Scotland's vote: what happened and why it matters

By Gregory Kay
gregmkay@yahoo.com

Many of us in the Southern Nationalist Movement watched the Scottish referendum on independence with great interest. Part of the reason is that most of us have at least a few ancestors who came from there, but the other part is that we knew instinctively we could learn from it, and, boy, was it a useful lesson, both in the similarities as well as the differences.

Comparatively speaking

For starters, the Scots are the U. K.'s "Southerners." Not geographically, of course, but culturally; they're the first ones to be mocked and maligned, to be the butt of every joke, to be accused of taking more than they give in the social safety net, and yet are inevitably the first to come charging toward the sound of the guns when Britain, like the U. S., gets involved in yet another endless war of empire. Scots, no different from Southerners, have traditionally been the backbone of their occupier's armies, whether it be from a fighting spirit or just the conditioned desire of a brainwashed people wishing to please their masters so they will finally be accepted. They've been occupied for 120 years longer than we have, so perhaps it's not surprising at this point that over twice as many of them want independence than do Southerners: 45% (not exactly, but more on that in a moment) compared to about 20% of Southerners, according to recent polls. So, in essence, the Scottish referendum can teach us some lessons about what to do, which tactics to use and the obstacles we'll face, along with illusions we'd better get rid of right now.

There have been Scottish Nationalists since England and Scotland joined in the United Kingdom, especially after the effort to place "Bonnie Prince Charlie" Stuart on the joint kingdom's throne was drowned in blood on Culloden Moor, and particularly following the Highland Proscriptions that came afterward: Scotland's version of our Reconstruction. Their scarlet history with England certainly provided material to base a Nationalist movement on, but its growth was languid, so slow many thought it an empty dream. Part of what kept them going was the example of Ireland, less than fifteen miles away across the water. Some used to say a free Ireland was an empty dream, too, and so it would have been had the Irish not possessed a stubborn, violent streak that refused to knuckle under for 800 years, finally proving it could be done if a people wanted freedom badly enough.

Less determined

Unlike the Irish two-pronged model of politics and paramilitary action, the Scots went a purely political route, concentrating on work instead of working while fighting.

As a result their accumulation of power was gradual, but they managed because, unlike the U. S., the U. K. had had its fill of internal violence with the Irish struggle for independence and, wishing no repeat in the Scottish north lands, began throwing the Scots political bones such as referendums and the devolution of certain powers from London to Edinburgh. The leading Scottish Nationalist group – the Scottish National Party – used it, along with another strategy, to secure and expand their own power base until they became the *de facto* spokesman for Scotland. Their other strategy? They understood the mood not only of their hardcore Nationalist base, but of Scots in general, and then tailored their politics accordingly.

Like it or not, the majority of today's Scots lean a little more to the left than the English, despite the English themselves being to the left of American Democrats, but that's neither here nor there. They saw the public mood, tapped into that and ran with it, achieving a better result under the circumstances than most people could've imagined.

Although the Scottish National Party bound together a politically diverse base ranging from starry-eyed youngsters who were more likely to support independence (and they had already used those devolved powers in lowering the voting age to 16) to right wing Nationalists so hardcore the British labeled them "Nazis" all the way to wild-eyed leftists, they ran on a platform of nationalistic emotion, pride in Scotland, nuclear disarmament, nationalization of the oil industry and the breakup of the big banks, with oil and gas payments going to the citizens.

There was a lot to like there, but not everybody was buying, and London, the banksters and big business did their best to see to it that those voting didn't buy it.

At home in the comfort zone

First they enlisted the myopic Scottish "patriotards," not too unlike a common species we have in the South. You know the ones I'm talking about, who recognize Dixie as a distinct Nation, but only under the power and control of D. C. Its version across the pond regards the Union Jack the same way ours views the Stars and Stripes: "My father/grandfather/whatever fought under that flag!" and so on and so forth, steadfastly clinging to the Union with all the desperate tenacity of a born serf.

Next, they went after the cowards. "If Britain leaves, Russia, China or Al Qaeda will invade Scotland and take over!" This argument would obviously only convince a complete idiot, one having no knowledge of international affairs; but, unfortunately, Scotland has its share of those the same as we, and a small but significant number of Chicken Littles promptly ran in panicked circles fully convinced that independence meant waking up with Vladimir Putin sharing the covers.

Following that, despite an excellent economic plan put forth by the SNP, the British brought out their big business guns and pulled out all the stops, appealing to the easiest demographic of all: the greedy. With carefully cooked figures they claimed to "show" that Scotland would be bankrupt within a few years, and that the Scots must lose their generous benefits including free health care and their version of Social Security. The elderly and many middle aged females promptly went apoplectic, turning their backs on the very idea of cutting Mother England's apron strings as "too risky."

Finally, Britannia put to use their secret weapon, a certain class of the Scottish Nationalist.

As anyone who has hung around the Southern National Movement for a length of time knows well, some "Nationalists," while using the word, don't have a clue what it means. Those who strive for blood and soil, standing for their native culture, are Nationalists. Those whose worldview is hitched irrevocably to some particular political philosophy are not Nationalists but politicians, no matter what they call themselves. Despite the glaringly obvious fact that a yes vote only meant that there would be elections in 2017 to determine who and what parties would lead Scotland, these guys got it into their heads (or, far more likely, were paid to get it into their heads) to haunt the internet, loudly and endlessly proclaiming to all and sundry that a successful bid for independence would only put "the socialists" in charge. Seriously; what does anyone think the U. K. is if not a socialist country? So it was far better to live under Britannia's heel than undertake such a risk as governing themselves. In other words, Scots are too stupid to be trusted in making their own decisions. Doesn't sound very Nationalist to me!

Then entered the Doo-Dah Parade of the totally pro-British radical Protestant Orange Order marching and threatening violence. These are the equivalent of some of our so-called "patriot groups" here, who worship the Union rather than the people and would attack secessionists who looked like they had any chance of success. Oddly enough, the Orange protests put them squarely in bed with the Pope of Rome, generally their arch enemy, but who also came out against Scottish independence. Not to mention the U. S., of course; Obama had to stick his wide brown nose into the fray in opposition as well, which is not surprising, considering that the U. K. is widely considered America's poodle, so maybe he did have a dog in that fight after all.

Balking points

Still, the polls weren't going Britain's way, so they tried another old fashioned method: bribery. "If you just stay within the Kingdom, we'll give you all these new powers so you can rule yourselves." In fact, Prime Minister Cameron virtually promised them the moon and stars, so much so that Parliament stood aghast, and several members quickly pointed out there was no way they could follow through on that, especially when Wales spoke up and declared that, if the U. K. gave that much to Scotland, they'd darned well better give it to the Welsh too, or they just might have a *second* independence referendum on their hands. Of course, the Scots would have all of that and more if they went independent, but it did convince a few more of those too cowardly to risk freedom. Still, the election was too close to call, so the British took a page out of the American political play book.

They cheated.

Several people were discovered voting multiple times, and, in one particularly blatant case, a vote counter was literally caught on film switching stacks of YES votes into the NO pile. At first the British denied it, then they said that since the source was the Russian press and since the video had been taken by a Russian film crew, it wasn't reliable, finally falling back on the assertion she was just removing YES votes to the NO pile temporarily for some unknown reason.

So the Scots lost… for now.

Their new battle cry

45% of Scots voted for independence; a remarkable number, considering that one of the most important years in Scottish history is "The '45," referring to the defeat of the Stuart forces on Culloden Moor, and, where "Yes!" was the former SNP slogan, the new one is "45!" its double meaning ringing a loud bell and provoking a knee-jerk reaction in the heart of every Scot. Then, after pointing out the cheating, the lying and the fact that Britain would do literally anything to prevent them from an honest victory, they switched to a new strategy. "We're not going to demand a recount; instead, we demand you follow through with *everything* you promised!"

Secession remains viable

Which is something impossible for the London liars to do, and it's already in the preliminary planning stages for another referendum when they fail to deliver, thus handing the SNP an enormous propaganda stick to beat them about the head and shoulders with. Also, following that failed referendum, within two weeks the SNP's membership had jumped six-fold. Very likely, when (and not if) there is another referendum, assuming the fraud can be stopped independence will almost be a given.

So, what can we Southern Nationalists learn from all this? First, that we're unlikely to get any willing agreement for a referendum; the U. S. is not as free in that regard as the U. K. We need to *make* them become willing to allow it, if need be as an alternative to the threat of worse things. Once they allow that opener, they'll have to face others. If nothing else, a credible threat of unrest or a rising demand for independence may force Washington to devolve more powers to us.

Second, we need to study the methods of the Scottish Nationalists and those who oppose them, initially to learn what works and doesn't, also what we will face, so as to have plans ready tailored to suit our own people. And we should come up with a strategy to stop vote fraud in its tracks.

Third, and finally, we need to take heart from this effort; *freedom is possible, if we want it badly enough*.

Wanted
Thinkers
Survivors

The First Freedom is spreading "hate!" whine those who misapprehend us survivors. It's really out of pity that we try so hard to de-program the brainwashed. Order a box of 100 extra copies for $35 or $40 on page 24 and help distribute the truth.

Russia tells E. U. to stay out of Moldova

hungarianambiance.com

Russian Minister of Foreign Affairs Sergei Lavrov warned the European Union on October 20 against trying to impose a Ukrainian style scenario in Moldova as there would be serious consequences of such a move; the Russian foreign minister said this at the ruling United Russia party event in Moscow.

According to Russia's foreign minister, interference in Moldova's internal affairs by the European Union would further damage already tense relations between Russia and the West. Lavrov believes the western powers are planning to introduce a Ukrainian style scenario in the Republic of Moldova because the Transnistrian region is seeking to separate from Moldova.

Lavrov called the E. U. plan to sign an association treaty with the Republic of Moldova contrary to Russian interests. Such a move would prevent the region of Transnistria from joining the Russia-Belarus-Kazakhstan customs union and the Eurasian Economic Association.

The breakaway region of Transnistria unilaterally declared independence from Moldova in 1990.

The Dniester Republic has every right to define its own future if Moldova's neutral status changes, as the treaty signed to settle the status of Transnistria includes this option according to Lavrov.

SALTY SID of Silver Spring sends seven separate sensational scandal spreadsheets for seven dollars. Sure satisfaction! Sid's super-sized subscription set includes The First Freedom, Impact, Citizens Informer, The Nationalist Times, CofCC Newsletter, Heritage & Destiny and bonus essays by patriots. Send check to Sidney Secular, PO Box 8336, Silver Spring, MD 20907-8336.

JOIN GIDEON'S ELITE, PREPARE FOR KINGDOM SERVICE! Hear Pastor Peter J. Peters daily on WWCR shortwave radio. For a FREE newsletter with complete broadcast schedule: Scriptures For America,. POB 766, LaPorte CO 80535. 24 hrs daily internet streaming @ www.scripturesforamerica.org

HERITAGE AND DESTINY is a 24 page bimonthly patriotic magazine printed in England. To receive a sample copy send $5, or for a year's subscription (6 issues) send $45 to P. O. box 8336, Silver Spring, MD 20907. Check out the website at www.efp.org.uk

KENTUCKY BLOODLETTING. 2½ hour DVD. Four "rebels" murdered in cold blood for each invader killed by the angry citizenry. $15 PPD in C. S. A. and U. S. Get yours by contacting TFF roving reporter Nancy Hitt, hunleyhitt@gmail.com .

FOR ONE free pocket Constitution send a self addressed stamped (70 cents) envelope to: NCCS
37777 W Juniper Rd
Malta, ID 83342
or, for 100 copies, $35 to same address.

SICK AND TIRED of the anti-White mass media? Then why not join us online?
News 4 Whites.blogspot.com
White Information Network

DISPLAY ADVERTISING for pages 6-22:
www.firstfreedom.net/Adv.rates.htm

YOUR NAME in **TFF** makes a difference to people you can count on, assuring those friends you're with them.

"What World Famous Men Said About The Jews." Compiled by Dr. Ed Fields. 100 quotes – from Christ to Cicero, Henry Ford, Napoleon, Truman, Nixon, Rev. Billy Graham, many others (our best seller). $6 PPD. Truth at Last Books, P. O. Box 1211, Marietta, GA 30061.

CONFEDERATE BATTLE FLAGS, 3x5 polyester with metal grommets, $12 each PPD in C. S. A. and U. S., or free by request with any first-time 12-month subscription to The First Freedom for $25 cash, check or m.o. to TFF, P. O. Box 385, Silverhill, AL 36576.

"IN RACIST Marxist Israel," by Jack Bernstein. He worked there for six years in security. His experiences prove Israel is no democracy but a Marxist Police State. 42 pages, $8 ppd. Truth at Last Books, P. O. Box 1211, Marietta, GA 30061.

AMERICAN FREE PRESS. This weekly newspaper offers an introductory rate of 16 issues for $17.76. 645 Pennsylvania Ave SE #100, Washington, DC 20003.

REALITY CHECK – 217 pgs paperback. Join history sleuth German-born author Christine Miller as she exposes Zionist contradictions in their own words against themselves. Nothing is closer to fresh air and light than *Reality Check*. $20 PPD in C. S. A. and U. S. TFF, P. O. Box 385, Silverhill, AL 36576.

ORDER the 100+ page booklet
CONNECT THE DOTS
Second Edition
Help stop the Zionist NWO
$20 includes postage
DWA Trust – P. O. Box 1947
Payson, Arizona 85547

ADVERTISE on this bulletin board. Just $10 per insertion, maximum 50 words, 10¢ each additional word. Please indicate any capitalization or italics desired.

OUR CELTIC HERITAGE, by Brig. Gen. Sir Standish G. Crauford, 16 page booklet covers migrations from the Holy Land to England in ancient times. Send $5 to Karl Hand, PO Box 1281, Lockport, NY 14095.

THE INTERNATIONAL JEW, by Henry Ford, founder of the Ford Motor Company, exposes Jews as "*The World's Foremost Problem*." These articles first appeared in the periodical published by the Ford Motor Company, *The Dearborn Independent*. This is the abridged single volume edition at 231 pages. $14 postal money order to Karl Hand, P. O. Box 1281, Lockport, NY 14095.

FREE DVD catalog on global conspiracy, legal sovereignty, reduce taxes and avoid taxes, land patent, allodial title, etc. Over 200 listings plus discounted DVDs and books. Call 800-770-8802, leave name and address. ToolsForFreedom.com .

Declare a new era for the National Alliance. After many years of mismanagement and inattention, members are fighting back to save Dr. Pierce's legacy. Join Dr. Pierce's brother, Sanders Pierce, and the alliance's top street activist Michael Weaver along with many others. To learn more about this project and how anyone can get involved, visit us online at: www.narrg.com .

David Lee's BIBLE ERRORS REVEALED and Related Topics. Thirty years research seeking the Truth. Six paper back 8½ x 11 volumes $20 each PPD. Vol. I – 179 pages including Genesis chapter one, Noah's flood & Khazar Empire. Check or m.o. to SBO 66, Box 05292, Miami, FL 33102.

Conspiracy books, booklets and DVDs for sale in like new condition. Subjects include: Illuminati, Freemasonry, Secret Societies, Judaism, World War II, Holocaust, Kennedy assassination, War on Drugs, 9-11 and more. Contact for list. Donald Bradshaw, Intown Suites #119, 4350 Hwy 78, Lilburn, Georgia 30047; telephone 770-972-6677 (ext. 119); email donaldbrdshw@AOL.com .

THREE TRUTH TRACTS
1) "Interracial Marriage - Right or Wrong?" Mixed race offspring have lower IQ, goes against nature and Biblical law.
2) "Martin Luther King Holiday Should Be Repealed." FBI files document his Communist ties.
3) "Kosher Food Tax Raises Food Prices." Every food item featuring a "K" or "U" imprint has paid a tax to a Rabbi.
All three tracts only $1 from:
Truth at Last Books
P. O. Box 1211, Marietta, GA 30061

Letters...

Your signed letter with phone number and address is welcome, but will be edited as necessary to fit available space. Send to The First Freedom, P. O. Box 385, Silverhill, Alabama 36576 or email editor@firstfreedom.net

DIFFERENT STORY

Well, glory be! Today's paper carried a picture of Robert E. Lee's slaves. Here are four women dressed in beautiful hoop skirts and ballroom clothes, women who were pivotal in preserving the archives of George Washington and the home of Robert E. Lee from warring Union soldiers!

Where are the chains and dungeons and trees decorated with the remains of slaves! Where are the fancied shackled ones who escaped from their prisons to join the underground railway?

As the article stated, this is something for historians to learn from. As for me, I would rather be a slave of Robert E. Lee or Washington than the Social Security System or the Department of Safety. How are their slaves marked?

JUNE GRIFFIN
Dayton, TN

ISLAMOPHOBIA

I especially admired your excellent commentary, "Nobody becomes enslaved unwillingly." I also like the full range of opinions offered in **The First Freedom**. I finally believe that if this country continues on its current path, there will be a greater focus on the secessionist movement.

I view the threat posed by Islam as a scourge upon this Nation. While political correctness is prevalent, where Muslims are involved, it is more than just political correctness. I sense a growing fear among many, a fear of being branded with some form of a derogatory label – Islamophobe, racist, bigot, etc., comes to mind. Many are fearful of violence from the jihadist strain of militant Islam.

This scourge can be soundly defeated by exercising unfettered, politically incorrect and informed free speech. Again, I thank you for your diligent exercise of the First Amendment. ANDREW MAGGARD
Port Haywood, VA

Our White Nation must stop the flood Mideasterners, Africans and Mexicans, send them home and be more alert to the Ashkenazis stirring them up against us.

CARETAKER WANTED

We hear the promises of our candidates to fix a bankrupt Social Security system and retirement system. Well, Adolf Hitler actually did it! Mothers and children became a high priority, free health care for everyone, not at the taxpayers' expense. I would like to live by example and allow someone of my kindred White Germanic race who believes in Primitive Christianity to live in my large country home on ten acres with spring-fed creek 12 miles from Andalusia, Alabama, no neighbor in sight. How does free rent sound? All inquiries will be answered.

TONY DuPREE 120528
253 SE Corrections Way
Lake City, FL 32025

SELLOUTS

I read with interest the article written by Sarah Jean Seaman of townhall.com in the October issue on the subject of educating illegal aliens in our public schools and the burden it places upon the budgets of our school systems.

In stating that "communities are required by law to educate illegal immigrants in public school" she is mistaken. What the law actually says, unless it has changed recently, is that States may lose federal funding if they don't comply with the law on educating all within their districts. It's the lie that school boards nationwide have been led to believe and, by association, so have we all. It's not unlike our public and private hospitals which proudly display signage telling us the law requires them to treat and stabilize anyone who comes thru the doors regardless of ability to pay. Again, what the law (EMTALA) actually says is that the hospital may lose federal funding (read, "Medicare/Obamacare) if it fails to treat those who cannot pay. I've personally raised these issues to school boards and hospital administrators, but they have all sold out. Lies, lies and more lies.

EMIL KURANTOVICH
Lumberton, NC

FOR FEAR OF THE WORD RACIST

Stan Rittenhouse has a simple way to remove Obama from the White House directly to jail. He's the author of *For Fear of the Jews*, 1982. Bottom line, lying on a resume warrants being removed from any position: Congress, Senate, Judges, etc.

Since Obama has committed treason and numerous criminal felonies, he and his cohorts deserve the death penalty.

For a longer route to remove Obama, a man from Georgia explained on the Joyce Riley program, send pertinent information to the Attorney General who can demand Obama step down. Would **TFF** compile the info we should submit to the AG?

BETTIE SKINNER
Woodford, VA

The Kenyan will step down or be shot down only when the Jewish cabal orders it, likewise "his" AG and entire cabinet.

ONE VOTE ONE TIME

Please do not send the paper to me till I let you know it is OK. The Post Office has been closed for weeks now and they talk of not reopening till middle 2015! Yes, you read correctly 2015. It can be that some miracle happens; it can be sooner rather than later. There is no money to pay the personnel so something must be sorted out, whatever that may mean.

I am upset that I do not get my books and newspapers, but must tell you honestly if this situation can bring the total collapse of this farcical government I do not mind at all. MONICA STONE
South Africa

SENSITIVITY

DAI Deputy Director
Department of Corrections
2729 Plaza Drive
Jefferson City, MO 65109

Your Correctional Facility at Mineral Point is withholding **TFF** from subscriber Austin Gallup because it "poses a danger to the institution." The facility quotes "Mothers of Palestinians must be killed," which did not advocate any such action, but only reported that such killings were called for by an Israeli newspaper. Did the censor not understand that?

Many call for diversity and tolerance, yet some in positions of authority fail to practice what they preach. Nothing in this newspaper advocates inappropriate action. To the contrary, warnings of where all the outlawry is coming from is a valuable part of each issue. So it's a little diverse right there, and useful to everyone whether they realize the value of a second opinion or not. For example, Black-on-White crime far exceeds the opposite kind. Does that make publishing truths the captive liberal media may not touch something worthy of censorship? Forbid it, Almighty God!

Please allow this informative "alternate news" the same public access as granted today's omnipresent liberal viewpoint, as your ward and my subscriber would like to read his paper. OLAF CHILDRESS
Silverhill, AL

LE PEN

I was very interested to see the story on the Front National in **TFF**. Thanks for running it. Encouraging news. Funny how Britain and America have turned out to be totally revolutionary and atheistic but that it is France that turns out to have a deep conservative streak a mile wide. Odd, ain't it! Americans and Britons will "accept the court's decision" on (fill in the blank) – no matter how outrageous it is, but the French might very well take to their cherished barricades. They do keep threatening to bring down Hollande's government. We'll see. Anyway, interesting story about the National Front. I didn't know it. I don't have much time to read much these days. In fact, for the first time ever, I didn't read the entire issue of **TFF** when I got it out of the mailbox because I fell asleep while reading. So I have it to look forward to for this evening. RICHARD NOEGEL
Augusta, GA

INVASION

Amnasty in the cards as govmint prints up *muy mucho* millions of ID cards for soon to be amnestied embedded kookaroaches. It's a happening thing. The aftershocks will be worse than the low blow itself as the now encouraged hordes waiting in the wings spill across the border like a swarm of locusts. SIDNEY SECULAR
Silver Spring, MD

THE SPEECH

I absolutely loved the September issue, the best all year in my opinion, particularly the Benjamin Freedman speech given at the Willard Hotel in 1961. It's a sure way to educate someone who doesn't understand "the Jewish Question." I know it awakened me the first time I heard that speech awhile back, after a friend took the time to bring me the hidden truths. Anyways, I thought it might be useful if we let readers know it's available as a 2-CD set for a mere $2 from CSCS or White Cross Ministries, PO Box 188, Kodak, TN 37764 which is online as well at christianseparatist.org . So let's get this audio-recorded speech out there to those who *don't read* – you know the type – but they're interested in TV "news," etc.

I'm waiting on "Facts Are Facts" right now. You have no idea how excited I was and literally jumped around when I got the September **TFF** and saw it there. And to think – out of a Jew's mouth even. I hate to praise one section over another as, truly, guys, a great, great issue, but I gotta applaud the Ferguson uprising coverage as I'm from Missouri. CHRISTOPHER SHULTZ
Cameron, MO

MORE ON FREEDMAN

As an Identity Christian I am familiar with Benjamin Freedman's writings and teachings, but we as Christians should put such things out there for the public to see, especially these "Israel" supporters, all the mainstream pulpit preachers and everyone. I believe I'm going to customize some of my own flyers containing that particular speech. It was a great article, "A Christian view of the Holocaust."

The mainstream churches teach that the "Jews" are God's chosen people, and love your neighbor as yourself. Now these are true, yet my doctrine does not teach to go adopt your local Negro child, or allow your daughter to bring little Tyron home. But Churchianity does teach this. I am not at all for taking God out of schools. Absolutely not! I'm just saying there's extreme danger to our race by leaving the mainstream's doctrine in there, Johnny and his Niglet friends having the Diary of Anne Frank and such stuff drilled into their heads.

JUSTIN DALTON
Terre Haute, IN

OLD SOUTH
GENERAL STORE AND PAWN SHOP
Weogufka, AL CSA 35183 (256)249-9100
Cash Loans
Pawn Buy
Sell Trade
Guns Tools
Electronics
Jewelry

$1.75 lb.
Farm Raised
"You Catch"
Catfish
Confederate
Shirts, Caps
Belt Buckles
All 6 flags
Knives Ammo Bumper Stickers Beverages Tobacco
Building erected in 1853
Lloyd Caperton @ Facebook – CapeCSA1@aol.com
Treasurer, Alabama League of the South

Perversophobes denounce the inciting of perversophobia

By Olaf Childress
editor@firstfreedom.net

Since 1964, our enemy has relentlessly coined words that divert us from the truths all see, putting White men on the defense. Racism is just pride in knowing who we are, so what's wrong with being proud? A few find themselves bluffed out by dumb newspeak terms like "homophobia," fear of a "gay" "lifestyle" supposedly, without bothering to look up the separate meanings of *homo* and *phobia*. Are they afraid of "men"? No! It's not fear but abhorrence, *pity* for humans who have deserted nature, that alerts perversophobes to the dangers stalking children in today's public schools.



So, with hopes of inducing great masses into a single mob condemning manhood, these media munchkins are swarming like witches all over whoever questions their volatile new vocabulary. Disagreeing with any of it labels us "haters." And that's not completely off the mark, for we do *detest* such falsehoods as keep bubbling up from the newspeak cauldron.

Nation, ***n***. [< OFr. < L. *natio* < pp. of *nasci*, to be born], 1. a stable, historically developed community of people with a territory, economic life, culture, and language in common. 2. The people of a territory united under a single government: country. 3. a people or tribe.

We observe Islamic and African tribal Nations, somewhat stable until the world-wandering/hungering Jewish cabal nags its venal District of Corruption lieutenants to bomb them into submission under what George H. W. Bush first named (reading his lines) a New World Order.

Different roadmap

But the Protocols for getting there may not go the same route here at home, since we Whites alone among the Nations are still fully armed and capable, a historically developed community sharing its territory, economic life, culture and language in common. Which means that, following the lessons Big Blubber learned at Ruby Ridge and Waco, then finally wised up enough to pull out from the Bundy Ranch before tea time, we are vulnerable now *only* by way of "sensitivity training."

Occidentally

Although ours is a less pure Nation than one consisting solely of, say, Germans or Scots, today's European expatriates living in these Jewnighted States have until lately circled the wagons necessarily against non-Whites, a simple understanding that required no further elaboration. But those 1960s immigration "reforms" should've given notice that we were becoming too sensitive to the cosmopolitan worldview while insufficiently looking after ordinary American interests. What's with all these caucuses, scholarships and postage stamps suddenly honoring every *other* ethnicity in the world except White men? Do our acres contain greater natural resources than lie beneath Mexican, African and Asian feet?

The First Freedom
P. O. Box 385, Silverhill, Alabama 36576

FULL NAME

MAIL ADDRESS

CITY STATE ZIP

REMARKS

☐ Here's $25 for a one-year subscription
☐ $48 for same, but in 1st class envelope
☐ $40 for 100 extra copies of current issue
☐ $35 for 100 back issues; prefer_____
☐ $20 for 22 extra copies of current issue
☐ $15 for 10 extra copies of current issue
☐ $_____as a patron. Keep it going!

No! Then this conspiratorial inpouring of dark races disappointing the mediacracy's gleeful forecasts *cannot* keep pace with us once we stop playing the No Incompetent Left Behind game, therefore any dignified Guatemalan slipping uninvited across the Rio Grande *betrays himself* by becoming a self-acknowledged second-class groveler.

Elusive

It's easy enough to fall in love (vacate one's better judgment) with what the media munchkins keep crooning about, but true happiness is that attitude which sees every problem as an opportunity. The Salvadoran living within his means gets an improved environment once all the malcontents have abandoned that same heritage. In a coming age of worldwide liberation from drudgery by way of robotics, such cheaply-imported human labor, no longer marketable among the White Nations, will yearn for those old days back home.

The present deadlock

Internet research brings quick results, so no need for any compulsive missionary to wander afield; he can skip the browbeating and state his case. Ours rests on simple and incontrovertible proofs: With God's help, White men have created the most advanced civilizations, constitutions and technology to date; yet, given the current sabotage and reversal of that march through history, it's embarrassing to see misguided Germans mimic these Made-in-America stupidities that are holding both Nations in present abeyance.

Marxist hectoring would mobilize many others into forever playing catch-up, as if we Whites had snatched our trophies from their fingers, justifying whatever means in taking them back. But, while monitoring all venues for trading physical values and rational ideas, such kooks don't give a hoot which Nation ranks third or fourteenth, long as they control the media of exchange with which to cynically hype "equality" among their lessers.

They did not start "correcting" political opinions overnight. First came the Jekyll Island conspiracy of Christmas 1912, then the 1913 "Federal" "Reserve" act by which those crooks using printed notes cleaned out all the gold in Johannesburg and Fort Knox.

The U. S. Congress had already become corrupted during its so-called Civil War, which invasion was *illegal*. U. S. Attorney General Jeremiah Black said so. President James Buchanan knew it. Secession *was legal*. Neither the North's Union nor the Confederate States of America recovered, so it was easy in 1913 for the international banksters to bribe that coterie of scalawag "representatives" and bluff those returning after Christmas; thus the Jews gained their money-laundering machine.

Meddlesome mobilizing

Next under assault and falling was the once-virulent media resistance to entering that catastrophic-for-frontline-participants Great War, quickly followed by a still more disastrous second world bloodbath, while those singing brother against brother loved the governmental borrowing, mobilizing, winking, delegating and, most especially, their spoils-dividing "peace" conferences. Money was the first domino, media next, until finally total domination by way of a global currency? That decision is yours and mine, brothers and sisters.

And remember: *not* to decide where you stand on an idea whose time has come, one that will attempt altering history's course, may be the worst decision you're capable of.

Look to your own

Nationalism is the answer. We must now separate ourselves from the Jews, Negroes, Islamists and Asians, all who have no use for our sense of fair play except to turn it against us. Please retain that sympathy for the downtrodden but salvageable White, even while cold-heartedly resolving to quit making excuses for and feeding explosive foreign populations.

Rendering this decision in your heart is sufficient at the moment; its confirmation must await certain eventualities. Rome did not fall abruptly, nor can White civilization reassert itself in a season. First comes our commitment to separateness, no more than *an understanding*, a little hello to rattle the media munchkins while confound ing their bosses: our actual enemies who control the federal reserve notes and mediacracy.

Eventually this blather against "racism" will fall on deaf ears, real race wars having established ancient truths the munchkins can no longer sit on, at which time we'll begin convening our gatherings *for Whites only* and against which the Chicano gangs can have no argument.

Contrary to what some prison wardens employed by the corporate "corrections" investment sector opine for the record, we only *predict* and *anticipate* such as would likely be due soon coming down the pike, and that's not the same as barging in on the mediacracy's dominion which *advocates* violence. Here the axiom *Past is prologue* applies, as an internet search for "birth of a nation" and "d w griffith" will introduce any novice to how White men pacified the rampaging Negroes in 1866-67 and can do so again.

Preaching White guilt and "equality" to the Negro's baser passions all these years, our munchkins really should take a look at the above video clips in order to prepare for their coming shock upon first realizing how wrong they've been regarding almost everything.

We realists, having real understandings about cognitive dissonance and an average person's willingness to accept its presence *except within himself*, must go all the way and confess that No Child, neither you nor I, feels altogether Left Behind. How much of this "advanced" thinking is valid? As Socrates admonished those who answered truth's beckoning, self-government begins at home.

So, if we can't have White communities in the mediacracy's waning days just now, let us at least meet separately and pray as one for God to restore our virtue, morality, integrity, numbers and distinct territories.

Priorities

By acknowledging that we've all tried a bit too hard sometimes "to fit in," yes, even articles appearing in **The First Freedom** bending over backwards toward political correctness when calling for a Convention of States, we here confess that such a move would prove, as things now stand, not only premature but suicidal. First we retake the media.

No matter how few or many salvageable Whites understand that what we stand for is the *sine qua non* of their future, let's get our people back in charge of Constitutional coinage, first by knowing who we are and then reasserting ourselves. The separate and sovereign State of Alabama must exist before discussing any convention with its territorial neighbors. Of foremost concern, then, is the election of sheriffs who will work with us. We need militias, both State and private, whose members are all well versed in Constitutional law.

Also required are media sleuths who can quickly discern the differences between a fully-informed White Nationalist website and its perfectly-silent-on-the-Jews direct opposite. It were otherwise well and good to lionize Hitler and Fascism at the same time ignoring the Jewish Question, except that as things now stand this would jump us from a growing Police State's skillet into Zionism's totalitarian fire.

Again, *first* we've got to have the media back in honest hands, *then* a government of our own. The *international* socialism these munchkins are promoting is Communism plain and simple. *State* Socialism worked laudably under the *Nationalsocialistische Deutsche Arbeiterpartei* (NSDAP), where all the people and especially their leaders were of a common blood. But the stuff that this Jewish Nation orders its untouchable Kenyan to poop on America isn't exactly what the mediacracy claims it's promoting, namely diversity.

Where they're headed

No, variety is the last thing those ruling psychopaths care for. They much prefer regimentation, that science which moves mountains when all lemmings lift as one or, if ordered to commit suicide, together leap into the sea. Communist feudalism speaks of a single "People's Dictatorship," both the dictators and their thralls knowing it's a lie, yet that's the logical end station toward which this diversity train is roaring. For, in forcing vastly different peoples into a totally integrated "Democracy" ruled by oligarchs fully separated from any human consensus, media munchkins are punching their own tickets to hell.

How does National Socialism compare with such chaos? Well, like this tabloid, it isn't for everybody. The NSDAP wouldn't work even in today's occupied Germany.

The task here is enormous, yet it begins with a first step. We concentrate on living among our kind, celebrating how diverse Whites remain as compared with the dark races, educating ourselves on the political expediency of electing leaders who look, act, speak and think like us, so that we do not soon again yield to the wiles of those who, lacking scruples, would manipulate our innate sense of fair play against every principle a White Nationalist holds dear.

Untouchable?

Most people capable of rational thought, including many Blacks, now agree that the sitting U. S. President, unqualified to begin with for such office and having committed treason many times over, should have been impeached long before now. Therefore the political process isn't working as planned? Verily, it is! Evil ones calling themselves Neocons – who did the planning that put a Negro nominally in charge, twice – watch with glee as no one in Congress, for fear of the Jew, dares begin the proceedings.

Divide and conquer was the strategy of Caesar's legions, and every Roman shared in that heady glory at first. But then came the decay. A Cincinnatus wants nothing to do with ruling the world, sufficient his own back yard. Yet vultures, flies and Neocons obey *their* calling; they turn opportunities for minding one's own business into the problems we see about us: decadence and today's dumping grounds of an imploding empire.

While there's no exact path to a White National State nor formula for keeping it going at present, let us work toward that end. Every individual officer serving in our government of the future should withstand a renewal vote on its birthday each year, staying or stepping aside immediately. One backup plan were requisite to temporarily continue vacated offices and another in case the entire government fails, even as signed, verified petitions weigh more than purchased exposure for any candidate or party. Libertarians imagine no government at all, but the Nationalist knows some form of authority will always prevail, either to maintain order or tyrannize.

Decisiveness and diplomacy

With Whites exclusively in charge, no more Coca Cola government teaching the world to sing in perfect harmony, fair play as the understood behavior expected *only among ourselves* since Ashkenazis and the minorities they manipulate won't observe such rules, we'll explain in no uncertain terms that our excluding them has nothing to do with hate, just good sense. Let Jews connive and Blacks jive among their own.